## Python for Data Scientists <br> L11 : Invariants, Graph Traversal, BFS, and DFS <br> Shirin Tavara

## Outline of the lecture

Applications of trees and graphs

Recap of graphs, trees, binary search tree

Invariants

## Graph traversal

Breadth first search, depth first search

## ReCap - Binary Trees

Binary tree represents the nodes connected by edges.

- A Root node.
- Every node has one parent node, except the root node.
- Each node has at most two child nodes.

Root


## Syntax Tree

Sentence: "I like the course"


## Syntax Tree

Expression: $5 \sqrt{4 y+10}$


## Binary Search Tree

BST for accessing keywords of programming languages with different costs considering the frequency that keywords are accessed


## Hierarchy

## Geographical hierarchy



## Graph Applications - World Wide Web

## Web Graph

Node: web page.
Edge: hyperlink from one page to another.


## Graph Applications - Social Network

Social network graph.

- Node: people.
- Edge: relationship between two people.

https://cdn0.tnwcdn.com/wp-content/blog.dir/1/files/2013/11/social-network-links-730x410.jpg


## Graph Applications - Ecological Food Web

Food web graph

- Node = species.
- Edge = from prey to predator. (victim to killer)



## Some Other Graph Applications

| Graph | Nodes | Edges |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| transportation | street intersections | highways |
| communication | computers | fiber optic cables |
| World Wide Web | web pages | hyperlinks |
| social | people | relationships |
| food web | species | predator-prey |
| software systems | functions | function calls |
| scheduling | tasks | precedence constraints |
| circuits | gates | wires |

## Traversal order in a binary tree

- PreOrder traversal

Root-left subtree- right subtree

- InOrder traversal

Left subtree- root- right subtree

- PostOrder traversal

Left subtree- right subtree - root

## Binary tree : binarytree package

```
from binarytree import Node
root = Node(2)
root.left = Node(4)
root.right = Node(8)
# Getting binary tree
4
print('Binary tree :', root)
# Getting list of nodes
print('List of nodes :', list(root)) Inorder of nodes:[Node(4),Node(2), Node(8)]
# Getting inorder of nodes
print('Inorder of nodes :', root.inorder)
# Checking tree properties
print('Size of tree :', root.size)
print('Height of tree :', root.height)
# Get all properties at once
print('Properties of tree : \n', root.properties)

\section*{Binary tree from a given list}
from binarytree import build
```


# List of nodes

nodes =[2, 4, 8, 16, 32, 64, None]

# Builidng the binary tree

binary_tree = build(nodes)
print( binary_tree)

# Getting list of nodes from binarytree

print(binary_tree.values)

```

\([2,4,8,16,32,64]\)

\section*{Random binary tree}
from binarytree import tree
\# Create a random binary tree of any height root = tree()
print(root)
\# Create a random binary tree of given height rootRandom = tree(height = 3)
print(rootRandom)
\# Create a random perfect binary tree of given height
rootRandomPer = tree(height = 3, is_perfect = True)
print(rootRandomPer)


\section*{Binary Search Tree}
from binarytree import bst
\# Create a random BST of any height root = bst() print('BST of any height : \(\backslash n '\), root)
\# Create a random BST of given height
root2 = bst(height = 2)
print('BST of given height : \n', root2)
\# Create a random perfect BST of given height
root3 = bst(height = 2, is_perfect = True)
print('Perfect BST of given height : \n', root3)


\begin{tabular}{cc}
\(L_{1}^{6}\) \\
1 & \\
0 & 3
\end{tabular}

Perfect BST of given height :


\section*{Binary Search Tree}
```

class Node:
def __init__(self, key):
self.data = key
self.left = None
self.right = None
""" find a specific value in the tree """
def findval(root, lkpval):
if lkpval < root.data:
if root.left == None:
return str(lkpval) + " Not Found"
return root.left.findval(lkpval)
elif lkpval > root.data:
if root.right == None:
return str(lkpval) + " Not Found"
return root.right.findval(lkpval)
else:
print(str(root.data) + ' is found')
def insert(node, data):
\# 1. If the tree is empty, return a new, single
node
if node == None:
return (Node(data))
else:

```
\# 2. Otherwise, recur down the tree
if data <= node.data:
    node.left = insert(node.left, data)
else:
    node.right = insert(node.right, data)
return node
```

def minValue(node):
current = node
\# Loop down to find the lefmost leaf
while(current.left is not None):
current = current.left
return current.data
root = None
root = insert(root,7)
insert(root,1)
insert(root,6)
insert(root,8)
insert(root,4)
insert(root,9)
print ("Minimum value in BST is
:",(minValue(root)))
print(root.findval(9))
print(root.findval(11))

