

What Do Laboratory Experiments Measuring Social Preferences Reveal About the Real World?

Steven D. Levitt and John A. List

Economists have increasingly turned to the experimental model of the physical sciences as a method to understand human behavior. Peer-reviewed articles using the methodology of experimental economics were almost nonexistent until the mid-1960s and surpassed 50 annually for the first time in 1982; and by 1998, the number of experimental papers published per year exceeded 200 (Holt, 2006). Lab experiments allow the investigator to influence the set of prices, budget sets, information sets, and actions available to actors, and thus measure the impact of these factors on behavior within the context of the laboratory. The allure of the laboratory experimental method in economics is that, in principle, it provides *ceteris paribus* observations of individual economic agents, which are otherwise difficult to obtain.

A critical assumption underlying the interpretation of data from many laboratory experiments is that the insights gained in the lab can be extrapolated to the world beyond, a principle we denote as generalizability. For physical laws and processes like gravity, photosynthesis, and mitosis, the evidence supports the idea that what happens in the lab is equally valid in the broader world. The American astronomer Harlow Shapley (1964, p. 43), for instance, noted that “as far as we can tell, the same physical laws prevail everywhere.” In this manner, astronomers are able to infer the quantity of certain gases in the Sunflower galaxy, for example, from observations of signature wavelengths of light emitted from that galaxy.

■ Steven D. Levitt is the Alvin H. Baum Professor of Economics and John A. List is Professor of Economics, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois. Levitt and List are both Research Associates, National Bureau of Economic Research, Cambridge, Massachusetts. Their e-mail addresses are <s-levitt@uchicago.edu> and <jlist@uchicago.edu>, respectively.

The basic strategy underlying laboratory experiments in the physical sciences and economics is similar, but the fact that humans are the object of study in the latter raises special questions about the ability to extrapolate experimental findings beyond the lab, questions that do not arise in the physical sciences. While few scientists would argue that observation influences whether Uranium₂₃₉ would emit beta particles and turn into Neptunium, human behavior may be sensitive to a variety of factors that systematically vary between the lab and the outside world. In particular, we argue, based on decades of research in psychology and recent findings in experimental economics, that behavior in the lab is influenced not just by monetary calculations, but also by at least five other factors: 1) the presence of moral and ethical considerations; 2) the nature *and* extent of scrutiny of one's actions by others; 3) the context in which the decision is embedded; 4) self-selection of the individuals making the decisions; and 5) the stakes of the game. The remainder of this paper is devoted to examining how each of these factors influences decision making and the extent to which the environment constructed in the lab does or does not conform to real-world interactions on these various dimensions.¹

We begin by presenting a simple model in which utility maximization is influenced not only by wealth maximization, but also by an individual's desire to "do the right thing" or make the "moral" choice. We then discuss the empirical evidence concerning the role of the five factors (above) in laboratory experiments.² Although our arguments apply more generally (Levitt and List, 2006), we focus the bulk of the discussion on the class of experiments that is believed to measure pro-social preferences. We provide a summary of the most popular games of this type in Table 1. We next discuss the extent to which the five factors systematically differ between laboratory experiments and naturally occurring environments, and explore how these differences affect the generalizability of experimental results outside the lab. We conclude that, just as is the case with naturally-occurring data, great caution is required when attempting to generalize lab results out of sample: both to other populations and to other situations. Interpreting laboratory findings through the lens of theory helps us to understand the observed pattern of results and facilitates extrapolation of lab results to other environments. Field

¹ There are instances where generalizability might not be of first-rate importance. For example, when testing a general theory, generalizability might not be a concern. In fact, as a first test of theory, an experimenter might wish to create an artificial environment for its own purpose: to create a clean test of the theory. Another example would be using the lab for methodological purposes—that is, to inform field designs by abstracting from naturally-occurring confounds.

² This list certainly does not exhaust the set of reasons that lab experiments may not provide direct guidance with respect to behavior outside the lab. For instance, subjects tend to have less experience with the games they play in the lab, and there is no opportunity to seek advice from friends or experts in the lab. Also of potential importance is the fact that outside the lab, the design of institutions may be driven by sophisticated agents who seek ways to exploit the anomalous tendencies of those with whom they interact (Glaeser, 2004); this force is not at work inside the lab. For further discussion of these issues, see Harrison and List (2004) and Levitt and List (2006).

Table 1
Summary of Experimental Games Used to Measure Social Preferences

<i>Name of game</i>	<i>Summary</i>	<i>Typical finding</i>	<i>Social preference interpretation</i>
Ultimatum game ^a	A two-stage game where two people, a proposer and a responder, bargain over a fixed amount of money. In the first stage, the proposer offers a split of the money, and in the second stage, the responder decides to accept or reject the offer. If accepted, each player receives money according to the offer; if rejected, each player receives nothing.	<i>Proposer:</i> Majority of offers in the range of 25–50% of fixed amount. Few offers below 5%. <i>Responder:</i> Frequently reject offers below 20% of fixed amount.	<i>Proposer:</i> Fairness <i>Responder:</i> Punish unfair offers: negative reciprocity, fairness preferences, such as inequity aversion
Dictator game ^b	A variant of the ultimatum game: strategic concerns are absent as the proposer simply states what the split will be and the proposer has no veto power, rendering the proposed split as effective.	Usually more than 60% of subjects pass a positive amount of money, with the mean transfer roughly 20% of the endowment.	Altruism; fairness preferences, such as inequity aversion.
Trust game ^c	A sequential prisoner's dilemma game wherein the first mover decides how much money to pass to the second mover. All money passed is increased by a factor, $f > 1$, and the second mover then decides how much money to return to the first mover. In this light, the second mover is a dictator who has been given his endowment by the first mover.	<i>Proposer:</i> Average transfer of roughly 50% of endowment. <i>Responder:</i> Repayment is increasing in transfer. Average repayment rate is nearly 50% of transfer.	<i>Proposer:</i> Trust; foresee positive reciprocity <i>Responder:</i> Trustworthiness, positive reciprocity
Gift exchange game ^d	Similar to the trust game, but the money passed by the first mover (often labeled the “wage” or “price” offer), is not increased by a factor, rather it represents a pure lump-sum transfer. Also, the first mover requests a desired effort, or quality, level in return for the “wage” or “price” offer. The second mover then chooses an effort or quality level that is costly to provide, but increases the first mover's payoff.	<i>Proposer:</i> “Wage” or “price” offer is typically greater than the minimum allowed. <i>Responder:</i> Effort or quality increases in “wage” or “price” offer.	<i>Proposer:</i> Trust; foresee positive reciprocity <i>Responder:</i> Trustworthiness, positive reciprocity
Public goods game ^e	Generalization of the prisoner's dilemma game in that n group members decide simultaneously how much to invest in the public good. The payoff function is given by $P_i = e - g_i + \beta \sum_n g_j$, where e represents initial endowment; g_i is the level of tokens that subject i places in the group account; β is the marginal payoff of the public good; and $\sum_n g_j$ is the sum of the n individual contributions to the public good. By making $0 < \beta < 1 < n\beta$, the dilemma follows.	Players' contribution to public good is roughly 50% of endowment in one-shot games. Many players' contributions unravel to approach 0% in latter rounds of multi-period games	Altruism; fairness preferences, conditional reciprocity

^a See Roth (1995) for a discussion of ultimatum and dictator games. This game was first proposed in the economics literature by Guth, Schmittberger, and Schwarze (1982).

^b This game was first proposed in the economics literature by Kahneman, Knetsch, and Thaler (1986). A related game is the “punishment game,” whereby an observer can, for a cost, punish the first mover by subtracting a portion of the first mover's payoff.

^c This game was first proposed in the economics literature by Berg, Dickhaut, and McCabe (1995).

^d This game was first proposed in the economics literature by Fehr, Kirchsteiger, and Reidl (1993), and a related game is Camerer and Weigelt (1988). The payoff function description for the buyer is similar to Fehr, Gächter, and Kirchsteiger's (1997) S13-S16 treatments. In this case, the price represents a pure lump-sum transfer, which differs from the earlier joint profit equation (Fehr, Kirchsteiger, and Riedl, 1993), which was characterized by price increases leading to an increase in the sum of payoffs when $q < 1$.

^e See Ledyard (1995) for a discussion of these types of games. This is a generalization of the famous prisoner's dilemma game.

experiments, which exploit randomization in naturally-occurring settings, offer an attractive marriage of these competing empirical strategies.

A Model of Utility with Wealth and Morality

We begin by developing a model that makes precise our arguments regarding the potential factors that might influence individual decision-making. Many economists, dating back to Adam Smith, have emphasized that factors beyond wealth (for example, acting morally) enter into the utility function.³ We do not claim originality in the ideas we are modeling. Rather, we view the model merely as a useful framework for organizing our discussion about the generalizability of results from laboratory experiments.

A utility-maximizing individual i is faced with a choice regarding a single action a . The choice of action affects the agent's utility through two channels. The first effect is on the individual's wealth (denoted W). The higher the stakes or monetary value of the game, which we denote v , the greater the decision's impact on W . The second effect is the nonpecuniary moral cost or benefit associated with the action, which we denote as M . Decisions which an individual views as immoral, antisocial, or at odds with his or her own identity (Akerlof and Kranton, 2000, 2005) may impose important costs on the decision maker (see also Gazzaniga, 2005). This moral payoff might vary across people, religions, or societies.

In practice, many factors influence the moral costs associated with an action, but for modeling purposes, we focus on just three aspects of the moral determinant. The first of these is the financial externality that an action imposes on others. The greater is the negative impact of an action on others, the more negative the moral payoff M . We model the externality as being an increasing function of the stakes of the game v . The second factor that influences the moral choice is the set of social norms or legal rules that govern behavior in a particular society. For instance, the mere fact that an action is illegal (for example, illicit drug use or smoking in restaurants), may impose an additional cost for partaking in such behavior. We denote these social norms against an action as n , with a greater value of n associated with a stronger norm against a behavior. Third, moral concerns depend on the nature and extent of how an individual's actions are scrutinized—such as whether the act is being televised, is taking place in front of one's children, or is performed under the watchful eye of an experimenter—as well as the way in which the process for reaching the decision and final allocation is emphasized (for example, in bargaining between husbands and wives, it is not just the final allocation that matters, but also the nature of the discussion by which the decision is reached). We denote the effect of scrutiny as s , with higher levels of s associated with greater moral costs.

³ Smith viewed decisions as a struggle between “passions” and an “impartial spectator”—a “moral hector who, looking over the shoulder of the economic man, scrutinizes every move he makes” (Grampp, 1948, p. 317, as cited in Ashraf, Camerer, and Loewenstein, 2005). For formal models of such issues, see, for instance, Becker (1974), Akerlof (1982), and Bernheim (1994).

With these considerations in place, focusing on the case in which utility is additively separable in the moral and wealth arguments, the utility function for individual i is:

$$U_i(a, v, n, s) = M_i(a, v, n, s) + W_i(a, v).$$

Framing the problem of utility maximization in this way yields several predictions. For example, in situations without a moral component, like the choice between investing in a stock or bond index, the model reverts back to a standard wealth maximization problem. However, when the wealth-maximizing action has a moral cost associated with it, the agent will deviate from that action to some extent towards an action that imposes a lower moral cost. The greater is the social norm against the wealth maximizing choice, or the greater the degree of scrutiny when the wealth-maximizing action has a social cost, the larger the deviation from that choice. In both cases, we envision the agent trading-off morality and wealth. When individuals follow different moral codes, they will generally make different choices when faced with the same decision problem. Typically, we expect that as the stakes of the game rise, wealth concerns will increase in importance relative to fairness concerns, although this need not always be the case.⁴

We would also expect that these various determinants of moral costs can interact, although the extent of such interaction remains an open empirical issue. For instance, for any given social norm n , as stakes v rise, the moral penalty for violating a given norm will be greater. As an example, people frown on shoplifting, but are much more forgiving of shoplifting than of embezzling millions of dollars. Likewise, the moral cost of violating a social norm increases as scrutiny s rises. For instance, an individual likely faces a larger utility loss from a crime if his capture is broadcast on CNN rather than merely recorded in his rap sheet.

The relevant social norms and the amount of scrutiny are not necessarily exogenously determined, but can be influenced in the context of real-world situations. For instance, panhandlers often emphasize physical deformities or carry placards claiming veteran's status to elicit greater sympathy from potential givers. When churches use "open" rather than "closed" collection baskets, they are acting in a manner consistent with recognition of the importance of norms and scrutiny, as potential contributors can not only see the total amount already gathered, but neighbors can witness each others' contributions (Soetevent, 2005).

The utility function we describe has relevance for a wide variety of behavior. For instance, the model explains why out-of-town visitors to a restaurant will leave a tip, even though they never intend to dine there in the future. Although leaving a tip imposes a financial cost on the diner, tipping provides an offsetting nonpe-

⁴ In Rabin's (1993) model, for sufficiently high stakes there will be no concern for fairness. Under our model there will be less, but potentially some, concern for others as stakes increase. Alternative models do exist, however; for example, Ledyard (1995) presents a model of voluntary contributions in which altruism and selfishness are traded off in such a way that an increase in the stakes has no influence on individual contributions.

cuniary reward. This behavior holds true even if one is eating alone, but probably even more so when there is a higher degree of scrutiny such as when you are accompanied by business clients, on a first date, or when another diner is observing your actions. Conlin, Lynn, and O'Donoghue (2003) present results from an extensive data set on tipping that confirms many of these intuitions.

Our primary interest here lies in developing the model's implications for the generalizability of lab experiments to naturally occurring contexts. When a laboratory experiment diverges from the real-world environment on certain dimensions of interest, the model provides a framework for predicting in what direction behavior in the lab will deviate from that outside the lab.

Implications for Experiments Designed To Measure Social Preferences

The issues that we raise are relevant for a wide range of experimental results, but their bite is likely to be greatest for those games with potential for a strong moral component to behavior. Research on social preferences, one of the most influential areas in experimental economics in recent years, fits this bill. This broad class of games, described earlier in Table 1, includes dictator and ultimatum bargaining games, public goods games, as well as trust and gift exchange games. Results from these types of experiments have been used to argue that pro-social preferences are important in a wide range of real-world settings (for example, Fehr and Gaechter, 2000; Camerer and Fehr, 2004)—an inference based on the assumption that the experimental findings are equally descriptive of the world at large.

In what follows, we examine the empirical evidence on possible complications arising when experimental findings are extrapolated to outside the lab. We are not denying that individuals have social preferences; indeed, our own model assumes that moral costs can be influenced by a concern for others as well as a concern for one's own appearance. Rather, we are interested in the extent to which the lab provides reasonable guidance as to the importance of such behavior in a wide range of naturally-occurring settings.

Scrutiny That Is Unparalleled in the Field

In the typical lab experiment, subjects enter an environment in which they are keenly aware that their behavior is being monitored, recorded, and subsequently scrutinized. Decades of research within psychology highlights the importance of the role obligations of being an experimental subject, the power of the experimenter herself, and the significance of the experimental situation. For instance, Orne (1962) wrote: "Just about any request which could conceivably be asked of the subject by a reputable investigator is legitimized by the quasi-magical phrase, 'This is an experiment,' and the shared assumption that a legitimate purpose will be served by the subject's behavior." Schultz (1969, p. 221) described the lab as having

a “superior–subordinate” relationship matched only by that of “parent and child, physician and patient, or drill sergeant and trainee.” Pierce (1908) warned of such effects almost a century ago:

It is to the highest degree probable that the subject[’s] . . . general attitude of mind is that of ready complacency and cheerful willingness to assist the investigator in every possible way by reporting to him those very things which he is most eager to find, and that the very questions of the experimenter . . . suggest the shade of reply expected. . . . Indeed . . . it seems too often as if the subject were now regarded as a stupid automaton.

The strength of such factors is so compelling that researchers in medical drug trials often go above and beyond using placebo and treatment groups by keeping the administrators themselves in the dark about which patients receive the treatment. In psychology experiments, to avoid demand-induced effects, subjects are often deceived about what exactly the investigator is measuring. In economics, however, deceptive practices are frowned upon. Clearly, the *nature* of scrutiny inherent in the lab is rarely encountered in the field, and represents an important aspect of the situation that needs to be accounted for when generalizing laboratory results.

Our theory suggests that such scrutiny will exaggerate the importance of pro-social behaviors relative to environments without such scrutiny. For example, List (2006) carries out gift exchange experiments in which buyers make price offers to sellers, and in return, sellers select the quality level of the good provided to the buyer. Higher quality goods are costlier for sellers to produce than lower quality goods, but are more highly valued by buyers. List began by running a standard gift exchange game in a laboratory context, but used experienced sports-card traders as subjects. The results mirrored the typical findings with other subject pools: strong evidence for social preferences was observed, in the sense that sellers offered higher quality levels to buyers who offered higher prices—although the sellers were not obligated by the rules of the game to do so. List then carried out a second lab experiment that maintained the central elements of the gift exchange game, but the goods exchanged in this lab treatment were actual baseball cards whose market values are heavily influenced by minor differences in condition that are difficult for untrained consumers to detect. If social preferences are present on the part of card sellers, then buyers who offer more money should be rewarded with higher-quality cards. When card sellers were brought into the lab to sell their cards, which were subsequently professionally graded, the results paralleled those obtained in the standard gift exchange game with student subjects.