## Binary Search Trees - Measuring in 4 languages

Generating random array with $2,000,000$ values
22 ms

Filling tree with 2,000,000 nodes
12.86 sec

Traversing all 2,000,000 nodes
128 ms

Peak memory used
53 MB

Version
.NET 4.6/C\# 4.0

Generating random array with $2,000,000$ values
Filling tree with 2,000,000 nodes
Traversing all 2,000,000 nodes
Peak memory used
13.07 sec

128 ms
Java (1.8)

Generating random array with $2,000,000$ values
21 ms

25 ms

Filling tree with $\mathbf{2 , 0 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ nodes
12.2 sec

Python

Version
Python 2.7.10
Python 3.5.0

Generating random array with $2,000,000$ values
1.9 sec
2.55 sec

Filling tree with 2,000,000 nodes
Traversing all $\mathbf{2 , 0 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ nodes

Peak memory used 377 MB 220 MB

## Graphs

A graph consists of:

- Nodes or vertices
- The links between the nodes, a set of pairs of vertices that are connected


## Graph representation:

- Adjacency matrix
- Adjacency list

| Graph | Adjacency Matrix | Adjacency List |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Space complexity | $O\left(\|V\|^{2}\right)$ or $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ | $O(\|V\|+\|E\|)$ |
| IsConnected $\left(n_{i}, n_{j}\right)$ | $O(1)$ | $O(\|\mathrm{~V}\|)$ |
| $\operatorname{Add}\left(n_{k}\right)$ | $O\left(\|V\|^{2}\right)$ | $O(1)$ |
| GetAdjacent $\left(n_{k}\right)$ | $O(\|\mathrm{~V}\|)$ | $O(\|\mathrm{E}\|)$ |

## Graphs Implementation

```
# Create the dictionary with graph
elements
graph = { "1" : ["2","3"],
    "2" : ["1", "3", "4", "5"],
    "3" : ["1", "2", "5", "7", "8"],
    "4" : ["2", "5"],
    "5" : ["2", "3", "4", "6"],
    "6" : ["5"],
    "7" : ["3", "8"],
    "8" : ["3", "7"]
    }
# Print the graph
print(graph)
```


## Graphs: Display Graph Vertices

```
class graph:
    def __init__(self,gdict=None):
        if gdict is None:
            gdict = []
        self.gdict = gdict
# Get the keys of the dictionary
    def getVertices(self):
        return list(self.gdict.keys())
gElements = { "1" : ["2","3"],
            "2" : ["1", "3", "4", "5"],
    "3" : ["1", "2", "5", "7", "8"],
    "4" : ["2", "5"],
    "5" : ["2", "3", "4", "6"],
    "6" : ["5"],
    "7" : ["3", "8"],
    "8" : ["3", "7"]
    }
g = graph(gElements)
print(g.getVertices())
```

['1', '2', '3', '4', '5', '6', '7', '8']

## Graphs: Display Distinct Graph Edges

```
class graph:
    def __init__(self,gdict=None):
        if gdict is None:
            gdict = {}
        self.gdict = gdict
    def edges(self):
        return self.findedges()
# Find the distinct list of edges
    def findedges(self):
        edgename = []
        for vrtx in self.gdict:
            for nxtvrtx in self.gdict[vrtx]:
                if {nxtvrtx, vrtx} not in edgename:
                edgename.append({vrtx, nxtvrtx})
        return edgename
```

```
gElements = { "1" : ["2","3"],
    "2" : ["1", "3", "4", "5"],
    "3" : ["1", "2", "5", "7", "8"],
    "4" : ["2", "5"],
    "5" : ["2", "3", "4", "6"],
    "6" : ["5"],
    "7" : ["3", "8"],
    "8" : ["3", "7"]
        }
g = graph(gElements)
print(g.edges())
[{'1', '2'}, {'1', '3'}, {'2', '3'}, {'2', '4'}, {'5', '2'},
{'5', '3'}, {'3', '7'}, {'8', '3'}, {'5', '4'}, {'5', '6'},
{'8', '7'}]
```