List (2006) then moved from a lab environment (in which sellers knew their behavior was being scrutinized) to the sellers’ natural environment. Importantly, dealers in this treatment were unaware that their behavior was being recorded as part of an experiment. Confederates were sent as buying agents to approach sellers on the floor of a sports-card show, instructing them to offer different prices in

return for sports-cards of varying quality, just as in the lab treatment described above. When the dealers believed that consumers could not have the card graded or when there was likely to be little future interaction, little statistical relationship between price and quality emerged. Only when there were reputational consequences to a dealer (that is, when quality was verifiable and the potential for a long-term relationship existed), was high quality provided. The social preferences so routinely observed in the lab—even for this very same group of traders—were attenuated in the field.

Other field-generated data yield similar conclusions. For example, making use of personnel data from a leading United Kingdom-based fruit farm, Bandiera, Rasul, and Barankay (2005) find that behavior is consistent with a model of social preferences when workers can be monitored: when other workers can observe their productivity, workers internalize the negative externality that they impose on others under a relative compensation scheme. Yet this effect disappears when workers cannot monitor each other, which rules out pure altruism as the underlying cause of workers' behavior. Being monitored proves to be the critical factor influencing behavior in this study.

Relatedly, Benz and Meier (2006) compare how individuals behave in donation laboratory experiments with how the same individuals behave in the field. They find some evidence of correlation across situations, but find that subjects who have never contributed in the past to the charities gave 60 percent of their endowment to the charity in the lab experiment. Similarly, those who chose not to give to the charities in the two-year period after the experiment gave more than 50 percent of their experimental endowment to the charities in the lab experiment. Similar insights are reported in Laury and Taylor (forthcoming), who find little correlation between an "altruism parameter" estimated from a public goods lab experiment and actual contributions to a real public good (in this case, an urban tree-planting nonprofit organization).

In a "dining game," Gneezy, Haruvy, and Yafe (2004) find that behavior in a social dilemma game in the laboratory exhibits a considerable level of cooperative behavior—in the lab, students showed great reluctance to impose negative externalities. Yet, in a framed field experiment that resembles the laboratory game—diners were taken to eat at a restaurant—they find no evidence of cooperative play, even though both experimental samples are drawn from the same student population. They speculate that unfamiliarity with the task and confusion are two reasons why negative externalities are influential in the lab but not in the field. Such results are consistent with our model.

Overall, these results are consistent with the wealth of psychological literature that suggests there is only weak evidence of cross-situational consistency of behavior (for example, Mischel, 1968; Ross and Nisbett, 1991). Long ago, Hartshorne and May (1928) discovered that people who cheat in one situation are not the people who cheat in another. If this result spills over to measurement of pro-social preferences, it means either that (a) there is not a general cross-situational trait

called “social preferences,” and/or (b) the subjects view one situation as relevant to social preferences and the other as irrelevant.

Anonymity in the Lab and the Field

Another element of scrutiny is the degree of anonymity conferred upon experimental participants. Anonymity in this case takes two forms. One aspect of anonymity is between experimenter and subject; in some research designs, the experimenter cannot determine what actions the subject takes. This aspect of anonymity is our primary focus. Additionally, there is the question of anonymity among subjects, an issue to which we devote less attention.

In the typical lab experiment, the identity of the subject can readily be linked to individual choices by the experimenter. Our theory predicts that the absence of anonymity will be associated with an increased level of pro-social behavior relative to settings in which individuals are more anonymous.

If the lack of anonymity between the experimenter and subject contributes to pro-social behaviors, then taking steps to reduce the extent to which subjects are knowingly observed should reduce the amount of such behavior.⁵ To accomplish this goal, Hoffman et al. (1994; 1996) used a “double-blind” approach wherein the experimental monitor could not infer individual subjects’ actions in a dictator game. Hoffman, McCabe, Shachat, and Smith (1994) find that 22 of 48 dictators (46 percent) donate at least \$3 of a \$10 pie under normal experimental conditions, but when subject–experimenter anonymity is added, only 12 of 77 dictators (16 percent) give at least \$3. Hoffman, McCabe, Shachat, and Smith (1994, p. 371) conclude that observed “behavior may be due not to a taste for ‘fairness’ (other-regarding preferences), but rather to a social concern for what others may think, and for being held in high regard by others.” Davis and Holt (1993, p. 269) note that these results “indicate that this apparent generosity is not altruism, but rather seems to arise largely from concerns about opinions of outside observers,” which not only highlights the power of anonymity but also the important interaction between lab and anonymity effects. Consistent with this interpretation, Andreoni and Bernheim (2006) report subjects are much more likely to split the pie 50–50 in dictator games as scrutiny increases.⁶

List, Berrens, Bohara, and Kerkvleit (2004) adopt a different approach to generating anonymity between the subject and experimenter (as well as among subjects) using a “randomized response” technique. In this approach, for instance, a subject is told to answer “no” if either (a) they chose not to contribute to a public good, or (b) their mother was born in the first six months of the year. The

⁵ We find the lab a fine tool to explore this type of scrutiny, yet manipulating the experimental environment in this manner may induce other difficulties in interpretation. For example, lessons learned from social psychologists teach us that such efforts to ensure anonymity might result in subjects inferring that the experimenter “demands” them to behave in a manner that might be deemed unacceptable (Loewenstein, 1999).

⁶ It should be noted, however, that Bolton, Zwick, and Katok (1998) and Laury and Taylor (1995) collect data that cast doubt on Hoffman, McCabe, Shachat, and Smith’s (1994) results.

experimenter therefore cannot determine with certainty whether the subject contributed to the public good or not. List, Berrens, Bohara, and Kervleit (2004) found that as decisions became less anonymous, a greater number of subjects opted to give to the public good in a one-shot decision. Both the degree of anonymity between the experimenter and subject, as well as anonymity among subjects, proved important.

Other dimensions of anonymity can also affect giving. For instance, Haley and Fessler (2005) find that giving in a dictator game significantly increases when a pair of eyes is shown on the computer screen where the dictator makes the allocation. This simple manipulation—meant to signal that the subjects' actions were being observed—increased the proportion of nonzero givers from 55 percent in the control treatment to 88 percent in the “eyespot” treatment. Likewise, Allen (1965) reports that increases in privacy reduce conformity. Individuals are also more likely to conform with the social norm of hand-washing when they are being observed (Harris and Munger, 1989).

Context Matters and Is Not Completely Controlled By the Experimenter

The actions people take are affected by a dazzlingly complex set of relational situations, social norms, frames, past experiences, and the lessons gleaned from those experiences. Consequently, the experimental investigator often lacks complete control over the full context within which the subject makes decisions (see also Harrison and List, 2004).

Experimentalists are fully aware that context in their instructions, inducing role-playing, framing, and the like can influence subject behavior (for example, Roth, 1995; Hertwig and Ortmann, 2001; Bohnet and Cooter, 2005). In a wide range of experimental settings, subtle manipulations have been shown to have drastic effects on actions. Rates of defection in prisoner dilemma games swing wildly depending on whether subjects are playing a “Community” or “Wall Street” game (Ross and Ward, 1996); using terms like “opponents” versus “partners” influences play in a myriad of games (Burnham, McCabe, and Smith, 2000, offer an example); asking people to “contribute” or to “allocate” funds in a linear public goods game matters, as does whether the game is framed as a positive externality or a negative one (Andreoni, 1995). Further, whether the agent “punishes” or “assigns” points to other agents can considerably influence play (for example, Gintis, 2001).

Contextual factors beyond the control of the experimenter appear to have equally profound impacts on actions. Henrich et al. (2005) provide powerful evidence of such effects. This group of scholars conducted one-shot ultimatum, dictator, and public goods games in 15 different small-scale communities in developing countries. They found enormous variation in behavior across communities, differences they were able to relate to patterns of everyday life and the social norms operating in these various communities. For instance, as Henrich et al. (2005, p. 31) note, the Orma readily recognized “that the public goods game was similar to the *harambee*, a locally-initiated contribution that Orma households make when

a community decides to construct a public good such as a road or school,” and subsequently gave quite generously. Likewise, among the whale-hunting Lamalera of Indonesia and the Ache in Paraguay, societies with strong norms of sharing, very generous ultimatum game offers are observed and very few offers are rejected. Alternatively, in small-scale foraging societies, such as the Hadza of Tanzania, low offers and high rejection rates are observed in ultimatum games. As Henrich et al. note (2005, p. 33) these “contrasting behaviors seem to reflect their differing patterns of life, not any underlying logic of hunter-gatherer life ways.”

In all of the experiments Heinrich et al. (2005) conducted, the context that the experimenter can control—the payoffs, the description of the way the game is played, and so on—was almost identical. But the context that actors themselves brought to the game and that experimenters cannot control—like past experiences and internalized social norms—proved centrally important in the outcome of play.

These examples highlight that an aspect of the lab over which experimenters have incomplete control is that subjects may not be playing the game that the experimenter intends. For instance, lab experiments in economics often seek to eliminate concerns as to whether behavior is motivated by a desire to build a reputation by using one-shot experimental designs. The basis for this methodology is that in a one-shot game, subjects will only display cooperative or pro-social behavior out of “social preference reciprocity,” rather than because they are seeking to build and maintain a good reputation so other people will cooperate with them in the future. However, many real-world activities that have aspects of dictator, ultimatum, trust, or gift exchange games, public good provision, and other social dilemmas are typically not one-time encounters, but rather repeated games (for example, Hoffman, McCabe, and Smith, 1996; Ortmann and Hertwig, 2000; Harrison and Rutstrom, 2001). Effectively, personal experiences may cause the subjects to play these one-shot games as if they have some repetition, and the experimenter may have little or no ability to moderate this phenomenon. The Henrich et al. (2005) study of ultimatum games around the world showed that participants in laboratory games are likely to retrieve experiences and strategies that, unbeknownst to the experimenter, change the nature of the games. If an experimenter mistakenly assumes that the agent is treating the game as one-shot, reputation-building behavior can be misconstrued as social preferences.

While researchers might hope that experimental subjects will make clear strategic adjustments from repeated contexts to one-shot games, the empirical evidence is mixed. For instance, in a review of 15 studies that compare behavior across voluntary contribution games where subjects are randomly re-matched with new partners every round, as opposed to being paired with the same subjects over all rounds, Andreoni and Croson (forthcoming) report that five studies find more cooperation among the randomly re-matched, six find more cooperation among the repeatedly paired, and four studies fail to find a difference between the two treatments.

On the other hand, Fehr and Fischbacher (2003) find that responders react strongly to the possibility of acquiring a reputation; Andreoni and Miller (1993)

report similar insights using data drawn from a prisoner's dilemma game. However, even results that suggest that subjects have an ability to distinguish between situations that have different prospects for future interaction do not necessarily imply that subjects behave in a one-shot experimental situation as if *no* prospect exists for future interaction. The received results are entirely consistent with a model whereby subjects recognize the difference between games with and without an explicit future, but still hold some prospect for future interaction in games described as one-shot (Samuelson, 2005).

While we know of no evidence that suggests those who exhibit strong social preferences in the lab behave similarly outside the lab, we do not doubt that such evidence can be collected. Yet, even if such data are gathered, many simple manipulations in the lab experiment can yield drastically different measures of individual propensities. This result does not necessarily imply that preferences are labile. Rather, we view such data as evidence that when critical elements of the situation change, behavior will change in predictable ways.⁷

Stakes

Our model predicts that in games that have both a morality and wealth component, financial concerns will take on increasing prominence as the stakes rise. The evidence in the literature is only partially consistent with this view. In dictator games, a large increase in stakes generally leads to a less-than-proportionate increase in money transferred. For example, in Carpenter, Verhoogen, and Burks (2005), an increase in stakes from \$10 to \$100 caused the median offer to drop from 40 percent to 20 percent of the endowment. This result is much weaker for smaller changes in stakes: Cherry, Frykblom, and Shogren (2002) find no perceptible differences in offers across a \$10 and \$40 dictator game. Stakes effects have also been found in second-mover play in ultimatum games, in which the acceptance rate is generally increasing in the amount offered, conditional on the share offered—that is, a \$1 offer in a \$5 game is rejected more often than a \$100 offer in a \$500 game. Slonim and Roth (1998) find that in each range of offers below 50 percent, the acceptance rate goes up as the level of stakes increase (from 60 to 1500 Slovak koruna, the latter of which represents eight days of wages for the typical worker). In another type of game that involves some form of trust, the centipede game, Parco, Rapoport, and Stein (2002) similarly find that raising financial incentives causes a breakdown in mutual trust.⁸ Fehr, Fischbacher, and Tougareva (2002), however, report fairness concerns play an important role for both low and high stakes in trust and gift exchange games.

⁷ In this spirit, our arguments bear similarities to the Lucas critique.

⁸ The centipede game is an extensive form game that involves potentially several rounds of decisions. The game begins with player one deciding whether to take the payoff in the pot or to pass the decision to player two. If passed, player two then has a similar decision over a different payoff space. After each passing of the pot, the summation of payoffs is slightly increased, but the payoffs are arranged so that if one player passes and the opponent takes the pot, the player that passed receives less than if he or she had taken the pot.

We are not arguing that low stakes games in the lab have no market parallels; we take part in such transactions in well-functioning markets everyday. Our point is that if the analyst does not account properly for the differences in stakes across settings, inaccurate inference concerning the importance of pro-social preferences will likely result. The magnitude of such mismeasurement is a rich area for future research, and it would be interesting to compare the size of the low-stakes effect with that of the other factors discussed above.

Selection into the Experiment

If participants in laboratory studies differ in systematic ways from the actors engaged in the targeted real-world settings, attempts to generalize lab results directly might be frustrated. Most laboratory experiments have been conducted using students who self-select into the experiments. As Doty and Silverthorne (1975, p. 139) note, volunteers in human research “typically have more education, higher occupational status, earlier birth position, lower chronological age, higher need for approval and lower authoritarianism than non-volunteers.” Indeed, Rosenthal and Rosnow (1969) conclude that social experimentation is largely the science of “punctual college sophomore” volunteers, and have further argued that subjects are more likely to be “scientific do-gooders,” interested in the research, or students who readily cooperate with the experimenter and seek social approval (see also Orne, 1962).⁹

In contrast, market participants are likely to be a selected sample of individuals whose traits allow them to excel in the marketplace. If such markets select agents who place a higher (or lower) value of W (or M) on decision tasks than student subjects, then one might suspect that the nature of the student selection into lab experiments might yield exaggerated pro-social behavior relative to such markets. On the other hand, lab participants may have less pro-social preferences than those who select into particular naturally-occurring environments, such as the clergy or public defenders.

One approach to investigating subject pool biases is to examine whether professionals, or other representative agents, and students behave similarly in laboratory experiments. Fehr and List (2004) examine experimentally how chief executive officers (CEOs) in Costa Rica behave in trust games and compare their behavior with that of Costa Rican students. They find that CEOs are considerably

⁹ When experimentally naïve high school students were asked, “How do you think the typical human subject is expected to behave in a psychology experiment?” over 70 percent circled characteristics labeled “cooperative” and “alert” (Rosenthal and Rosnow, 1973, pp. 136–7). However, these discussions typically revolve around social psychology experiments. Since economic experiments involve different subject matter and involve monetary payments, such arguments might not generalize across disciplines. Kagel, Battalio, and Walker (1979) offer some evidence that volunteer subjects in an economics experiment have more interest in the subject than nonvolunteers, but other important variables are not different across volunteers and nonvolunteers.

more trusting and exhibit more trustworthiness than students.¹⁰ These differences in behavior may mean that CEOs are more trusting in everyday life, or it may be that CEOs are more sensitive to the lab and non-anonymity effects discussed above, or that the stakes are so low for the CEOs that the sacrifice to wealth of making the moral choice is infinitesimal.

A related issue concerns the possibility that only certain types of participants—students or professionals—are willing to take part in the experiment. For example, volunteers, whether students or CEOs, who have social preferences or who readily cooperate with the experimenter and seek social approval *might* be those who are most likely to participate in the experiment. In this case, games that purport to measure pro-social behaviors will yield upper bound estimates on the propensities of the target population.

Some limited but suggestive data from field and lab experiments supports this argument about selection into laboratory gift exchange experiments. When List (2006) approached a number of sports-card sellers about participating in the laboratory experiment described earlier, some sellers declined his invitation. But later and unbeknownst to them, these same sellers participated in the parallel field experiment. Those who declined to participate in the lab portion of the experiment were less pro-social in the field compared to dealers who agreed to participate in the lab experiment, although the differences were imprecisely measured due to small sample sizes and therefore not statistically significant at conventional levels. In a series of dictator games, Eckel and Grossman (2000) compare volunteers (those who select into the lab for an experiment) and pseudo-volunteers (those who are part of a class that is asked to participate during class time). Besides finding observable differences across the subject pools, they find that pseudo-volunteers give more than volunteers, but also that volunteers behave in a less extreme manner than pseudo-volunteers.

Artificial Restrictions on Choice Sets and Time Horizons

Another issue closely related to those that we raise in the model is that in experiments, the researcher creates a set of rules governing the interactions, chooses the wording of instructions, and defines the set of actions the subject is allowed to take. In stark contrast, in naturally occurring environments, the choice set often is almost limitless and institutions arise endogenously.

Even among those who choose to participate in lab experiments, restrictions on the available choice set can affect observed behavior. For example, pro-social behavior might be observed less frequently in markets merely because people can avoid situations where they must make costly contributions to signal their generosity. This idea is illustrated in Lazear, Malmendier, and Weber (2006), who in an

¹⁰ Harbaugh, Krause, Liday, and Vesterlund (2003) conducted a set of trust experiments with students in third, sixth, ninth, and twelfth grade and found little variation across the participants in terms of trust and trustworthiness. However, in dictator games, the youngest children tend to make considerably smaller transfers than do older children and adults.

experiment allowed agents an opportunity to pay to opt out of playing the dictator game. They find that “the majority of subjects share without really wanting to, as evidenced by their willingness to avoid the dictator game and to even pay for avoiding it.” Such forces are readily observable in the field as well—panhandlers receive less in gifts if passersby can easily “sort” themselves to the other side of the road to avoid interaction.

Another example of how the available choice set influences play in the dictator game can be found in Bardsley (2005) and List (forthcoming). In the typical dictator game, the subject is given, say, \$10 and asked what portion the subject would like to share with the other player who received less than \$10. The experiment is framed such that “giving nothing” is the least generous act, and substantial sums of money are given away. If instead, the subject is given \$10 and is told that the rules allow giving any portion of this money away to the second player, or confiscating up to an additional \$10 from the other player, subjects give little to the other player. Likewise, Andreoni, Brown, and Vesterlund (2002) make use of a sequential public goods game with an asymmetric equilibrium and find results consistent with the data in Bardsley and List. Real-world contexts typically offer the option of both giving and receiving, which may help explain in part why, contrary to the lab environment, people rarely receive anonymous envelopes with cash inside.

These examples also highlight that laboratory experiments often restrict the response mode to a single dimension, whereas real-world settings almost always involve multiple response modes. Consider again the act of giving within a dictator game. An agent who is inclined to help others might give money in the dictator game in the lab. In the field, this same agent might give nothing, instead using other more efficient means to express generosity, such as volunteering time to help others. In this example, the laboratory evidence is consistent with some type of broader preference, but that preference might be expressed through a different activity in the field. Thus, when making comparisons across domains, one should take care to include all relevant dimensions.

Related to the choice set is the nature and temporal aspect of the task. Laboratory experiments usually consist of at most a few hours of fairly passive activities. For example, in a standard trust, or gift exchange, games in the laboratory, student subjects typically play several rounds of the game by choosing an effort or wage level (by circling or jotting down a number) in response to pecuniary incentive structures. The experiment usually lasts about an hour and a result often observed is that effort levels and wages are positively correlated. Such results are often interpreted as providing support for the received labor market predictions of Akerlof (1982) that the employer–employee relationship contains elements of gift exchange.

Such inference raises at least two relevant issues. First, is real-world, on-the-job effort different in nature from that required in lab tasks? Second, does the effect that we observe in the lab manifest itself over longer time periods? The evidence is sparse within the experimental economics literature on these issues, but studies are beginning to emerge. Using data gathered from a test of the gift exchange

hypothesis in an actual labor market, Gneezy and List (2006) find that worker effort in the first few hours on the job is considerably higher in a “gift” treatment than in a “non-gift” treatment. However, after the initial few hours, no difference in outcomes was observed over the ensuing days of work. The notion that positive wage shocks do not invoke long-run effects in effort levels is also consistent with data reported in Al-Ubaydli, Steffen, Gneezy, and List (2006), Hennig-Schmidt, Rockenbach, and Sadrieh’s (2006) field experiment, and Kube, Maréchal, and Puppe (2006). These results suggest that great care should be taken before making inference from short-run laboratory experiments to long-run field environments.¹¹

Such insights are consonant with results from the psychology literature in that important behavioral differences exist between short-run (“hot”) and long-run (“cold”) decision making. In the hot phase, visceral factors and emotions might prove quite important, whereas in the cold phase, immediate reactions may be suppressed. Loewenstein (2005) reviews some of the empirical evidence on behavioral differences across cold and hot states.

Generalizing the Findings of Laboratory Experiments to Actual Markets

We believe that several features of the laboratory setting need to be carefully considered before generalizing results from experiments that measure pro-social behaviors to market settings they purport to describe. The model that we advance provides a framework to begin a discussion of the relevant economic and psychological factors that might influence behavior. Such factors include both the representativeness of the situation as well as the representativeness of the population: the nature and extent of scrutiny, the emphasis on the process by which decisions are made, the artificial limits placed on the action space, the imposition of task, the selection rules into the environments, and the stakes typically at risk.

In contrast to the lab, many real-world markets operate in ways that make pro-social behavior much less likely. In financial markets, for instance, the stakes are large, actors are highly anonymous, and little concern seems to exist about future analysis of one’s behavior. Individuals with strong social preferences are likely to self-select away from these markets, instead hiring agents who lack such preferences to handle their financial dealings. Thus, one must take great care when claiming that patterns measured in the experimental economics laboratory are

¹¹ Naturally occurring data concerning the effects of pay shocks on work effort is mixed. Chen (2005), who uses a large data set drawn from the Australian Workplace Industrial Relations Survey to explore reciprocity in the workplace, finds little evidence consistent with reciprocity. Lee and Rupp (2006) examine the effort responses of U.S. commercial airline pilots following recent pay cuts, and find that such effects are very short-lived, consistent with Gneezy and List (2006). In the first week after a pay cut, frequent and longer flight delays are observed, but after the first week, airline flight performance reverts to previous levels. On the other hand, Krueger and Mas (2004) provide evidence consistent with negative reciprocity on the part of disgruntled Firestone employees, and Mas (forthcoming) documents persistent adverse effects on police performance following arbitration decisions in favor of the municipality.

shared broadly by agents in certain real-world markets. It seems highly unlikely, for instance, that at the end of a day's trading, a successful trader would seek out the party that was on the wrong side of a market move and donate a substantial fraction of the day's profits to the person who lost—even though parallel behavior is routine in certain experiments. In addition, there is some trend in retail transactions away from an environment that fosters pro-social behavior towards one that does not, because of the rise of Internet sales and large retail chains.

In some naturally occurring settings, however, lab findings may *understate* the extent of pro-social actions. The degree of scrutiny present when making choices in front of one's children, or when one's actions are being televised, may far outstrip that in the lab. Thus, Levitt (2005) finds no evidence of discrimination towards blacks or women by participants on the televised game show "The Weakest Link." Also, inference from lab experiments measuring social preferences is typically based on interactions of complete strangers, anonymity between subjects, an absence of any social relations between subjects, and restricted communication channels between subjects. To the extent that such factors are not introduced into the lab environment by experimental subjects (yet, see Eckel and Wilson, 2004, footnote 15; Samuelson, 2005), such factors in the real world could induce a greater level of social preferences. For instance, one expects to find a great deal of altruism amongst family members, close friends, and comrades-in-arms. It is important to stress, however, that in settings with repeated interactions, it is difficult to distinguish between pro-social preferences and strategic actions taken with the goal of reputation building. Purely selfishly motivated individuals may forego short-term private gains, for instance, to support a cooperative equilibrium in an infinitely repeated prisoner's dilemma. When a firm treats an employee in a manner that is consistent with social preferences, the firm may simply be pursuing profit maximization. More careful empirical work in this area is warranted.

In addition, other important forces that are at work in naturally occurring markets can be absent in the lab. As Glaeser (2004) notes, it may be in the best interests of sophisticated agents to design institutions in such a way as to exploit the anomalous tendencies of others with whom they interact. Della Vigna and Malmendier (2006) provide an excellent example in the manner in which health clubs structure fees. Levitt (2004) similarly shows that bookmakers set lines that take advantage of the inherent biases of bettors. If certain markets are arranged whereby entrepreneurs must raise the prevalence of social behaviors to maximize their own profits, then the lab evidence might underestimate the importance of social preferences in comparison to such markets.¹²

¹² In the long run, the impact of endogenously generated institutions on the amount of pro-social behavior is ambiguous. For instance, we learn from evolutionary biology that selection pressures can work against organisms that overextract from their hosts. In this case, firms that implement such policies can be displaced by firms that extract less from consumers. Even without such evolutionary competition, or in cases where incumbency advantages are large, if such institutions significantly raise the cost of faulty decision making, learning might occur more quickly and to a greater degree in markets than in the lab. However, if feedback mechanisms are weak in the field, such effects may generally not be observed.

Concluding Remarks

Perhaps the most fundamental question in experimental economics is whether findings from the lab are likely to provide reliable inferences outside of the laboratory. In this paper, we argue that the choices that individuals make depend not just on financial implications, but also on the nature and degree of others' scrutiny, the particular context in which a decision is embedded, and the manner in which participants are selected to participate. Because the lab systematically differs from most naturally occurring environments on these dimensions, experiments may not always yield results that are readily generalizable. Specifically, we argue that lab experiments generally exhibit a special type of scrutiny, a context that places extreme emphasis on the process by which decisions and allocations are reached, and a particular selection mechanism for participants. In contrast, many real-world markets are typified by a different type of scrutiny, little focus on process, and very different forms of self-selection of participants.

The points we make concerning generalizability of lab data apply with equal force to generalizing from data generated from naturally occurring environments. Empirical economists understand that studies of sumo wrestlers or sports-card traders cannot be seamlessly extrapolated to other economic settings. Any empirical estimate requires an appropriate theory for proper inference—and this lesson holds whether the data are obtained in the lab, from coin collector shows, or from government surveys. We envision similar practices among experimental economics: just as economists would want a model of firm and consumer behavior to tell what parameter we are estimating when we regress quantities on prices, we need a model of laboratory behavior to describe the data-generating process, and how it is related to other contexts. Theory is the tool that permits us to take results from one environment to predict in another, and generalizability of laboratory evidence should be no exception.

The discussion in this paper suggests three important conclusions regarding research design and interpretation. First, combining laboratory analysis with a model of decision-making, such as the model we present in this paper, expands the potential role of lab experiments. By anticipating the types of biases common to the lab, experiments can be designed to minimize such biases. Further, knowing the sign and plausible magnitude of any biases induced by the lab, one can extract useful information from a study, even if the results cannot be seamlessly extrapolated outside the lab. In this sense, even in cases where lab results are believed to have little generalizability, some number from a laboratory estimate is better than no number, provided that a theoretical model is used to make appropriate inference.

Second, by adopting experimental designs that recognize the potential weaknesses of the lab, the usefulness of lab studies can be enhanced. For instance, one approach is to “nest” laboratory experiments one within another and then examine the different results of the related experiments. This approach may serve to “net out” laboratory effects and thus reveal more about deep structural parameters than running a simple, more traditional, experimental design. Additionally, lab exper-

iments that focus on *qualitative* insights can provide a crucial first understanding and suggest underlying mechanisms that might be at work when certain data patterns are observed. Indeed, many of the arguments that we put forth in this study can be usefully explored using a laboratory experiment. Further, in the area of social dilemmas, laboratory experiments might help to illuminate whether punishing those who defect from pro-social behavior is a more powerful force than rewarding those who practice pro-social behavior.

Finally, recognizing that shortcomings exist in both lab-generated data and data from natural settings, an empirical approach that combines the best of each is appealing. A well-designed field experiment, incorporating the virtues of true randomization, but in a setting more representative of the behavior about which economists are seeking to learn, can serve as a bridge connecting these two empirical approaches.

■ *Thanks to seminar participants at the 2005 International Meetings of the ESA for useful suggestions. Excellent suggestions from James Andreoni, Nicholas Bardsley, Gary Becker, Gary Charness, David Cooper, Dan Gilbert, Uri Gneezy, Hays Golden, Glenn Harrison, Reid Hastie, Dean Karlan, Dan Levin, Jayson Lusk, Ulrike Malmendier, Ted McConnell, Kevin Murphy, Andreas Ortmann, Charles Plott, Jesse Shapiro, Andrei Shleifer, Robert Slonim, and Richard Thaler greatly improved the study. Colin Camerer, Ernst Fehr, and Alvin Roth provided detailed comments and made suggestions that have resulted in many improvements, although not as many as they would have wished. Seminar participants at Brigham Young University, University of Chicago, Laval University, McMaster University, Oberlin College, and the University of Nevada, Reno also provided useful feedback on bits and pieces of this research. Financial support for Levitt came from the National Science Foundation and the Sherman Shapiro Research Fund. An earlier version of this paper that discussed the generalizability of a much wider class of experiments circulated under the title "What Do Laboratory Experiments Tell Us about the Real World?"*

References

- Akerlof, George A. 1982. "Labor Contracts as Partial Gift Exchange." *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, November, 97(4): 543–69.
- Akerlof, George A., and Rachel E. Kranton. 2000. "Economics and Identity." *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, August, 115(3): 715–53.
- Akerlof, George A., and Rachel E. Kranton. 2005. "Identity and the Economics of Organizations." *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, Winter, 19(1): 9–32.
- Allen, Vernon. 1965. "Situational Factors in Conformity." In *Advances in Experimental and Social Psychology*, vol. 2, ed. Leonard Berkowitz, 133–76. New York: Academic Press.
- Al-Ubaydli, Omar, Steffen Andersen, Uri Gneezy, and John A. List. "Incentive Schemes to Promote Optimal Work Performance: Evidence from a Multi-Tasking Field Experiment." Unpublished paper, University of Chicago.
- Andreoni, James. 1995. "Cooperation in Public Goods Experiments: Kindness or Confusion?" *American Economic Review*, 85(4): 891–904.
- Andreoni, James, and B. Douglas Bernheim. 2006. "Social Image and the 50–50 Norm: Theory

and Experimental Evidence." <http://www.hss.caltech.edu/media/seminar-papers/bernheim.pdf>.

Andreoni, James, Paul Brown, and Lise Vest-erlund. 2002. "What Makes an Allocation Fair? Some Experimental Evidence." *Games and Economic Behavior*, 40(1): 1–24.

Andreoni, James, and Rachel Croson. Forthcoming. "Partners versus Strangers: Random Rematching in Public Goods Experiments." *Handbook of Experimental Economic Results*, ed. C. Plott and V. Smith.

Andreoni, James, and John Miller. 1993. "Rational Cooperation in the Finitely Repeated Prisoner's Dilemma: Experimental Evidence." *Economic Journal*, 103(418): 570–85.

Ashraf, Nava, Colin F. Camerer, and George Loewenstein. 2005. "Adam Smith, Behavioral Economist." *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 19(3): 131–45.

Bandiera, Oriana, Iwan Rasul, and Imran Barankay. 2005. "Social Preferences and the Response to Incentives: Evidence from Personnel Data." *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 120(3): 917–62.

Bardsley, Nicholas. 2005. "Altruism or Artifact? A Note on Dictator Game Giving." Center for Decision Research and Experimental Economics Discussion Paper 2005-10.

Becker, Gary S. 1974. "A Theory of Social Interactions." *Journal of Political Economy*, 82(6): 1063–93.

Benz, Matthias, and Stephan Meier. 2006. "Do People Behave in Experiments as in Real Life? Evidence from Donations." Institute for Empirical Research in Economics, University of Zurich, Working Paper 248.

Berg, Joyce, John W. Dickhaut, and Kevin A. McCabe. 1995. "Trust, Reciprocity, and Social History." *Games and Economic Behavior*, July 10(1): 122–42.

Bernheim, B.D. 1994. "A Theory of Conformity." *Journal of Political Economy*, 102(5): 841–77.

Bohnet, Iris, and Robert D. Cooter. 2005. "Expressive Law: Framing or Equilibrium Selection?" John F. Kennedy School of Government Faculty Research Working Paper RWP03-046.

Bolton, Gary E., Rami Zwick, and Elena Katok. 1998. "Dictator Game Giving: Rules of Fairness Versus Acts of Kindness." *International Journal of Game Theory*, 27(2): 269–99.

Burnham, Terence, Kevin McCabe, and Vernon L. Smith. 2000. "Friend-or-Foe Intentionality Priming in an Extensive Form Trust Game." *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization*, 43(1): 57–73.

Camerer, Colin F., and Ernst Fehr. 2004 "Measuring Social Norms and Preferences Using Ex-

perimental Games: A Guide for Social Scientists." In *Foundations of Human Sociality: Economic Experiments and Ethnographic Evidence from Fifteen Small-Scale Societies*, eds. Joseph Henrich et al., 55–95. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Camerer, Colin F., and Keith Weigelt. 1988. "Experimental Tests of a Sequential Equilibrium Reputation Model." *Econometrica*, 56(1): 1–36.

Carpenter, Jeffrey, Eric Verhoogen, and Stephen Burks. 2005. "The Effect of Stakes in Distribution Experiments." *Economics Letters*, March, 86(3): 393–8.

Chen, Paul. 2005. "Reciprocity at the Workplace: Do Fair Wages Lead to Higher Effort, Productivity, and Profitability?" http://gemini.econ.umd.edu/cgi-bin/conference/download.cgi?db_name=esam06&paper_id=222.