## Graphs : Adding A Vertex

```
class graph:
    def __init__(self,gdict=None):
        if gdict is None:
                gdict = {}
        self.gdict = gdict
    def getVertices(self):
        return list(self.gdict.keys())
# Add the vertex as a key
    def addVertex(self, vrtx):
        if vrtx not in self.gdict:
            self.gdict[vrtx] = []
```

```
gElements = { "1" : ["2","3"],
    "2" : ["1", "3", "4", "5"],
    "3" : ["1", "2", "5", "7", "8"],
    "4" : ["2", "5"],
    "5" : ["2", "3", "4", "6"],
    "6" : ["5"],
    "7" : ["3", "8"],
    "8" : ["3", "7"]
    }
g = graph(gElements)
print(g.getVertices())
g.addVertex("9")
print(g.getVertices())
```

```
['1', '2', '3', '4', '5', '6', '7', '8']
['1', '2', '3', '4', '5', '6', '7', '8', '9']
```


## Graphs : Adding an edge

class graph:

```
def __init__(self,gdict=None):
    if gdict is None:
        gdict = {}
    self.gdict = gdict
def edges(self):
    return self.findedges()
def AddEdge(self, edge):
    edge = set(edge)
    (vrtx1, vrtx2) = tuple(edge)
    if vrtx1 in self.gdict:
        self.gdict[vrtx1].append(vrtx2)
    else:
        self.gdict[vrtx1] = [vrtx2]
+def findedges(self):
    #(definition in slide 22)
```

```
gElements = { "1" : ["2","3"],
    "2" : ["1", "3", "4", "5"],
    "3" : ["1", "2", "5", "7", "8"],
    "4" : ["2", "5"],
    "5" : ["2", "3", "4", "6"],
    "6" : ["5"],
    "7" : ["3", "8"],
    "8" : ["3", "7"]
    }
g = graph(gElements)
print(g.edges())
g.AddEdge({'1', '9'}) {'2', '4'},{'2', '5'}, {'3', '5'},
g.AddEdge({'5', '9'}) {'3', '7'},{'8', '3'},{'4', '5'},
print(g.edges()) {'6', '5'},{'8', '7'}]
[{'2', '1'}, {'1', '3'},{'1', '9'},
{'2', '3'}, {'2', '4'}, {'2', '5'},
{'3', '5'}, {'3', '7'}, {'8', '3'},
{'4', '5'}, {'6', '5'}, {'8', '7'},
{'9', '5'}]

\section*{Advantages of trees and graphs}
- Search complexity:
- Array or linked list: they are linear structures and the time required to search a "linear" list is proportional to the size of the data set.
- Trees: fast search ( \(O(\log \mathrm{n}\) ) comparisons to find a particular node)
- Representation:
- Linked lists: a node could at most have two pointers (one to its next and one to its previous node)
- Graphs: a node could have more than two pointers.

Poll

Which of the following graphs are/is a tree?

[2]

[3]

[4]


Poll

Which of the following graphs are/is a binary tree?


\section*{Poll}

Which of the following graphs are/is a binary search tree?


\section*{Invariants}

Some slides adapted from
Robert Sedgewick, Kevin Wayne, Peter Ljunglöf, and Nick Smallbone

\section*{Back to binary search trees}

\section*{A binary search tree (BST) is a} binary tree where:
- Each node has a key
- Each node's key is greater than all the keys in the left subtree, and less than all the keys in the right subtree


\section*{Invariants}
- "unchanged by specified mathematical or physical operations or transformations" [Merriam-Webster dictionary]
- A set of properties or conditions that will hold before and after conducting each step of an operation/algorithm.

\section*{Invariants}

The property
"Each node's key is greater than all the keys in the left subtree, and less than all the keys in the right subtree" is an example of a data structure invariant
- A property that the data structure designer wants to always hold
- The invariant affects the whole design!
- In search (get), we rely on the invariant holding in order to find the value efficiently
- When modifying the data structure, we must take care to make the invariant still holds afterwards - this is called maintaining the invariant
e.g., in insertion and deletion

The goal: find an invariant which is useful but also easy to maintain!

\section*{Checking the invariants}

What happens if we fail to maintain the invariant?
- For example, inserting something in the wrong place

Answer: at first, maybe nothing! But later operations may fail
- A later call to get/max/floor/... may return the wrong answer!
- Or a call to put may fail to find an existing key... and end up with the same key appearing twice in the BST!

These kind of bugs are a nightmare to track down!
Solution: check the invariant

\section*{Checking the invariants}

Define a method
boolean invariant()
that returns true if the invariant holds
```

boolean invariant() {
return isBST(root);

```
    \}

Then, in the implementation of every operation, do assert invariant() : "Invariant failed";

This will throw an exception if the invariant doesn't hold!
This will find lots and lots of bugs!

\section*{Rank and select}

Let's try to add two more operations on BSTs:
- int rank(Key key) : returns the number of items in the BST less than key
- e.g. \(\operatorname{rank}(\) horse \()=2\)
- Key select(int n ): returns the nth-smallest item in the BST, counting from 0
- e.g. select(2) = horse
- We count from 0 so that select and rank are inverses

How can we implement these?
What invariant can we add?


\section*{A first attempt}

Let's store the rank as an extra field in each node
To implement rank(key), we'll just find the correct node and then return its rank field.

Is this a good idea? What's the problem?

This is a bad idea.


\section*{A first attempt}

This variant is expensive to maintain
Let's store the rank as an extra field in each node

To implement rank(key), we'll just find the correct node and then return its rank field.

\section*{This is a bad idea. \\ What's the problem?}

Whenever we modify the BFS, we'll need to update the rank fields of lots of nodes (linear time)


\section*{A better answer}

Make each node record the size of its subtrees. Observation: rank of root = size of left subtree. This leads to a recursive algorithm for rank!
```

int rank(Key key, Node x) {
if (x == null) return 0;
int cmp = key.compareTo(x.key);
if (cmp < 0)
return rank(key, x.left);
else if (cmp > 0)
return 1 + size(x.left) +
rank(key, x.right);
else return size(x.left);
}

```


\section*{A better answer}

What's more, when we insert a new value, we only need to update the size fields of the new node and its ancestors
- Number of nodes changed = height of tree
- Logarithmic, if BST is balanced!
- Invariant is cheap to maintain
(P.S. this invariant also supports select)


\section*{Invariants are important}

Once we chose the invariant, we were forced to implement the operations a certain way:
- Given the BST invariant, there's only one reasonable way to implement search
- Also, only one way to implement insertion so as to preserve the invariant
- Given the invariant about labelling nodes with their size, there's only one reasonable way to implement rank
- And insertion/deletion must update the size field so as to preserve the invariant

The main creative step was choosing the invariant!

\section*{Invariants}

When designing a data structure your first question should be:
What is the invariant?
- How can I use it to efficiently compute stuff?
- How can I maintain it when updating the data structure?

Because the invariant often expresses the main idea of the data structure!

A good invariant adds some extra structure that:
- makes it easy to get at the data (the invariant is useful)
- but doesn't make it too hard to maintain the invariant when updating the data

\section*{Graph Traversal}

Walking a graph <-> Visiting nodes of a graph <-> Traversing nodes of a graph in a particular order
- Breadth-First-Search (BFS)
- Explore all the nodes at one depth level before exploring the nodes of the next depth level
- Depth-First-Search (DPS)
- Explore all the nodes in one subtree before exploring a sibling subtree
- BFS
- DFS



\section*{Breadth-First-Search (BFS)}

\section*{Breadth First Search (BFS):}

BFS(s): Find a BFS tree rooted at \(s\), which includes all nodes reachable from node \(s\).
Create a Boolean array Discovered[1...n], Set Discovered[s] = true and Discovered \([\mathrm{v}]=\) false for all other v .

O(n)
Create an empty FIFO queue \(Q\), add node \(s\) to \(Q\).

\section*{while \(Q\) is not empty}
dequeue a node \(u\) from \(Q\)
for each node \(v\) adjacent to node \(u\)
if Discovered[ \(v\) ] is false then
add node \(v\) to \(Q\), set Discovered[ \(v\) ] to true
endif
endfor
endwhile

\section*{Question - Poll}

What is the time complexity of BFS?
V : number of vertices, E : number of edges
1) \(O(E)\)
2) \(O(\mathrm{~V})\)
3) \(O(\mathrm{~V}+\mathrm{E})\)
4) It depends which representation of the graph is used!