Cherry, Todd, Peter Frykblom, and Jason F. Shogren. 2002. "Hardnose the Dictator." *American Economic Review*, 92(4): 1218–21.

Conlin, Michael, Michael Lynn, and Ted O'Donoghue. 2003. "The Norm of Restaurant Tipping." *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization*, 52(3): 297–321.

Davis, Douglas D., and Charles Holt. 1993. *Experimental Economics*. Princeton University Press.

DellaVigna, Stefano, and Ulrike Malmendier. 2006. "Paying Not to Go to the Gym." *American Economic Review*, 96(3): 694–719.

Doty, Richard L., and Colin Silverthorne. 1975. "Influence of Menstrual Cycle on Volunteering Behavior." *Nature*, (March, 13), 254(5496): 139–40.

Eckel, Catherine C., and Phillip Grossman. 1996. "Altruism in Anonymous Dictator Games." *Games and Economic Behavior*, 16(2): 181–191.

Eckel, Catherine C., and Philip J. Grossman. 2000. "Volunteers and Pseudo-Volunteers: The Effect of Recruitment Method in Dictator Experiments." *Experimental Economics*, 3(2): 107–120.

Eckel, Catherine C., and Rick K. Wilson. 2004. "Is Trust a Risky Decision?" *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization*, December, 55(4): 447–65.

Fehr, Ernst, and Urs Fischbacher. 2003. "The Nature of Human Altruism." *Nature*, 425(6960): 785–91.

Fehr, Ernst, Urs Fischbacher, and E. Tougareva. 2002. "Do High Stakes and Competition Undermine Fairness? Evidence from Russia." Institute for Empirical Research in Economics, University of Zürich, Working Paper 120.

Fehr, Ernst, and Simon Gächter. 2000. "Fairness and Retaliation: The Economics of Reci-

- procity." *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 14(3): 159–81.
- Fehr, Ernst, Simon Gächter, and Georg Kirchsteiger.** 1997. "Reciprocity as a Contract Enforcement Device: Experimental Evidence." *Econometrica*, 65(4): 833–60.
- Fehr, Ernst, George Kirchsteiger, and Arno Riedl.** 1993. "Does Fairness Prevent Market Clearing? An Experimental Investigation." *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 108(2): 437–59.
- Fehr, Ernst, and John A. List.** 2004. "The Hidden Costs and Returns of Incentives—Trust and Trustworthiness among CEOs." *Journal of the European Economic Association*, 2(5): 743–71.
- Gazzaniga, Michael S.** 2005. *The Ethical Brain*. Dana Press.
- Gintis, Herbert.** 2001. "The Contribution of Game Theory to Experimental Design in the Behavioral Sciences." *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 24(3): 411–12.
- Glaeser, Edward.** 2004. "Psychology and the Market." *American Economic Association Papers and Proceedings*, 94(2): 408–13.
- Gneezy, Uri, Ernan Haruvy, and H. Yafe.** 2004. "The Inefficiency of Splitting the Bill: A Lesson in Institution Design." *The Economic Journal*, April, 114(495): 265–80.
- Gneezy, Uri, and John A. List.** 2006. "Putting Behavioral Economics to Work: Field Evidence of Gift Exchange." *Econometrica*, September, 74(5): 1365–84.
- Grampp, William.** 1948. "Adam Smith and the Economic Man." *The Journal of Political Economy*, August, 56(4): 315–36.
- Guth, Werner, Rolf Schmittberger, and Bernd Schwarze.** 1982. "An Experimental Analysis of Ultimatum Bargaining." *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization*, 3(2): 367–88.
- Haley, Kevin J., and Daniel M. T. Fessler.** 2005. "Nobody's Watching? Subtle Cues Affect Generosity in an Anonymous Economic Game." *Evolution of Human Behavior*, 26(3): 245–56.
- Harbaugh, William T., Kate Krause, Steven G. Liday, and Lise Vesterlund.** 2003. "Trust in Children." In *Trust, Reciprocity and Gains from Association: Interdisciplinary Lessons from Experimental Research*, ed. Elinor Ostrom and James Walker, 303–22. New York City, NY: Russell Sage Foundation.
- Harris, S. J., and K. Munger.** 1989. "Effects of an Observer on Hand Washing in Public Restrooms." *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 69, pp. 733–5.
- Harrison, Glenn W., and John A. List.** 2004. "Field Experiments." *Journal of Economic Literature*, December, 42(4): 1009–55.
- Harrison, Glenn W., and Elisabet Rutstrom.** 2001. "Doing It Both Ways—Experimental Practice and Heuristic Context." *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 24(3): 413–4.
- Hartshorne, Hugh, and Mark A. May.** 1928. *Studies in Deceit*. New York: Macmillan.
- Hennig-Schmidt, Heike, Bettina Rockenbach, and Abdolkarim Sadrieh.** 2006. "In Search of Workers' Real Effort Reciprocity—A Field and a Laboratory Experiment." Governance and the Efficiency of Economic Systems Working Paper 55. Free University of Berlin, Humboldt University of Berlin.
- Henrich, Joseph., et al.** 2005. "'Economic Man' in Cross-Cultural Perspective: Ethnography and Experiments from 15 Small-Scale Societies." *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*. 28(6): 795–815.
- Hertwig, Ralph, and Andreas Ortmann.** 2001. "Experimental Practices in Economics: A Challenge for Psychologists?" *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 24(4): 383–451.
- Hoffman, Elizabeth, Kevin McCabe, Keith Shachat, and Vernon Smith.** 1994. "Preferences, Property Rights, and Anonymity in Bargaining Games." *Games and Economic Behavior*, 7(3): 346–80.
- Hoffman, Elizabeth, Kevin McCabe, and Vernon L. Smith.** 1996. "Social Distance and Other-Regarding Behavior in Dictator Games." *American Economic Review*, June, 86(3): 653–60.
- Holt, Charles A.** 2006. *Markets, Games, and Strategic Behavior: Recipes for Interactive Learning*. Addison-Wesley.
- Kagel, John H., Raymond C. Battalio, and James M. Walker.** 1979. "Volunteer Artifacts in Experiments in Economics: Specification of the Problem and Some Initial Data from a Small-Scale Field Experiment." *Research in Experimental Economics*, ed. Vernon L. Smith, 169–97. JAI Press.
- Kahneman, Daniel, Jack L. Knetsch, and Richard H. Thaler.** 1986. "Fairness as a Constraint on Profit Seeking: Entitlements in the Market." *American Economic Review*, 76(4): 728–41.
- Krueger, Alan B., and Alexandre Mas.** 2004. "Strikes, Scabs, and Tread Separations: Labor Strife and the Production of Defective Bridgestone/Firestone Tires." *Journal of Political Economy*, 112(2): 253–89.
- Kube, Sebastian, Michel André Maréchal, and Clemens Puppe.** 2006. "Putting Reciprocity to Work: Positive versus Negative Responses in the Field." University of St. Gallen Department of Economics Discussion Paper 2006-27.
- Laury, Susan K., James M. Walker, and Arlington W. Williams.** 1995. "Anonymity and the Vol-

- untary Provision of Public Goods." *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization*, 27(3): 365–80.
- Laury, Susan K., and Laura O. Taylor.** Forthcoming. "Altruism Spillovers: Are Behaviors in Context-free Experiments Predictive of Altruism toward a Naturally Occurring Public Good?" *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization*.
- Lazear, Edward P., Ulrike Malmendier, and Roberto A. Weber.** 2006. "Sorting in Experiments." National Bureau of Economic Research Working Paper 12041.
- Ledyard, John O.** 1995. "Public Goods: A Survey of Experimental Research." In *Handbook of Experimental Economics*, ed. J. Kagel and A. Roth, chap. 2. NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Lee, Darin, and Nicholas G. Rupp.** 2006. "Retracting a Gift: How Does Employee Effort Respond to Wage Reductions?" <http://www.ecu.edu/econ/wp/05/ecu0523.pdf>.
- Levitt, Steven D.** 2004. "Why Are Gambling Markets Organized So Differently from Financial Markets?" *Economic Journal*, 114(495): 223–46.
- Levitt, Steven D.** 2005. "Testing Theories of Discrimination: Evidence from the Weakest Link." *Journal of Law & Economics*, 47(2): 431–52.
- Levitt, Steven D., and John A. List.** 2006. "What Do Laboratory Experiments Tell Us about the Real World?" <http://pricetheory.uchicago.edu/levitt/Papers/jep%20revision%20Levitt%20&%20List.pdf>.
- List, John A.** 2006. "The Behavioralist Meets the Market: Measuring Social Preferences and Reputation Effects in Actual Transactions." *Journal of Political Economy*, 114(1): 1–37.
- List, John A.** Forthcoming. "On the Interpretation of Giving in Dictator Games." *Journal of Political Economy*.
- List, John A., Robert Berrens, Alok Bohara, and Joe Kerkvliet.** 2004. "Examining the Role of Social Isolation on Stated Preferences." *American Economic Review*, 94(3), pp. 741–52.
- Loewenstein, George.** 1999. "Experimental Economics from the Vantage-Point of Behavioural Economics." *Economic Journal*, February 109(453): F23–34.
- Loewenstein, George.** 2005. "Hot-cold Empathy Gaps and Medical Decision-Making." *Health Psychology*, 24(4): S49–S56.
- Mas, Alexandre.** 2006. "Pay, Reference Points, and Police Performance." *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 121(3): 783–821.
- Mischel, Walter.** 1968. *Personality and Assessment*. New York: Wiley.
- Orne, Martin T.** 1962. "On the Social Psychological Experiment: With Particular Reference to Demand Characteristics and Their Implications." *American Psychologist*, 17(10): 776–83.
- Ortmann, Andreas, and Ralph Hertwig.** 2000. "Why Anomalies Cluster in Experimental Tests of One-Shot and/or Finitely Repeated Games: Some Evidence from Psychology." <http://home.cerge.cuni.cz/Ortmann/Papers/10baseratesii06142000.pdf>.
- Parco, James E., Amnon Rapoport, and William E. Stein.** 2002. "Effects of Financial Incentives on the Breakdown of Mutual Trust." *Psychological Science*, 13(3): 292–7.
- Pierce, A. H.** 1908. "The Subconscious Again." *Journal of Philosophy, Psychology, & Scientific Methods*, 5(10): 264–71.
- Rabin, Matthew.** 1993. "Incorporating Fairness into Game Theory and Economics." *American Economic Review*, December, 83(5): 1281–1302.
- Rosenthal, Robert W., and Ralph L. Rosnow.** 1969. *Artifact in Behavioral Research*. New York: Academic Press.
- Rosenthal, Robert W., and Ralph L. Rosnow.** 1973. *The Volunteer Subject*. New York: John Wiley and Sons.
- Ross, Lee, and Andrew Ward.** 1996. "Naive Realism: Implications for Social Conflict and Misunderstanding." In *Values and Knowledge*, ed. T. Brown, E. Reed, and E. Turiel, 103–35. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Ross, Lee, and Richard E. Nisbett.** 1991. *The Person and the Situation: Perspectives of Social Psychology*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Roth, Alvin E.** 1995. "Bargaining Experiments." In *The Handbook of Experimental Economics*, ed. J. H. Kagel and E. R. Alvin, 253–342. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Samuelson, Larry.** 2005. "Economic Theory and Experimental Economics." *Journal of Economic Literature*, March, 43(1): 65–107.
- Schultz, Duane P.** 1969. "The Human Subject in Psychological Research." *Psychological Bulletin*, 72(3): 214–28.
- Shapley, Harlow.** 1964. *Of Stars and Men: Human Response to an Expanding Universe*. Westport CT: Greenwood Press.
- Slonim, Robert and Alvin E. Roth.** 1998. "Learning in High Stakes Ultimatum Games: An Experiment in the Slovak Republic." *Econometrica*, 66(3), pp. 569–96.
- Soetevent, Adriaan R.** 2005. "Anonymity in Giving in a Natural Context—A Field Experiment in Thirty Churches." *Journal of Public Economics*, 89(11–12): 2301–23, Table 1.

This article has been cited by:

1. Jakub Prochazka, Yulia Fedoseeva, Petr Houdek. 2021. A field experiment on dishonesty: A registered replication of Azar et al. (2013). *Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Economics* **90**, 101617. [[Crossref](#)]
2. Thomas Broberg, Andrius Kažukauskas. 2021. Information policies and biased cost perceptions - The case of Swedish residential energy consumption. *Energy Policy* **149**, 112095. [[Crossref](#)]
3. Ashley Blum, Chad Hazlett, Daniel N. Posner. 2021. Measuring Ethnic Bias: Can Misattribution-Based Tools from Social Psychology Reveal Group Biases that Economics Games Cannot?. *Political Analysis* 1-20. [[Crossref](#)]
4. Amelie Aidenberger, Malte Doehne. 2021. Unveiling everyday discrimination. Two field experiments on discrimination against religious minorities in day-to-day interactions. *The British Journal of Sociology* **15**. . [[Crossref](#)]
5. David A. Savage, Benno Torgler. Methods and Insights on How to Explore Human Behavior in the Disaster Environment 191-209. [[Crossref](#)]
6. X. Lu, H. Blanton, T. Gifford, A. Tucker, N. Olderman. 2021. Optimized guidance for building fires considering occupants' route choices. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* **561**, 125247. [[Crossref](#)]
7. Kelly A. Davidson, Jaclyn D. Kropp, Conner Mullally, Md. Wakilur Rahman. 2021. Can Simple Nudges and Workshops Improve Diet Quality? Evidence from a Randomized Trial in Bangladesh. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics* **103**:1, 253-274. [[Crossref](#)]
8. Alia Gizatulina, Olga Gorelkina. 2021. Selling "Money" on eBay: A field study of surplus division. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* **181**, 19-38. [[Crossref](#)]
9. Xiangyu Li, Dayong Zhang, Tong Zhang, Qiang Ji, Brian Lucey. 2021. Awareness, energy consumption and pro-environmental choices of Chinese households. *Journal of Cleaner Production* **279**, 123734. [[Crossref](#)]
10. OMAR AL-UBAYDLI, MIN SOK LEE, JOHN A. LIST, CLAIRE L. MACKEVICIUS, DANA SUSKIND. 2021. How can experiments play a greater role in public policy? Twelve proposals from an economic model of scaling. *Behavioural Public Policy* **5**:1, 2-49. [[Crossref](#)]
11. Xiaoli Lu, Weijie Wang, Hao Xu. 2020. Who can serve as the proxy for public employees in public administration experiments? a cross-sample comparison. *Public Management Review* **49**, 1-21. [[Crossref](#)]
12. Joon-Hee Oh. 2020. Size effect and merger dominance in salesforce integration. *Journal of Business & Industrial Marketing* **ahead-of-print**:ahead-of-print. . [[Crossref](#)]
13. René Bekkers. 2020. Global Giving. *Journal of Trial and Error* **1**:1, 72-100. [[Crossref](#)]
14. Dominik J. Wettstein, Stefan Boes. 2020. The impact of reimbursement negotiations on cost and availability of new pharmaceuticals: evidence from an online experiment. *Health Economics Review* **10**:1. . [[Crossref](#)]
15. R. Andrew Luccasen, M. Kathleen Thomas. 2020. Voluntary taxation and the arts. *Journal of Cultural Economics* **44**:4, 589-604. [[Crossref](#)]
16. Mladen Adamovic. 2020. Analyzing discrimination in recruitment: A guide and best practices for resume studies. *International Journal of Selection and Assessment* **28**:4, 445-464. [[Crossref](#)]
17. Julien N. Gordon, Niko Yiannakoulis. 2020. A serious gaming approach to understanding household flood risk mitigation decisions. *Journal of Flood Risk Management* **13**:4. . [[Crossref](#)]
18. Ashish M. Chaudhari, Erica L. Gralla, Zoe Szajnfarder, Paul T. Grogan, Jitesh H. Panchal. 2020. Designing Representative Model Worlds to Study Socio-Technical Phenomena: A Case Study of

Communication Patterns in Engineering Systems Design. *Journal of Mechanical Design* **142**:12. . [\[Crossref\]](#)