\section*{Breadth-First-Search (BFS)}

\section*{Analysis:}
- A node \(u\) enters \(Q\) at most once, and the for loop needs nodes adjacent to every such \(u\)
- Graph representation will effect the analysis
- Finding all \(v\) adjacent to \(u\) :
- Adjacency Matrix:
\(>\) we have to check all matrix entries in u's row: \(\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})\)
\(>\) total time required to process all rows of the Matrix: \(\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{n}^{2}\right)\)
- Adjacency List:
\(>\) when we consider node \(u\), there are deg \((u)\) incident edges ( \(u, v\) )
\(>\) total time processing all the edges is \(\Sigma_{u \in \mathrm{~V}} \operatorname{deg}(\mathrm{u})=2 \mathrm{~m} \Rightarrow \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{m})\)
\(>\) setup time for the array Discovered is \(\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n}), \Rightarrow \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{m}+\mathrm{n})\)
each edge ( \(u, v\) ) is counted exactly twice
in sum: once in \(\operatorname{deg}(u)\) and once in \(\operatorname{deg}(v)\)
\(>\mathrm{m}\) is at least \(\mathrm{n}-1\) for connected graph, m dominates \(\Rightarrow \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{m})\)

\section*{Breadth-First-Search (BFS)}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & A & B & C & D & E & F & G & H & I \\
\hline Discovered & false & false & false & false & false & false & false & false & false \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(\square\)


\section*{BFS Tree:}

Create an empty tree T
Add edge \((u, v)\) to the tree \(T\), when \(v\) is discovered the first time

http://i.stack.imgur.com/TjhfH.png

\section*{Breadth-First-Search (BFS)}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\multicolumn{1}{c}{ A } & B & C & D & \multicolumn{1}{c}{ E } & \multicolumn{2}{c}{ F } & G & H \\
\hline True & True & True & True & True & True & True & True & True \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Enqueue A & \multicolumn{11}{|l|}{A} \\
\hline Enqueue \(B, C, D_{\square} \mathrm{E}\) & E & D & C & B & A & & & & & \(\xrightarrow{\text { Dequeue }-} \mathrm{A}\) & : A \\
\hline Enqueue F & F & E & D & C & B & A & & & & \(\xrightarrow{\text { Dequeue }>B}\) & : A, B \\
\hline & F & E & D & \(\epsilon\) & B & A & & & & Dequeue \(\rightarrow\) C & : A, B, C \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Enqueue 6} & G & F & E & \(\square\) & \(\epsilon\) & B & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{A} & \(\xrightarrow{\text { Dequeue } \rightarrow \text { D }}\) & A, B, C, D \\
\hline & G & F & E & D & \(\epsilon\) & B & A & & & \(\xrightarrow{\text { Dequeue }->\mathrm{E}}\) & : A, B, C, D, E \\
\hline Enqueue \(H\) & H & G & \(F\) & E & D & \(\epsilon\) & B & A & & \(\xrightarrow{\text { Dequeue }-\mathrm{F}}\) & : A, B, C, D, E, F \\
\hline Enqueue 1 & 1 & H & \(\epsilon\) & F & E & \(\square\) & \(\epsilon\) & B & A & \(\xrightarrow{\text { Dequeue }-\mathrm{G}}\) & : A, B, C, D, E, F, G \\
\hline & 1 & H & G & F & E & n & c & B & A & \(\xrightarrow{\text { Dequeue }-\mathrm{H}}\) & : A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H \\
\hline & + & H & G & F & E & \(\theta\) & \(\epsilon\) & B & A & \(\xrightarrow{\text { Dequeue }>1}\) & : A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Breadth First Search: Properties}

BFS intuition. Explore outward from s in all possible directions, adding nodes one "layer" at a time.

\section*{BFS algorithm partitions}
the nodes into layers:
- \(L_{0}=\{s\}\).
- \(\mathrm{L}_{1}=\) all neighbors of \(\mathrm{L}_{0}\).
- \(L_{2}=\) all nodes that do not belong to \(L_{0}\) or \(L_{1}\), and that have an edge to a node in \(L_{1}\).
- \(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{i}+1}=\) all nodes that do not belong to an earlier layer, and that have an edge to a node in \(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{i}}\).
\(>\) Implementation using Queue processes the nodes exactly layer by layer
\(>\) explores in order of distance from s .