19. Nicole Naar. 2020. Gaming Anthropology: The Problem of External Validity and the Challenge of Interpreting Experimental Games. *American Anthropologist* **122**:4, 784-798. [\[Crossref\]](#)
20. Tetsuya Tsurumi, Rintaro Yamaguchi, Kazuki Kagohashi, Shunsuke Managi. 2020. Attachment to Material Goods and Subjective Well-Being: Evidence from Life Satisfaction in Rural Areas in Vietnam. *Sustainability* **12**:23, 9913. [\[Crossref\]](#)
21. Radu Ban, Michael J. Gilligan, Matthias Rieger. 2020. Self-help groups, savings and social capital: Evidence from a field experiment in Cambodia. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* **180**, 174-200. [\[Crossref\]](#)
22. Antonio Cabrales, Penélope Hernández, Angel Sánchez. 2020. Robots, labor markets, and universal basic income. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications* **7**:1. . [\[Crossref\]](#)
23. Nives Dolšák, Christopher Adolph, Aseem Prakash. 2020. Policy design and public support for carbon tax: Evidence from a 2018 US national online survey experiment. *Public Administration* **98**:4, 905-921. [\[Crossref\]](#)
24. Jordi Tena-Sánchez, Francisco J. León-Medina, José A. Noguera. 2020. Empathic cultural consumers: Pay what you want in the theater. *Journal of Consumer Affairs* **54**:4, 1213-1245. [\[Crossref\]](#)
25. Brad Greenwood, Idris Adjerid, Corey M. Angst, Nathan L. Meikle. 2020. How Unbecoming of You: Online Experiments Uncovering Gender Biases in Perceptions of Ridesharing Performance. *Journal of Business Ethics* **2031979**. . [\[Crossref\]](#)
26. Xile Yin, Siyu Chen, Dahui Li, Feng Zhang. 2020. Social Norms for Fairness and Board Voting Behavior: An Experimental Investigation. *Corporate Governance: An International Review* . [\[Crossref\]](#)
27. Yadi Yang. 2020. A SURVEY OF THE HOLD-UP PROBLEM IN THE EXPERIMENTAL ECONOMICS LITERATURE. *Journal of Economic Surveys* **79**. . [\[Crossref\]](#)
28. Daniel A. Brent, Corey Lott, Michael Taylor, Joseph Cook, Kimberly Rollins, Shawn Stoddard. 2020. What Causes Heterogeneous Responses to Social Comparison Messages for Water Conservation?. *Environmental and Resource Economics* **77**:3, 503-537. [\[Crossref\]](#)
29. Mary Mackay, Satoshi Yamazaki, Sarah Jennings, Hugh Sibby, Ingrid E van Putten, Timothy J Emery. 2020. The influence of nudges on compliance behaviour in recreational fisheries: a laboratory experiment. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* **77**:6, 2319-2332. [\[Crossref\]](#)
30. Claudia Keser, David Masclet, Claude Montmarquette. 2020. Labor Supply, Taxation, and the Use of Tax Revenues: A Real-Effort Experiment in Canada, France, and Germany. *Public Finance Review* **48**:6, 714-750. [\[Crossref\]](#)
31. Michael Shumanov, Lester Johnson. 2020. Making conversations with chatbots more personalized. *Computers in Human Behavior* 106627. [\[Crossref\]](#)
32. Warren Tierney, Jay H. Hardy, Charles R. Ebersole, Keith Leavitt, Domenico Viganola, Elena Giulia Clemente, Michael Gordon, Anna Dreber, Magnus Johannesson, Thomas Pfeiffer, Eric Luis Uhlmann. 2020. Creative destruction in science. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes* **161**, 291-309. [\[Crossref\]](#)
33. Jesper Asring Hansen, Lars Tummers. 2020. A Systematic Review of Field Experiments in Public Administration. *Public Administration Review* **80**:6, 921-931. [\[Crossref\]](#)
34. James Alm, Antoine Malézieux. 2020. 40 years of tax evasion games: a meta-analysis. *Experimental Economics* **87**. . [\[Crossref\]](#)
35. Stephanie Rosch, Sharon Raszap Skorbiansky, Collin Weigel, Kent D. Messer, Daniel Hellerstein. 2020. Barriers to Using Economic Experiments in Evidence-Based Agricultural Policymaking. *Applied Economic Perspectives and Policy* **48**. . [\[Crossref\]](#)

36. Matúš Sloboda, Patrik Pavlovský, Emília Sičáková-Beblová. 2020. The effectiveness of behavioural interventions on increasing revenue from local fee. *Review of Behavioral Finance* **ahead-of-print**:ahead-of-print. . [[Crossref](#)]
37. B.A. Qenam, T. Li, K. Tapia, P.C. Brennan. 2020. The roles of clinical audit and test sets in promoting the quality of breast screening: a scoping review. *Clinical Radiology* **75**:10, 794.e1-794.e6. [[Crossref](#)]
38. Kalle Hirvonen. 2020. This is US: Geography of evidence in top health economics journals. *Health Economics* **29**:10, 1316-1323. [[Crossref](#)]
39. Joël Berger, Margit Osterloh, Katja Rost, Thomas Ehrmann. 2020. How to prevent leadership hubris? Comparing competitive selections, lotteries, and their combination. *The Leadership Quarterly* **31**:5, 101388. [[Crossref](#)]
40. Anandi Mani. 2020. Mine, Yours or Ours? The Efficiency of Household Investment Decisions: An Experimental Approach. *The World Bank Economic Review* **34**:3, 575-596. [[Crossref](#)]
41. Helena M. Hernández-Pizarro, Catia Nicodemo, Guillem López Casasnovas. 2020. Discontinuous system of allowances: The response of prosocial health-care professionals. *Journal of Public Economics* **190**, 104248. [[Crossref](#)]
42. Mohammed Hussen Alemu, Søren Bøye Olsen. 2020. An analysis of the impacts of tasting experience and peer effects on consumers' willingness to pay for novel foods. *Agribusiness* **36**:4, 653-674. [[Crossref](#)]
43. Stefania Basiglio, Mariacristina Rossi, Riccardo Salomone, Costanza Torricelli. 2020. Saving with a Social Impact: Evidence from Trento Province. *Sustainability* **12**:20, 8363. [[Crossref](#)]
44. Ingela Alger, Laura Juarez, Miriam Juarez-Torres, Josepa Miquel-Florensa. 2020. Do Informal Transfers Induce Lower Efforts? Evidence from Lab-in-the-Field Experiments in Rural Mexico. *Economic Development and Cultural Change* **69**:1, 107-171. [[Crossref](#)]
45. Sandra Andraszewicz, Ke Wu, Didier Sornette. 2020. Behavioural Effects and Market Dynamics in Field and Laboratory Experimental Asset Markets. *Entropy* **22**:10, 1183. [[Crossref](#)]
46. Mary Mackay, Elizabeth Ingrid van Putten, Satoshi Yamazaki, Sarah Jennings, Hugh Sibly. 2020. Me and My Behavior: An Experiment on Individual Characteristics and Compliance Behavior in Recreational Fishing. *Frontiers in Marine Science* **7**. . [[Crossref](#)]
47. Joon-Hee Oh, Wesley J. Johnston. 2020. How post-merger integration duration affects merger outcomes. *Journal of Business & Industrial Marketing* **ahead-of-print**:ahead-of-print. . [[Crossref](#)]
48. Yan Chen, Peter Cramton, John A. List, Axel Ockenfels. 2020. Market Design, Human Behavior, and Management. *Management Science* . [[Crossref](#)]
49. Stephan Billinger, Kannan Srikanth, Nils Stieglitz, Terry R. Schumacher. 2020. Exploration and exploitation in complex search tasks: How feedback influences whether and where human agents search. *Strategic Management Journal* **9**. . [[Crossref](#)]
50. Garry Sotnik. 2020. The Doubly-Bounded Rationality of an Artificial Agent and its Ability to Represent the Bounded Rationality of a Human Decision-Maker in Policy-Relevant Situations. *Journal of Experimental & Theoretical Artificial Intelligence* **32**:5, 727-749. [[Crossref](#)]
51. Daniel E. Chavez, Marco A. Palma, Rodolfo M. Nayga, James W. Mjelde. 2020. Product availability in discrete choice experiments with private goods. *Journal of Choice Modelling* **36**, 100225. [[Crossref](#)]
52. Anup K. Basu, Uwe Dulleck. 2020. Why do (some) consumers purchase complex financial products? An experimental study on investment in hybrid securities. *Economic Analysis and Policy* **67**, 203-220. [[Crossref](#)]
53. Mark A. Andor, Andreas Gerster, Jörg Peters, Christoph M. Schmidt. 2020. Social Norms and Energy Conservation Beyond the US. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management* **103**, 102351. [[Crossref](#)]

54. Brian Cooper, Nathan Eva, Forough Zarea Fazlelahi, Alexander Newman, Allan Lee, Martin Obschonka. 2020. Addressing common method variance and endogeneity in vocational behavior research: A review of the literature and suggestions for future research. *Journal of Vocational Behavior* **121**, 103472. [[Crossref](#)]
55. Arnaud Gougler, Sebastian Utz. 2020. Factor exposures and diversification: Are sustainably screened portfolios any different?. *Financial Markets and Portfolio Management* **34**:3, 221-249. [[Crossref](#)]
56. Dorsa Amir, Katherine McAuliffe. 2020. Cross-cultural, developmental psychology: integrating approaches and key insights. *Evolution and Human Behavior* **41**:5, 430-444. [[Crossref](#)]
57. Christoph Kogler, Jerome Olsen, Rebecca I. Bogaers. 2020. Enhanced anonymity in tax experiments does not affect compliance. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* **177**, 390-398. [[Crossref](#)]
58. Snorre Sylvester Frid-Nielsen, Mads Dagnis Jensen. 2020. Maps of Behavioural Economics: Evidence from the Field. *Journal of Interdisciplinary Economics* **54**, 026010792092567. [[Crossref](#)]
59. Christopher Osiander. 2020. Lessons from mixed-method evaluations—An example from labor market research. *Research Evaluation* **15**. . [[Crossref](#)]
60. FELIX SCHEUENSTUHL, PETER M. BICAN, ALEXANDER BREM. 2020. HOW CAN THE LEAN STARTUP APPROACH IMPROVE THE INNOVATION PROCESS OF ESTABLISHED COMPANIES? AN EXPERIMENTAL APPROACH. *International Journal of Innovation Management* **10**, 2150029. [[Crossref](#)]
61. Katrina Jessoe, Gabriel Lade, Frank Loge, Edward Spang. 2020. Spillovers from Behavioral Interventions: Experimental Evidence from Water and Energy Use. *Journal of the Association of Environmental and Resource Economists* . [[Crossref](#)]
62. Roman M. Sheremeta, Neslihan Uler. 2020. The impact of taxes and wasteful government spending on giving. *Experimental Economics* **124**. . [[Crossref](#)]
63. Sanjit Dhami, Emma Manifold, Ali al-Nowaihi. 2020. Identity and Redistribution: Theory and Evidence. *Economica* **58**. . [[Crossref](#)]
64. Jan-Willem Bullee, Marianne Junger. 2020. How effective are social engineering interventions? A meta-analysis. *Information & Computer Security* **28**:5, 801-830. [[Crossref](#)]
65. Ruth F. Hunter, Felipe Montes, Jennifer M. Murray, Sharon C. Sanchez-Franco, Shannon C. Montgomery, Joaquín Jaramillo, Christopher Tate, Rajnish Kumar, Laura Dunne, Abhijit Ramalingam, Erik O. Kimbrough, Erin Krupka, Huiyu Zhou, Laurence Moore, Linda Bauld, Blanca Llorente, Olga L. Sarmiento, Frank Kee. 2020. MECHANISMS Study: Using Game Theory to Assess the Effects of Social Norms and Social Networks on Adolescent Smoking in Schools—Study Protocol. *Frontiers in Public Health* **8**. . [[Crossref](#)]
66. Zachary Oliphant, Chae M. Jaynes, Richard K. Moule Jr.. 2020. Social Preferences and Environmental Behavior: A Comparison of Self-Reported and Observed Behaviors. *Sustainability* **12**:15, 6023. [[Crossref](#)]
67. Aleksandra Staniszevska, Monika Czerwonka, Krzysztof Kompa. 2020. Rational Behavior of Dictators - Evidence on Gender and Religiosity. *International Advances in Economic Research* **26**:3, 289-301. [[Crossref](#)]
68. Christer Thrane, Erik Haugom. 2020. Peer effects on restaurant tipping in Norway: An experimental approach. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* **176**, 244-252. [[Crossref](#)]
69. Lei Shi, Ivan Romić, Yongjuan Ma, Zhen Wang, Boris Podobnik, H. Eugene Stanley, Petter Holme, Marko Jusup. 2020. Freedom of choice adds value to public goods. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **117**:30, 17516-17521. [[Crossref](#)]

70. Alberto Chong, Vanessa Ríos-Salas, Hugo Ñopo. 2020. Social Welfare Programs and Trust: Evidence from Six Latin American Cities. *The Journal of Law, Economics, and Organization* **36**:2, 255-283. [[Crossref](#)]
71. Wei Ha, Le Kang, Yang Song. 2020. College matching mechanisms and matching stability: Evidence from a natural experiment in China. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* **175**, 206-226. [[Crossref](#)]
72. Kristina M. Bott, Alexander W. Cappelen, Erik Ø. Sørensen, Bertil Tungodden. 2020. You've Got Mail: A Randomized Field Experiment on Tax Evasion. *Management Science* **66**:7, 2801-2819. [[Crossref](#)]
73. Luis Oliveira, Christopher Burns, Jacob Luton, Sumeet Iyer, Stewart Birrell. 2020. The influence of system transparency on trust: Evaluating interfaces in a highly automated vehicle. *Transportation Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behaviour* **72**, 280-296. [[Crossref](#)]
74. Jetske A Bouma, T T Binh Nguyen, Eline van der Heijden, Justin J Dijk. 2020. Analysing group contract design using a threshold public goods experiment. *European Review of Agricultural Economics* **47**:3, 1250-1275. [[Crossref](#)]
75. Keyang Li, Perrine Dethier, Anders Eika, D. Ary A. Samsura, Erwin van der Krabben, Berit Nordahl, Jean-Marie Halleux. 2020. Measuring and comparing planning cultures: risk, trust and co-operative attitudes in experimental games. *European Planning Studies* **28**:6, 1118-1138. [[Crossref](#)]
76. Dmitri Vinogradov, Yousef Makhoul. 2020. Signaling probabilities in ambiguity: who reacts to vague news?. *Theory and Decision* **101**. . [[Crossref](#)]
77. Arnald J. Kanning. 2020. Agreement by conduct as a coordination device. *Mind & Society* **19**:1, 77-90. [[Crossref](#)]
78. Jeffrey A. Livingston. 2020. A virtue ethics critique of ethical dimensions of behavioral economics: Comments from a behavioral economist. *Business and Society Review* **125**:2, 261-268. [[Crossref](#)]
79. James Alm, Ali Enami, Michael McKee. 2020. Who Responds? Disentangling the Effects of Audits on Individual Tax Compliance Behavior. *Atlantic Economic Journal* **48**:2, 147-159. [[Crossref](#)]
80. Luca Gandullia, Emanuela Lezzi, Paolo Paciasepe. 2020. Replication with MTurk of the experimental design by Gangadharan, Grossman, Jones & Leister (2018): Charitable giving across donor types. *Journal of Economic Psychology* **78**, 102268. [[Crossref](#)]
81. Anne C. Pisor, Matthew M. Gervais, Benjamin G. Purzycki, Cody T. Ross. 2020. Preferences and constraints: the value of economic games for studying human behaviour. *Royal Society Open Science* **7**:6, 192090. [[Crossref](#)]
82. Valerio Capraro, Ismael Rodriguez-Lara, Maria J. Ruiz-Martos. 2020. Preferences for efficiency, rather than preferences for morality, drive cooperation in the one-shot Stag-Hunt game. *Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Economics* **86**, 101535. [[Crossref](#)]
83. Aldo Fabricio Ramirez-Zamudio, José Luis Nolasco Cama. 2020. Assessment of fiscal effort and voluntary tax compliance in Peru. *Revista Finanzas y Política Económica* **12**:1. . [[Crossref](#)]
84. Menusch Khadjavi, Kacana Sipangule, Rainer Thiele. 2020. Social Capital and Large-Scale Agricultural Investments: An Experimental Investigation. *The Economic Journal* **5**. . [[Crossref](#)]
85. Ginny Seung Choi, Virgil Henry Storr. 2020. Market interactions, trust and reciprocity. *PLOS ONE* **15**:5, e0232704. [[Crossref](#)]
86. Timo Goeschl, Sara Elisa Kettner, Johannes Lohse, Christiane Schwieren. 2020. How much can we learn about voluntary climate action from behavior in public goods games?. *Ecological Economics* **171**, 106591. [[Crossref](#)]

87. Erica Myers, Mateus Souza. 2020. Social comparison nudges without monetary incentives: Evidence from home energy reports. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management* **101**, 102315. [[Crossref](#)]
88. Jing Zhang, Wei Zhang, Yuele Li, Mustafa Caglayan. 2020. Decision time and investors' portfolio strategies. *Pacific-Basin Finance Journal* 101344. [[Crossref](#)]
89. Catherine Eckel, Begum Guney, Neslihan Uler. 2020. Independent vs. Coordinated Fundraising: Understanding the Role of Information. *European Economic Review* 103476. [[Crossref](#)]
90. Robert Schmidt, Christiane Schwieren, Alec N. Sproten. 2020. Norms in the lab: Inexperienced versus experienced participants. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* **173**, 239-255. [[Crossref](#)]
91. Pierre Dubois, Paulo Albuquerque, Olivier Allais, Céline Bonnet, Patrice Bertail, Pierre Combris, Saadi Lahlou, Natalie Rigal, Bernard Ruffieux, Pierre Chandon. 2020. Effects of front-of-pack labels on the nutritional quality of supermarket food purchases: evidence from a large-scale randomized controlled trial. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science* **23**. . [[Crossref](#)]
92. Mobeen Ur Rehman, Xuan-Vinh Vo. 2020. Is a portfolio of socially responsible firms profitable for investors?. *Journal of Sustainable Finance & Investment* **10**:2, 191-212. [[Crossref](#)]
93. François Desmoulins-Lebeault, Luc Meunier, Sima Ohadi. 2020. Does Implied Volatility Pricing Follow the Tenets of Prospect Theory?. *Journal of Behavioral Finance* **21**:2, 157-173. [[Crossref](#)]
94. Raymond Duch, Denise Laroze, Thomas Robinson, Pablo Beramendi. 2020. Multi-modes for Detecting Experimental Measurement Error. *Political Analysis* **28**:2, 263-283. [[Crossref](#)]
95. Alexandra C. Hartman, Benjamin S. Morse. 2020. Violence, Empathy and Altruism: Evidence from the Ivorian Refugee Crisis in Liberia. *British Journal of Political Science* **50**:2, 731-755. [[Crossref](#)]
96. Malte Müller. 2020. Leadership in agricultural machinery circles: experimental evidence from Tajikistan. *Australian Journal of Agricultural and Resource Economics* **64**:2, 533-554. [[Crossref](#)]
97. Fredrik Carlsson, Marcela Jaime, Clara Villegas. 2020. Behavioral spillover effects from a social information campaign. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management* 102325. [[Crossref](#)]
98. Gary Charness, Thomas Garcia, Theo Offerman, Marie Claire Villeval. 2020. Do measures of risk attitude in the laboratory predict behavior under risk in and outside of the laboratory?. *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty* **60**:2, 99-123. [[Crossref](#)]
99. Robert Hoffmann, Janneke Blijlevens, Swee-Hoon Chuah, Ananta Neelim, Joanne Peryman, Ahmed Skali. 2020. The ethics of student participation in economic experiments: Arguments and evidence. *Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Economics* **85**, 101521. [[Crossref](#)]
100. Philipp Schreck, Dominik van Aaken, Karl Homann. 2020. "There's Life in the Old Dog Yet": The Homo economicus model and its value for behavioral ethics. *Journal of Business Economics* **90**:3, 401-425. [[Crossref](#)]
101. Moran Cerf, Sandra Matz, Aviram Berg. 2020. Using Blockchain to Improve Decision Making That Benefits the Public Good. *Frontiers in Blockchain* **3**. . [[Crossref](#)]
102. Shashwat Alok, Nitin Kumar, Russ Wermers. 2020. Do Fund Managers Misestimate Climatic Disaster Risk. *The Review of Financial Studies* **33**:3, 1146-1183. [[Crossref](#)]
103. Yuhosua Ryoo, Yongjun Sung, Inna Chechelnytska. 2020. What makes materialistic consumers more ethical? Self-benefit vs. other-benefit appeals. *Journal of Business Research* **110**, 173-183. [[Crossref](#)]
104. Anna Lou Abatayo, Lea Skræp Svenningsen, Bo Jellesmark Thorsen. 2020. Thankful or Thankless: Does the Past's Altruism Increase the Present's Public Good Contributions?. *Games* **11**:1, 6. [[Crossref](#)]
105. Israel Waichman, Korbinian von Blanckenburg. 2020. Is there no "I" in "Team"? Interindividual-intergroup discontinuity effect in a Cournot competition experiment. *Journal of Economic Psychology* **77**, 102181. [[Crossref](#)]

106. Sunhee Baik, Alexander L. Davis, Jun Woo Park, Selin Sirinterlikci, M. Granger Morgan. 2020. Estimating what US residential customers are willing to pay for resilience to large electricity outages of long duration. *Nature Energy* 5:3, 250-258. [[Crossref](#)]
107. Jorge Guadalupe-Lanas, Jorge Cruz-Cárdenas, Verónica Artola-Jarrín, Andrés Palacio-Fierro. 2020. Empirical evidence for intransitivity in consumer preferences. *Heliyon* 6:3, e03459. [[Crossref](#)]
108. Victor Aguirregabiria, Jihye Jeon. 2020. Firms' Beliefs and Learning: Models, Identification, and Empirical Evidence. *Review of Industrial Organization* 56:2, 203-235. [[Crossref](#)]
109. Sven Grüner. 2020. Sample Size Calculation in Economic Experiments. *Jahrbücher für Nationalökonomie und Statistik* 240:6, 791-823. [[Crossref](#)]
110. Delia Baldassarri. 2020. Market integration accounts for local variation in generalized altruism in a nationwide lost-letter experiment. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 117:6, 2858-2863. [[Crossref](#)]
111. R. de Andrés Calle, J.M. Cascón, T. González-Arteaga. 2020. Preferences stability: A measure of preferences changes over time. *Decision Support Systems* 129, 113169. [[Crossref](#)]
112. Andrew W. Delton, Peter DeScioli, Timothy J. Ryan. 2020. Moral Obstinacy in Political Negotiations. *Political Psychology* 41:1, 3-20. [[Crossref](#)]
113. Alemayehu Molla, Joost Beuving, Ruerd Ruben. 2020. Risk aversion, cooperative membership, and path dependences of smallholder farmers in Ethiopia. *Review of Development Economics* 24:1, 167-187. [[Crossref](#)]
114. Melisa Muñoz-Murillo, Pilar B. Álvarez-Franco, Diego A. Restrepo-Tobón. 2020. The role of cognitive abilities on financial literacy: New experimental evidence. *Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Economics* 84, 101482. [[Crossref](#)]
115. Alexander Haering, Timo Heinrich, Thomas Mayrhofer. 2020. EXPLORING THE CONSISTENCY OF HIGHER ORDER RISK PREFERENCES. *International Economic Review* 61:1, 283-320. [[Crossref](#)]
116. Jun Luo, Xinxin Wang. 2020. Hukou identity and trust—Evidence from a framed field experiment in China. *China Economic Review* 59, 101383. [[Crossref](#)]
117. Rudolf Kerschbamer, Daniel Müller. 2020. Social preferences and political attitudes: An online experiment on a large heterogeneous sample. *Journal of Public Economics* 182, 104076. [[Crossref](#)]
118. Tao Chen, Andreas Karathanasopoulos, Stanley Iat-Meng Ko, Chia Chun Lo. 2020. Lucky lots and unlucky investors. *Review of Quantitative Finance and Accounting* 54:2, 735-751. [[Crossref](#)]
119. Jacqueline Chen Chen, Tony Tam. 2020. Uses of artificial and composite treatments in experimental methods: Reconsidering the problem of validity and its implications for stratification research. *Research in Social Stratification and Mobility* 65, 100443. [[Crossref](#)]
120. Lorenz Goette, Alois Stutzer. 2020. Blood donations and incentives: Evidence from a field experiment. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* 170, 52-74. [[Crossref](#)]
121. Jannis Engel, Nora Szech. 2020. A little good is good enough: Ethical consumption, cheap excuses, and moral self-licensing. *PLOS ONE* 15:1, e0227036. [[Crossref](#)]
122. Philip D. Grech, Heinrich H. Nax. 2020. Rational altruism? On preference estimation and dictator game experiments. *Games and Economic Behavior* 119, 309-338. [[Crossref](#)]
123. Shang Wu, Leah H. Palm-Forster, Kent D. Messer. 2020. Impact of peer comparisons and firm heterogeneity on nonpoint source water pollution: An experimental study. *Resource and Energy Economics* 101142. [[Crossref](#)]
124. Yasuaki Wasa, Kenta Tanaka, Takao Tsuji, Shunsuke Managi, Kenko Uchida. Economically Enabled Energy Management: Overview and Research Opportunities 1-32. [[Crossref](#)]

125. Takanori Ida, Yoshiaki Ushifusa, Kenta Tanaka, Kayo Murakami, Takunori Ishihara. Behavioral Study of Demand Response: Web-Based Survey, Field Experiment, and Laboratory Experiment 117-151. [[Crossref](#)]
126. Dylan Turner, Craig Landry. 2020. Structural Estimation of Decision Making under Natural Hazard Risk. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
127. Fortuna Casoria, Arno M. Riedl, Peter Werner. 2020. Behavioral Aspects of Communication in Organizations. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
128. Sabrina Armenio, Angela Stefania Bergantino, Andrea Morone. Can Laboratory Experiments Help in Evaluating Emission Trading Schemes? A Pilot Experiment on Aviation Allowances: Lessons to Be Learned 215-242. [[Crossref](#)]
129. Knut Petzold, Stefanie Eifler. Die Messung der Durchsetzung informeller Normen im Vignetten- und Feldexperiment 167-204. [[Crossref](#)]
130. Matthew Lee, Arzi Adbi, Jasjit Singh. 2020. Categorical cognition and outcome efficiency in impact investing decisions. *Strategic Management Journal* 41:1, 86-107. [[Crossref](#)]
131. Robin Maialeh. Who Are Agents in Agent-Based Economic Models? 67-81. [[Crossref](#)]
132. Andre Julian Hartmann, Martin Mueller, Erich Kirchler. Tax Compliance: Research Methods and Decision Processes 291-330. [[Crossref](#)]
133. Fortuna Casoria, Arno Riedl, Peter Werner. Behavioral Aspects of Communication in Organizations 1-31. [[Crossref](#)]
134. Laura Blow, Martin Browning, Ian Crawford. 2020. Nonparametric Analysis of Time-Inconsistent Preferences. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
135. Pierre Dubois, Paulo Albuquerque, Olivier Allais, Céline Bonnet, Patrice Bertail, Pierre Combris, Saadi Lahlou, Natalie Rigal, Bernard Ruffieux, Pierre Chandon. 2020. Effects of Front-of-Pack Labels on the Nutritional Quality of Supermarket Food Purchases: Evidence From a Large-Scale Randomized Controlled Trial. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
136. Eugen Dimant, Tobias Gesche. 2020. Nudging Enforcers: How Norm Perceptions and Motives for Lying Shape Sanctions. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
137. David Tannenbaum, Alain Cohn, Christian Zünd, Michel André Maréchal. 2020. What Do Lost Wallets Tell Us About Survey Measures of Social Capital?. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
138. Francesco Giffoni, Massimo Florio. 2020. Perceptions of fundamental science: Evidence from a classroom experiment. *Social Sciences & Humanities Open* 2:1, 100091. [[Crossref](#)]
139. Ilona Reindl, Jean-Robert Tyran. 2020. Equal Opportunities for All? How Income Redistribution Promotes Support for Economic Inclusion. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
140. Mondher Bouattour, Isabelle Martinez. 2019. Efficient market hypothesis: an experimental study with uncertainty and asymmetric information. *Finance Contrôle Stratégie* :22-4. . [[Crossref](#)]
141. Mondher Bouattour, Isabelle Martinez. 2019. Hypothèse d'efficience des marchés : une étude expérimentale avec incertitude et asymétrie d'information. *Finance Contrôle Stratégie* :22-4. . [[Crossref](#)]
142. Heidi Rapp Nilsen. 2019. The hierarchy of resource use for a sustainable circular economy. *International Journal of Social Economics* 47:1, 27-40. [[Crossref](#)]
143. Michael Haylock, Patrick Kampkötter. 2019. The role of preferences, attitudes, and personality traits in labor market matching. *Economics Letters* 185, 108718. [[Crossref](#)]
144. Marek Vranka, Nikola Frollová, Marek Pour, Julie Novakova, Petr Houdek. 2019. Cheating customers in grocery stores: A field study on dishonesty. *Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Economics* 83, 101484. [[Crossref](#)]

145. Lu Dong, Lingbo Huang. 2019. Is there no 'I' in team? Strategic effects in multi-battle team competition. *Journal of Economic Psychology* **75**, 102070. [[Crossref](#)]
146. Kavitha Palaniappan, Isabel Yung Shen Kum. 2019. Underlying Causes behind Research Study Participants' Careless and Biased Responses in the Field of Sciences. *Current Psychology* **38**:6, 1737-1747. [[Crossref](#)]
147. Yuval Arbel, Ronen Bar-El, Mordechai E. Schwarz, Yossef Tobol. 2019. Giving at the close: Experimental evidence on cooperation in contributing to a public good. *Journal of Public Economic Theory* **21**:6, 1179-1199. [[Crossref](#)]
148. Jeroen M. van Baar, Luke J. Chang, Alan G. Sanfey. 2019. The computational and neural substrates of moral strategies in social decision-making. *Nature Communications* **10**:1. . [[Crossref](#)]
149. Santiago Herce Castañón, Rani Moran, Jacqueline Ding, Tobias Egner, Dan Bang, Christopher Summerfield. 2019. Human noise blindness drives suboptimal cognitive inference. *Nature Communications* **10**:1. . [[Crossref](#)]
150. Agnès Festré. 2019. On the Nature of Fair Behaviour: Further Evidence. *Homo Oeconomicus* **36**:3-4, 193-207. [[Crossref](#)]
151. Ceresia, Mendola. 2019. The Effects of Corruption in Entrepreneurial Ecosystems on Entrepreneurial Intentions. *Administrative Sciences* **9**:4, 88. [[Crossref](#)]
152. Moritz A. Drupp, Menusch Khadjavi, Martin F. Quaas. 2019. Truth-telling and the regulator. Experimental evidence from commercial fishermen. *European Economic Review* **120**, 103310. [[Crossref](#)]
153. Chiara Ravetti, Mare Sarr, Daniel Munene, Tim Swanson. 2019. Discrimination and favouritism among South African workers: Ethnic identity and union membership. *World Development* **123**, 104604. [[Crossref](#)]
154. Daniel A. Brent, Michael B. Ward. 2019. Price perceptions in water demand. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management* **98**, 102266. [[Crossref](#)]
155. Zack Dorner. 2019. A behavioral rebound effect. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management* **98**, 102257. [[Crossref](#)]
156. Sebastian Jilke, Jiahuan Lu, Chengxin Xu, Shugo Shinohara. 2019. Using Large-Scale Social Media Experiments in Public Administration: Assessing Charitable Consequences of Government Funding of Nonprofits. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory* **29**:4, 627-639. [[Crossref](#)]
157. James Alm, William D. Schulze, Carrie Bose, Jubo Yan. 2019. Appeals to Social Norms and Taxpayer Compliance. *Southern Economic Journal* **86**:2, 638-666. [[Crossref](#)]
158. Mongoljin Batsaikhan, Louis Putterman. 2019. An Honest Day's Pay: Cooperation among Entrepreneurs vs. Students, and Linkages to Real-World Business Success. *Southern Economic Journal* **86**:2, 478-502. [[Crossref](#)]
159. Eszter Czibor, David Jimenez-Gomez, John A. List. 2019. The Dozen Things Experimental Economists Should Do (More of). *Southern Economic Journal* **86**:2, 371-432. [[Crossref](#)]
160. Pablo Brañas-Garza, Praveen Kujal, Balint Lenkei. 2019. Cognitive reflection test: Whom, how, when. *Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Economics* **82**, 101455. [[Crossref](#)]
161. Takeshi Aida. 2019. Social capital as an instrument for common pool resource management: a case study of irrigation management in Sri Lanka. *Oxford Economic Papers* **71**:4, 952-978. [[Crossref](#)]
162. Uyanga Turmunkh, Martijn J. van den Assem, Dennie van Dolder. 2019. Malleable Lies: Communication and Cooperation in a High Stakes TV Game Show. *Management Science* **65**:10, 4795-4812. [[Crossref](#)]