\section*{Question- Poll}

Suppose we have a tree in which each edge has length 1. In the implementation of Breadth-First-Search using queues, what is the maximum distance between two nodes in the queue?
1) 0
2) At most 1
3) It depends on the shape of the tree
4) Can be anything

\section*{Breadth First Search: Properties}

Property. Let \(T\) be a BFS tree of \(G=(V, E)\), nodes \(u\), \(v\) belong to \(T\), and let ( \(u, v\) ) be an edge of \(G\).
Then the level of \(u\) and \(v\) differ by at most 1 .
(Edges discarded by BFS are those which connect nodes of the same layer e.g., DE, or nodes from adjacent layers e.g., EG)


Consider E and it's edges

Let \(u\), \(v\) belong to layers \(L_{i}\) and \(L_{j}\) respectively.
Suppose \(i<j-1\). (Negate the conclusion)
\(>\) When BFS examines the edges incident to \(u\), since \(u\) belongs to layer \(L_{i}\), the only nodes discovered from \(u\) belong to layers \(L_{i+1}\) and earlier;
\(>\) hence, if \(v\) is a neighbor of \(u\), then it should have been discovered by this point at the latest, and
\(>\) should belong to layer \(L_{i+1}\) or earlier (a contradiction)

\section*{Breadth First Search: Properties}
- What follows:
\(>\) For each \(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{i}}\) consists of all nodes at distance exactly i from s .
\(>\) There is a path from \(s\) to \(t\) if \(t\) appears in some layer.
\(>\) Moreover: s-t is a shortest path.

(b)

(c)

\section*{Depth First Search (DFS)}

\section*{Depth First Search (DFS):}

Create a Boolean array Explored[1...n], initialized to false for all.
DFS(u)
set Explored[u] to true
for each node \(v\) adjacent to node \(u\)
if Explored[v] is false then
DFS(v)
endif
endfor
- Call DFS(s)
\(>\) each recursive call is done only after termination of the previous call, this gives the desired depth first behavior.

\section*{Depth First Search (DFS)}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & A & B & C & D & E & F & G & H & I \\
\hline Discovered & false & false & false & false & false & false & false & false & false \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


DFS tree:
Take an array parent,


Reference: http://i.stack.imgur.com/ghOT1.png
set parent[ \(v]=u\) when calling DFS( \(v\) ) due to edge ( \(u, v\) ).
When setting \(u(u \neq s)\) as Explored,
add the edge ( \(u\), parent \([u]\) ) to the tree.

\section*{Depth First Search (DFS) using stack}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Push A & A & & & \\
\hline Push B & B & A & & \\
\hline Push F & F & B & A & \\
\hline Push H & H & F & B & A \\
\hline Pop H & H & F & B & A \\
\hline Pop F & H & F & B & A \\
\hline Pop B & H & F & B & A \\
\hline Push C & C & A & & \\
\hline Pop C & E & A & & \\
\hline Push D & D & A & & \\
\hline Push G & G & D & A & \\
\hline \(\xrightarrow{\text { Push I }}\) & 1 & G & D & A \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\(\stackrel{\text { Pop I, Pop G, Pop D } \dagger+}{ }\)} & G & \(\theta\) & A \\
\hline Push E & E & A & & \\
\hline Pop E, Pop A & E & A & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Visited Nodes: A}

\section*{: A , B}
: A, B, F
: A, B, F, H
: A, B, F, H
: A, B, F, H
: A, B, F, H
: A, B, F, H, C
: A, B, F, H, C
: A, B, F, H, C, D
: A, B, F, H, C, D, G
: A, B, F, H, C, D, G, I
: A, B, F, H, C, D, G, I
: A, B, F, H, C, D, G, I, E
: A, B, F, H, C, D, G, I, E

\section*{Question - Poll}

Suppose we have a tree in which each edge has length 1. In the implementation of Depth-First-Search using stacks, what is the maximum distance between two nodes in the stack?
1) 0
2) At most 1
3) It depends on the shape of the tree
4) Can be anything

\section*{BFS vs. DFS}

BFS: Put unvisited vertices on a queue.
\(>\) Examines vertices in increasing distance from s.
\(>\) Using adjacency list requires \(\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{m})\).

http://i.stack.imgur.com/QtYo8.jpg

\section*{DFS: Put unvisited vertices on a stack.}
\(>\) tries to explore as deeply as possible
> Mimics maze exploration.
\(>\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{m})\) due to similar reasoning.
```