163. Alexander Pfaff, Maria Alejandra Velez, Kenneth Broad, Amar Hamoudi, Renzo Taddei. 2019. Contracts versus trust for transfers of ecosystem services: Equity and efficiency in resource allocation and environmental provision. *Water Resources and Economics* **28**, 100118. [[Crossref](#)]
164. Bruno Bonté, Stefano Farolfi, Nils Ferrand, Géraldine Abrami, Mamadou Ciss Diallo, Dimitri Dubois, Anne Johannet, Wanda Aquae Gaudi. 2019. Building new kinds of meta-models to analyse experimentally (companion) modelling processes in the field of natural resource management. *Environmental Modelling & Software* **120**, 104486. [[Crossref](#)]
165. Dejun Tony Kong, Liuba Y. Belkin. 2019. Because I want to share, not because I should: Prosocial implications of gratitude expression in repeated zero-sum resource allocation exchanges. *Motivation and Emotion* **43**:5, 824-843. [[Crossref](#)]
166. Ulrich Schmidt, Levent Neyse, Milda Aleknonyte. 2019. Income inequality and risk taking: the impact of social comparison information. *Theory and Decision* **87**:3, 283-297. [[Crossref](#)]
167. Gwyneth H. McClendon, Rachel Beatty Riedl. From Pews to Politics **9**, . [[Crossref](#)]
168. Thomas Neise, Maxensius Tri Sambodo, Javier Revilla Diez. 2019. Are Micro-, Small- and Medium-Sized Enterprises Willing to Contribute to Collective Flood Risk Reduction? Scenario-Based Field Experiments from Jakarta and Semarang, Indonesia. *Organization & Environment* **3**, 108602661987543. [[Crossref](#)]
169. Danae Manika, Diana Gregory-Smith, Victoria K. Wells, Emma Trombetti. 2019. ‘Student Switch Off’: how do university students respond to a corporate-sponsored pro-environmental social marketing campaign?. *Studies in Higher Education* **44**:9, 1691-1706. [[Crossref](#)]
170. Mallory Fallin, Owen Whooley, Kristin Kay Barker. 2019. Criminalizing the brain: Neurocriminology and the production of strategic ignorance. *BioSocieties* **14**:3, 438-462. [[Crossref](#)]
171. Thomas V. Pollet, Tamsin K. Saxton. 2019. How Diverse Are the Samples Used in the Journals ‘Evolution & Human Behavior’ and ‘Evolutionary Psychology’?. *Evolutionary Psychological Science* **5**:3, 357-368. [[Crossref](#)]
172. Huafang Li, Jie Liang, Hui Xu, Yingxi Liu. 2019. Does Windfall Money Encourage Charitable Giving? An Experimental Study. *VOLUNTAS: International Journal of Voluntary and Nonprofit Organizations* **30**:4, 841-848. [[Crossref](#)]
173. Mariacristina Rossi, Dario Sansone, Arthur van Soest, Costanza Torricelli. 2019. Household preferences for socially responsible investments. *Journal of Banking & Finance* **105**, 107-120. [[Crossref](#)]
174. Ilona Reindl, Roman Hoffmann, Bernhard Kittel. 2019. Let the others do the job: Comparing public good contribution behavior in the lab and in the field. *Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Economics* **81**, 73-83. [[Crossref](#)]
175. Yoshio Kamijo, Yoichi Hizen, Tatsuyoshi Saijo, Teruyuki Tamura. 2019. Voting on Behalf of a Future Generation: A Laboratory Experiment. *Sustainability* **11**:16, 4271. [[Crossref](#)]
176. Amalia Rodrigo-González, María Caballer-Tarazona, Aurora García-Gallego. 2019. Active Learning on Trust and Reciprocity for Undergraduates. *Sustainability* **11**:16, 4399. [[Crossref](#)]
177. Robin S. Goldstein. 2019. Half-Blind Tasting: A Deception-Free Method for Sizing Placebo and Nocebo Responses to Price and Packaging Attributes. *Journal of Wine Economics* **14**:3, 321-331. [[Crossref](#)]
178. Michel André Maréchal, Christian Thöni. 2019. Hidden Persuaders: Do Small Gifts Lubricate Business Negotiations?. *Management Science* **65**:8, 3877-3888. [[Crossref](#)]
179. David Rueda, Daniel Stegmüller. Who Wants What? **4**, . [[Crossref](#)]
180. Stephanie Wendt, Kim S Strunk, Jürgen Heinze, Andreas Roider, Tomer J Czaczkes. 2019. Positive and negative incentive contrasts lead to relative value perception in ants. *eLife* **8**, . [[Crossref](#)]

181. Gilles Grolleau, Naoufel Mzoughi, Angela Sutan. 2019. Does advertising the green benefits of products contribute to sustainable development goals? A quasi-experimental test of the dilution effect. *Business Strategy and the Environment* **28**:5, 786-793. [[Crossref](#)]
182. Stephan Müller, Holger A. Rau. 2019. Decisions under uncertainty in social contexts. *Games and Economic Behavior* **116**, 73-95. [[Crossref](#)]
183. Alexander Coppock. 2019. Generalizing from Survey Experiments Conducted on Mechanical Turk: A Replication Approach. *Political Science Research and Methods* **7**:3, 613-628. [[Crossref](#)]
184. Céline Nauges, Dale Whittington. 2019. Social Norms Information Treatments in the Municipal Water Supply Sector: Some New Insights on Benefits and Costs. *Water Economics and Policy* **05**:03, 1850026. [[Crossref](#)]
185. Robin Maiaaleh. 2019. Generalization of results and neoclassical rationality: unresolved controversies of behavioural economics methodology. *Quality & Quantity* **53**:4, 1743-1761. [[Crossref](#)]
186. Timothy N. Cason, Steven Y. Wu. 2019. Subject Pools and Deception in Agricultural and Resource Economics Experiments. *Environmental and Resource Economics* **73**:3, 743-758. [[Crossref](#)]
187. Gonglin Hou, Fei Wang, Jieyan Shi, Weijiang Chen, Jie Yu. 2019. Which is the ideal sanction for cooperation? An experimental study on different types of third-party sanctions. *PsyCh Journal* **8**:2, 212-231. [[Crossref](#)]
188. Marcus Giamattei, Johann Graf Lambsdorff. 2019. classEx — an online tool for lab-in-the-field experiments with smartphones. *Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Finance* **22**, 223-231. [[Crossref](#)]
189. Etan A. Green, Justin M. Rao, David Rothschild. 2019. A Sharp Test of the Portability of Expertise. *Management Science* **65**:6, 2820-2831. [[Crossref](#)]
190. Sigve Tjøtta. 2019. More or less money? An experimental study on receiving money. *Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Economics* **80**, 67-79. [[Crossref](#)]
191. Andrew Pendleton, Ben Lupton, Andrew Rowe, Richard Whittle. 2019. Back to the Shop Floor: Behavioural Insights from Workplace Sociology. *Work, Employment and Society* **120**, 095001701984794. [[Crossref](#)]
192. Manuel Frondel, Stephan Sommer, Lukas Tomberg. 2019. Versorgungssicherheit mit Strom: Empirische Evidenz auf Basis der Inferred-Valuation-Methode. *Zeitschrift für Wirtschaftspolitik* **68**:1, 53-73. [[Crossref](#)]
193. Salvatore Di Falco, Razack Lokina, Peter Martinsson, Paolo Pin. 2019. Altruism and the pressure to share: Lab evidence from Tanzania. *PLOS ONE* **14**:5, e0212747. [[Crossref](#)]
194. Joël Berger. 2019. Signaling can increase consumers' willingness to pay for green products. Theoretical model and experimental evidence. *Journal of Consumer Behaviour* **18**:3, 233-246. [[Crossref](#)]
195. Chris R. J. Pollard, Steve Redpath, Luc F. Bussière, Aidan Keane, Des B. A. Thompson, Juliette C. Young, Nils Bunnefeld. 2019. The impact of uncertainty on cooperation intent in a conservation conflict. *Journal of Applied Ecology* **56**:5, 1278-1288. [[Crossref](#)]
196. Hector Solaz, Catherine E. De Vries, Roosmarijn A. de Geus. 2019. In-Group Loyalty and the Punishment of Corruption. *Comparative Political Studies* **52**:6, 896-926. [[Crossref](#)]
197. Andrew J. Fuligni. 2019. The Need to Contribute During Adolescence. *Perspectives on Psychological Science* **14**:3, 331-343. [[Crossref](#)]
198. Matteo M. Galizzi, Lorraine Whitmarsh. 2019. How to Measure Behavioral Spillovers: A Methodological Review and Checklist. *Frontiers in Psychology* **10**. . [[Crossref](#)]
199. Ganga Shreedhar, Susana Mourato. 2019. Experimental Evidence on the Impact of Biodiversity Conservation Videos on Charitable Donations. *Ecological Economics* **158**, 180-193. [[Crossref](#)]

200. Chulyoung Kim, Sang-Hyun Kim. 2019. Social image or social Norm?: Re-examining the audience effect in dictator game Experiments. *Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Economics* **79**, 70-78. [[Crossref](#)]
201. John F. McCauley, Daniel N. Posner. 2019. The Political Sources of Religious Identification: Evidence from the Burkina Faso-Côte d'Ivoire Border. *British Journal of Political Science* **49**:2, 421-441. [[Crossref](#)]
202. Timothy J. Ryan. 2019. Actions versus Consequences in Political Arguments: Insights from Moral Psychology. *The Journal of Politics* **81**:2, 426-440. [[Crossref](#)]
203. James Alm. 2019. WHAT MOTIVATES TAX COMPLIANCE?. *Journal of Economic Surveys* **33**:2, 353-388. [[Crossref](#)]
204. Aysel Yollu-Tok, Fabiola Rodríguez Garzón. 2019. Feministische Ökonomik als Gegenprogramm zur Standardökonomik. *List Forum für Wirtschafts- und Finanzpolitik* **44**:4, 725-762. [[Crossref](#)]
205. Ulrich J. Frey. 2019. Long-term evidence on cooperation and cultural differences in public goods dilemmas. *Biology Letters* **15**:4, 20190143. [[Crossref](#)]
206. Glynis Gawn, Robert Innes. 2019. Lying through others: Does delegation promote deception?. *Journal of Economic Psychology* **71**, 59-73. [[Crossref](#)]
207. Elisabeth Vollmer, Daniel Hermann, Oliver Musshoff. 2019. The disposition effect in farmers' selling behavior: an experimental investigation. *Agricultural Economics* **50**:2, 177-189. [[Crossref](#)]
208. Daniel E. Chavez, Marco A. Palma. 2019. Pushing subjects beyond rationality with more alternatives in experimental auctions. *Agricultural Economics* **50**:2, 207-217. [[Crossref](#)]
209. Luke D. Smillie, Erin C. R. Lawn, Kun Zhao, Ryan Perry, Simon M. Laham. 2019. Prosociality and morality through the lens of personality psychology. *Australian Journal of Psychology* **71**:1, 50-58. [[Crossref](#)]
210. Matteo M. Galizzi, Daniel Navarro-Martinez. 2019. On the External Validity of Social Preference Games: A Systematic Lab-Field Study. *Management Science* **65**:3, 976-1002. [[Crossref](#)]
211. Ruomeng Cui, Dennis J. Zhang, Achal Bassamboo. 2019. Learning from Inventory Availability Information: Evidence from Field Experiments on Amazon. *Management Science* **65**:3, 1216-1235. [[Crossref](#)]
212. Felix Bader, Bastian Baumeister, Roger Berger, Marc Keuschnigg. 2019. On the Transportability of Laboratory Results. *Sociological Methods & Research* **62**, 004912411982615. [[Crossref](#)]
213. Trond Døskeland, Lars Jacob Tynes Pedersen. 2019. Does Wealth Matter for Responsible Investment? Experimental Evidence on the Weighing of Financial and Moral Arguments. *Business & Society* **49**, 000765031982623. [[Crossref](#)]
214. Riccardo Vecchio, Massimiliano Borrello. 2019. Measuring food preferences through experimental auctions: A review. *Food Research International* **116**, 1113-1120. [[Crossref](#)]
215. David Wuepper, Alexandra Clemm, Philipp Wree. 2019. The preference for sustainable coffee and a new approach for dealing with hypothetical bias. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* **158**, 475-486. [[Crossref](#)]
216. Dongmin Kong, Chen Lin, Shasha Liu. 2019. Does Information Acquisition Alleviate Market Anomalies? Categorization Bias in Stock Splits*. *Review of Finance* **23**:1, 245-277. [[Crossref](#)]
217. Jasjit Singh, Nina Teng, Serguei Netessine. 2019. Philanthropic Campaigns and Customer Behavior: Field Experiments on an Online Taxi Booking Platform. *Management Science* **65**:2, 913-932. [[Crossref](#)]
218. Øyvind Nystad Handberg, Arild Angelsen. 2019. Pay little, get little; pay more, get a little more: A framed forest experiment in Tanzania. *Ecological Economics* **156**, 454-467. [[Crossref](#)]

219. Robert Neumann. 2019. The framing of charitable giving: A field experiment at bottle refund machines in Germany. *Rationality and Society* 31:1, 98-126. [[Crossref](#)]
220. Nicolette J. Sullivan, Gavan J. Fitzsimons, Michael L. Platt, Scott A. Huettel. 2019. Indulgent Foods Can Paradoxically Promote Disciplined Dietary Choices. *Psychological Science* 30:2, 273-287. [[Crossref](#)]
221. Ennio Bilancini, Leonardo Boncinelli, Alan Mattiassi. 2019. Assessing Actual Strategic Behavior to Construct a Measure of Strategic Ability. *Frontiers in Psychology* 9. . [[Crossref](#)]
222. Robert Northcott. 2019. Prediction versus accommodation in economics. *Journal of Economic Methodology* 26:1, 59-69. [[Crossref](#)]
223. Daniel Brodback, Nadja Guenster, David Mezger. 2019. Altruism and egoism in investment decisions. *Review of Financial Economics* 37:1, 118-148. [[Crossref](#)]
224. Patrick Vinck, Phuong N. Pham, Albert Ali Salah. "Do No Harm" in the Age of Big Data: Data, Ethics, and the Refugees 87-99. [[Crossref](#)]
225. Joachim Weimann, Jeannette Brosig-Koch. The Study of Behavior 1-40. [[Crossref](#)]
226. Joachim Weimann, Jeannette Brosig-Koch. Methodological Foundations 41-145. [[Crossref](#)]
227. Felix Kersting, Robert Lepenies, Theresa Neef. Mehr als nur Werkzeuge 209-229. [[Crossref](#)]
228. Yasuyuki Sawada, Takeshi Aida. The Field Experiment Revolution in Development Economics 39-60. [[Crossref](#)]
229. Erika Bergelson, Andrei Amatuni, Shannon Dailey, Sharath Koorathota, Shaelise Tor. 2019. Day by day, hour by hour: Naturalistic language input to infants. *Developmental Science* 22:1, e12715. [[Crossref](#)]
230. Y Jane Zhang. 2019. Culture, Institutions and the Gender Gap in Competitive Inclination: Evidence from the Communist Experiment in China. *The Economic Journal* 129:617, 509-552. [[Crossref](#)]
231. Zuzana Gocmanová, Jaromír Skorkovský, Štěpán Veselý, Jan Böhm. 2019. Where Do You Want to Go Skiing? The Effect of the Reference Point and Loss Aversion. *Acta Universitatis Agriculturae et Silviculturae Mendelianae Brunensis* 67:1, 243-252. [[Crossref](#)]
232. ## #. 2019. Available Online Platforms for Psychology Research: Introduction and Application Progress. *Advances in Psychology* 09:06, 1131-1140. [[Crossref](#)]
233. Eva D. Regnier, Cameron A. MacKenzie. 2019. The Hurricane Decision Simulator: A Tool for Marine Forces in New Orleans to Practice Operations Management in Advance of a Hurricane. *Manufacturing & Service Operations Management* 21:1, 103-120. [[Crossref](#)]
234. Diego Gambetta, Wojtek Przepiorka. 2019. Sharing Compromising Information as a Cooperative Strategy. *Sociological Science* 6, 352-379. [[Crossref](#)]
235. Eszter Czibor, David Jimenez-Gomez, John A. List. 2019. The Dozen Things Experimental Economists Should Do (More Of). *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
236. Ola Mahmoud. 2019. Investor Psychology and Sustainable Finance. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
237. Jack Fanning, Andrew Kloosterman. 2019. A Simple Experimental Test of the Coase Conjecture: Fairness in Dynamic Bargaining. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
238. Sanjit Dhami, Emma Manifold, Ali al-Nowaihi. 2019. Identity and Redistribution: Theory and Evidence. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
239. Morten Hedegaard, Rudolf Kerschbamer, Daniel Müller, Jean-Robert Tyran. 2019. Distributional Preferences Explain Individual Behavior Across Games and Time. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
240. Zhixin Dai, Fabio Galeotti, Marie Claire Villeval. Fare-dodging in the lab and the moral cost of dishonesty 245-265. [[Crossref](#)]

241. Omar Al-Ubaydli, John A. List. 2019. How natural field experiments have enhanced our understanding of unemployment. *Nature Human Behaviour* 3:1, 33-39. [[Crossref](#)]
242. Marie Claire Villeval. 2019. Comportements (non) éthiques et stratégies morales. *Revue économique* 70:6, 1021. [[Crossref](#)]
243. Ennio Bilancini, Leonardo Boncinelli, Valerio Capraro, Tatiana Celadin, Roberto Di Paolo. 2019. 'Do the Right Thing' for Whom? An Experiment on Ingroup Favouritism, Group Assortativity and Moral Suasion. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
244. Leonardo Casini, Fabio Boncinelli, Caterina Contini, Francesca Gerini, Gabriele Scozzafava, Frode Alfnes. 2019. Heterogeneous preferences with respect to food preparation time: Foodies and quickies. *Food Quality and Preference* 71, 233-241. [[Crossref](#)]
245. Sven Hoepfner. Experimental Law and Economics 829-836. [[Crossref](#)]
246. Scott Chua, Jessica Chang, Guillem Riambau. 2019. Lying Behavior When the Payoffs are Shared with Charity: Experimental Evidence. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
247. Shuguang Jiang. 2019. Group Monitoring, Endogenous Crackdown and Anti-corruption: An Experiment. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
248. Giovanni Di Bartolomeo, Stefano Papa. 2019. The Effects of Physical Activity on Social Interactions: The Case of Trust and Trustworthiness. *Journal of Sports Economics* 20:1, 50-71. [[Crossref](#)]
249. Lakshmi Balachandra, Tony Briggs, Kim Eddleston, Candida Brush. 2019. Don't Pitch Like a Girl!: How Gender Stereotypes Influence Investor Decisions. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice* 43:1, 116-137. [[Crossref](#)]
250. Michael Pirson, Kirsten Martin, Bidhan Parmar. 2019. Public Trust in Business and Its Determinants. *Business & Society* 58:1, 132-166. [[Crossref](#)]
251. Laura Metzger, Isabel Günther. 2019. Making an impact? The relevance of information on aid effectiveness for charitable giving. A laboratory experiment. *Journal of Development Economics* 136, 18-33. [[Crossref](#)]
252. Andrew Grant, Anastasios Oikonomidis, Alistair C. Bruce, Johnnie E. V. Johnson. 2018. New entry, strategic diversity and efficiency in soccer betting markets: the creation and suppression of arbitrage opportunities. *The European Journal of Finance* 24:18, 1799-1816. [[Crossref](#)]
253. Caichun Chai, Hailong Zhu, Zhangwei Feng. 2018. Evolutionary Stable Strategies for Supply Chains: Selfishness, Fairness, and Altruism. *Journal of Systems Science and Information* 6:6, 532-551. [[Crossref](#)]
254. Julián Vicens, Josep Perelló, Jordi Duch. 2018. Citizen Social Lab: A digital platform for human behavior experimentation within a citizen science framework. *PLOS ONE* 13:12, e0207219. [[Crossref](#)]
255. Begum Guney, Michael Richter. 2018. Costly switching from a status quo. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* 156, 55-70. [[Crossref](#)]
256. Yonas Alem, Håkan Eggert, Martin G. Kocher, Remidius D. Ruhinduka. 2018. Why (field) experiments on unethical behavior are important: Comparing stated and revealed behavior. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* 156, 71-85. [[Crossref](#)]
257. Laura Cram, Adam Moore, Victor Olivieri, Felix Suessenbach. 2018. Fair Is Fair, or Is It? Territorial Identity Triggers Influence Ultimatum Game Behavior. *Political Psychology* 39:6, 1233-1250. [[Crossref](#)]
258. KITAE SOHN, ILLOONG KWON. 2018. DOES TRUST PROMOTE ENTREPRENEURSHIP IN A DEVELOPING COUNTRY?. *The Singapore Economic Review* 63:05, 1385-1403. [[Crossref](#)]
259. Nicola Belle, Paola Cantarelli. 2018. Randomized Experiments and Reality of Public and Nonprofit Organizations: Understanding and Bridging the Gap. *Review of Public Personnel Administration* 38:4, 494-511. [[Crossref](#)]

260. Dorian Jullien. Under Risk, Over Time, Regarding Other People: Language and Rationality within Three Dimensions 119-155. [[Crossref](#)]
261. Saileshsingh Gunessee, Nachiappan Subramanian, Samuel Roscoe, Jothibas Ramanathan. 2018. The social preferences of local citizens and spontaneous volunteerism during disaster relief operations. *International Journal of Production Research* **56**:21, 6793-6808. [[Crossref](#)]
262. Jonathan de Quidt, Johannes Haushofer, Christopher Roth. 2018. Measuring and Bounding Experimenter Demand. *American Economic Review* **108**:11, 3266-3302. [[Abstract](#)] [[View PDF article](#)] [[PDF with links](#)]
263. Lars Hultkrantz, Selen Savsin. 2018. Is ‘referencing’ a remedy to hypothetical bias in value of time elicitation? Evidence from economic experiments. *Transportation* **45**:6, 1827-1847. [[Crossref](#)]
264. Mónica M. Jaime Torres, Fredrik Carlsson. 2018. Direct and spillover effects of a social information campaign on residential water-savings. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management* **92**, 222-243. [[Crossref](#)]
265. Ben M. Tappin, Valerio Capraro. 2018. Doing good vs. avoiding bad in prosocial choice: A refined test and extension of the morality preference hypothesis. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology* **79**, 64-70. [[Crossref](#)]
266. Claryn S.J. Kung, David W. Johnston, Michael A. Shields. 2018. Mental health and the response to financial incentives: Evidence from a survey incentives experiment. *Journal of Health Economics* **62**, 84-94. [[Crossref](#)]
267. Sirio Lonati, Bernardo F. Quiroga, Christian Zehnder, John Antonakis. 2018. On doing relevant and rigorous experiments: Review and recommendations. *Journal of Operations Management* **64**:1, 19-40. [[Crossref](#)]
268. Ricardo Perez-Truglia, Ugo Troiano. 2018. Shaming tax delinquents. *Journal of Public Economics* **167**, 120-137. [[Crossref](#)]
269. Nicha Lapanan. 2018. The investment behavior of socially responsible individual investors. *The Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance* **70**, 214-226. [[Crossref](#)]
270. Jarrett Hart. 2018. Drink Beer for Science: An Experiment on Consumer Preferences for Local Craft Beer. *Journal of Wine Economics* **13**:4, 429-441. [[Crossref](#)]
271. Alain Cohn, Michel André Maréchal. 2018. Laboratory Measure of Cheating Predicts School Misconduct. *The Economic Journal* **128**:615, 2743-2754. [[Crossref](#)]
272. Katherine Farrow, Gilles Grolleau, Naoufel Mzoughi. 2018. What in the Word! The Scope for the Effect of Word Choice on Economic Behavior. *Kyklos* **71**:4, 557-580. [[Crossref](#)]
273. Nicola Bellé, Paola Cantarelli, Paolo Belardinelli. 2018. Prospect Theory Goes Public: Experimental Evidence on Cognitive Biases in Public Policy and Management Decisions. *Public Administration Review* **78**:6, 828-840. [[Crossref](#)]
274. Nikki van Gerwen, Vincent Buskens, Tanja van der Lippe. 2018. Individual training and employees’ cooperative behavior: Evidence from a contextualized laboratory experiment. *Rationality and Society* **30**:4, 432-462. [[Crossref](#)]
275. Richard P. Mann. 2018. Collective decision making by rational individuals. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **115**:44, E10387-E10396. [[Crossref](#)]
276. Mariska van Essen, Tom Thomas, Eric van Berkum, Caspar Chorus. 2018. Travelers’ compliance with social routing advice: evidence from SP and RP experiments. *Transportation* **50**. . [[Crossref](#)]
277. Mats Eriksson. 2018. Lessons for Crisis Communication on Social Media: A Systematic Review of What Research Tells the Practice. *International Journal of Strategic Communication* **12**:5, 526-551. [[Crossref](#)]

278. LEONHARD K. LADES, LUCIE MARTIN, LIAM DELANEY. 2018. Informing behavioural policies with data from everyday life. *Behavioural Public Policy* **36**, 1-19. [[Crossref](#)]
279. Ilsun Rhiu, Myung Hwan Yun. 2018. Exploring User Experience of Smartphones in Social Media: A Mixed-Method Analysis. *International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction* **34**:10, 960-969. [[Crossref](#)]
280. Brian Greenhill, Nives Dolšak, Aseem Prakash. 2018. Exploring the Adaptation-mitigation Relationship: Does Information on the Costs of Adapting to Climate Change Influence Support for Mitigation?. *Environmental Communication* **12**:7, 911-927. [[Crossref](#)]
281. Christian Gillitzer, Nalini Prasad. 2018. The Effect of Consumer Sentiment on Consumption: Cross-Sectional Evidence from Elections. *American Economic Journal: Macroeconomics* **10**:4, 234-269. [[Abstract](#)] [[View PDF article](#)] [[PDF with links](#)]
282. Fabio Boncinelli, Francesca Gerini, Benedetta Neri, Leonardo Casini. 2018. Consumer willingness to pay for non-mandatory indication of the fish catch zone. *Agribusiness* **34**:4, 728-741. [[Crossref](#)]
283. Michael Jetter, Jay K. Walker. 2018. The gender of opponents: Explaining gender differences in performance and risk-taking?. *European Economic Review* **109**, 238-256. [[Crossref](#)]
284. Milad Haghani, Majid Sarvi. 2018. Hypothetical bias and decision-rule effect in modelling discrete directional choices. *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice* **116**, 361-388. [[Crossref](#)]
285. Francisco Brahm, Joaquin Poblete. 2018. Incentives and Ratcheting in a Multiproduct Firm: A Field Experiment. *Management Science* **64**:10, 4552-4571. [[Crossref](#)]
286. Victor Nee, Håkan J. Holm, Sonja Oppen. 2018. Learning to Trust: From Relational Exchange to Generalized Trust in China. *Organization Science* **29**:5, 969-986. [[Crossref](#)]
287. Elizabeth Carlson. 2018. The Relevance of Relative Distribution: Favoritism, Information, and Vote Choice in Africa. *Comparative Political Studies* **51**:12, 1531-1562. [[Crossref](#)]
288. Adrianna C. Jenkins, Pierre Karashchuk, Lusha Zhu, Ming Hsu. 2018. Predicting human behavior toward members of different social groups. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **115**:39, 9696-9701. [[Crossref](#)]
289. Marek A. Vranka, Štěpán Bahník. 2018. Predictors of Bribe-Taking: The Role of Bribe Size and Personality. *Frontiers in Psychology* **9**. . [[Crossref](#)]
290. Ian Crawford, Donna Harris. 2018. Social interactions and the influence of “extremists”. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* **153**, 238-266. [[Crossref](#)]
291. Alex Shaw, Shoham Choshen-Hillel, Eugene M. Caruso. 2018. Being biased against friends to appear unbiased. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology* **78**, 104-115. [[Crossref](#)]
292. Luciano Mauro, Francesco Pigliaru, Gaetano Carmeci. 2018. Decentralization and growth: Do informal institutions and rule of law matter?. *Journal of Policy Modeling* **40**:5, 873-902. [[Crossref](#)]
293. Jesper Stage, Claudine Uwera. 2018. Social cohesion in Rwanda: Results from a public good experiment. *Development Policy Review* **36**:5, 577-586. [[Crossref](#)]
294. Constança Esteves-Sorenson. 2018. Gift Exchange in the Workplace: Addressing the Conflicting Evidence with a Careful Test. *Management Science* **64**:9, 4365-4388. [[Crossref](#)]
295. Amos Nadler, Peiran Jiao, Cameron J. Johnson, Veronika Alexander, Paul J. Zak. 2018. The Bull of Wall Street: Experimental Analysis of Testosterone and Asset Trading. *Management Science* **64**:9, 4032-4051. [[Crossref](#)]
296. Maria Fernandes, Marieta Valente. 2018. When Is Green Too Rosy? Evidence from a Laboratory Market Experiment on Green Goods and Externalities. *Games* **9**:3, 70. [[Crossref](#)]
297. Klára Faragó, Ajna Uatkán. 2018. Risk Taking with Variable Resources: a Field and a Laboratory Experiment. *Open Psychology* **1**:1, 36-57. [[Crossref](#)]

298. Rebecca Maxwell-Stuart, Babak Taheri, Audrey S. Paterson, Kevin O’Gorman, William Jackson. 2018. Working together to increase student satisfaction: exploring the effects of mode of study and fee status. *Studies in Higher Education* **43**:8, 1392-1404. [[Crossref](#)]
299. Lukas Meub, Till Proeger. 2018. Are groups ‘less behavioral’? The case of anchoring. *Theory and Decision* **85**:2, 117-150. [[Crossref](#)]
300. Andy S. Choi, Choong-Ki Lee, Katsuya Tanaka, Honggang Xu. 2018. Value spillovers from the Korean DMZ areas and social desirability. *Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Economics* **75**, 95-104. [[Crossref](#)]
301. Maik Kecinski, Kent D. Messer. 2018. Mitigating Public Concerns About Recycled Drinking Water: Leveraging the Power of Voting and Communication. *Water Resources Research* **54**:8, 5300-5326. [[Crossref](#)]
302. SAMUEL M. HARTZMARK, KELLY SHUE. 2018. A Tough Act to Follow: Contrast Effects in Financial Markets. *The Journal of Finance* **73**:4, 1567-1613. [[Crossref](#)]
303. Jörg L. Spenkuch, B. Pablo Montagnes, Daniel B. Magleby. 2018. Backward Induction in the Wild? Evidence from Sequential Voting in the US Senate. *American Economic Review* **108**:7, 1971-2013. [[Abstract](#)] [[View PDF article](#)] [[PDF with links](#)]
304. Amir Riaz, Shirley Gregor, Saif Dewan, Qing Xu. 2018. The interplay between emotion, cognition and information recall from websites with relevant and irrelevant images: A Neuro-IS study. *Decision Support Systems* **111**, 113-123. [[Crossref](#)]
305. Sergio Marrocoli, Tsegaye Tagesse Gatiso, David Morgan, Martin Reinhardt Nielsen, Hjalmar Kühl. 2018. Environmental Uncertainty and Self-monitoring in the Commons: A Common-pool Resource Experiment Framed Around Bushmeat Hunting in the Republic of Congo. *Ecological Economics* **149**, 274-284. [[Crossref](#)]
306. Michael H. Taylor, Kimberly Rollins, Corey Lott. 2018. Exploring the behavioral and welfare implications of social-comparison messages in residential water and electricity. *Economics Letters* **168**, 65-69. [[Crossref](#)]
307. Fredrik Carlsson, Mitesh Kataria, Elina Lampi. 2018. Demand effects in stated preference surveys. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management* **90**, 294-302. [[Crossref](#)]
308. Hailey Hayeon Joo, Jungmin Lee, Sangkon Park. 2018. EVERY DROP COUNTS: A WATER CONSERVATION EXPERIMENT WITH HOTEL GUESTS. *Economic Inquiry* **56**:3, 1788-1808. [[Crossref](#)]
309. Astghik Mavisakalyan, Clas Weber. 2018. LINGUISTIC STRUCTURES AND ECONOMIC OUTCOMES. *Journal of Economic Surveys* **32**:3, 916-939. [[Crossref](#)]
310. David P. Byrne, Andrea La Nauze, Leslie A. Martin. 2018. Tell Me Something I Don’t Already Know: Informedness and the Impact of Information Programs. *The Review of Economics and Statistics* **100**:3, 510-527. [[Crossref](#)]
311. António Osório. 2018. A Behavioural Theory of Allocation in the Dictator Game. *Journal of Interdisciplinary Economics* **30**:2, 117-127. [[Crossref](#)]
312. Anup Gampa, Jessica V. Linley, Brian Roe, Keith L. Warren. 2018. Generosity, fairness, trust and time: the performance of therapeutic community residents in economics experiments. *Therapeutic Communities: The International Journal of Therapeutic Communities* **39**:2, 98-107. [[Crossref](#)]
313. Kevin K.W. Ho, Eric W.K. See-To. 2018. The impact of the uses and gratifications of tourist attraction fan page. *Internet Research* **28**:3, 587-603. [[Crossref](#)]
314. A. Banerji, Shyamal Chowdhury, Hugo De Groote, J. V. Meenakshi, Joyce Haleegoah, Manfred Ewool. 2018. Eliciting Willingness-to-Pay through Multiple Experimental Procedures: Evidence

from Lab-in-the-Field in Rural Ghana. *Canadian Journal of Agricultural Economics/Revue canadienne d'agroeconomie* **66**:2, 231-254. [[Crossref](#)]

315. Lisa Bruttel, Florian Stolley. 2018. Gender Differences in the Response to Decision Power and Responsibility—Framing Effects in a Dictator Game. *Games* **9**:2, 28. [[Crossref](#)]
316. Oleg Korenok, Edward L. Millner, Laura Razzolini. 2018. Taking aversion. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* **150**, 397-403. [[Crossref](#)]
317. Steve M. Redpath, Aidan Keane, Henrik Andrén, Zachary Baynham-Herd, Nils Bunnefeld, A. Bradley Duthie, Jens Frank, Claude A. Garcia, Johan Månsson, Lovisa Nilsson, Chris R.J. Pollard, O. Sarobidy Rakotonarivo, Carl F. Salk, Henry Travers. 2018. Games as Tools to Address Conservation Conflicts. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* **33**:6, 415-426. [[Crossref](#)]
318. Matteo M. Galizzi, Glenn W. Harrison, Marisa Miraldo. Experimental Methods and Behavioral Insights in Health Economics: Estimating Risk and Time Preferences in Health 1-21. [[Crossref](#)]
319. Lena M. Reibelt, Patrick O. Waeber. Approaching Human Dimensions in Lemur Conservation at Lake Alaotra, Madagascar . [[Crossref](#)]
320. Kerstin Fiederling, Jörg Schiller, Frauke von Bieberstein. 2018. Can we Trust Consumers' Survey Answers when Dealing with Insurance Fraud?. *Schmalenbach Business Review* **70**:2, 111-147. [[Crossref](#)]
321. PETER D. LUNN, ÁINE NÍ CHOISDEALBHA. 2018. The case for laboratory experiments in behavioural public policy. *Behavioural Public Policy* **2**:1, 22-40. [[Crossref](#)]
322. Emily Breza, Supreet Kaur, Yogita Shamdasani. 2018. The Morale Effects of Pay Inequality*. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics* **133**:2, 611-663. [[Crossref](#)]
323. Fatih Saraçoğlu, Eren Çaskurlu, Elif Pürsünlerli. Keystones of Performing a Proper Tax Policy Design for Tax Compliance: Does Perception of Tax Compliance Develop in Persons Who Are Not Tax Payers Yet? . [[Crossref](#)]
324. Neelanjan Sircar, Ty Turley, Peter van der Windt, Maarten Voors. 2018. Know your neighbor: The impact of social context on fairness behavior. *PLOS ONE* **13**:4, e0194037. [[Crossref](#)]
325. Mauricio Salgado. 2018. Gender-Biased Expectations of Altruism in Adolescents. *Frontiers in Psychology* **9**. . [[Crossref](#)]
326. Shashank Rao, Kang Bok Lee, Brian Connelly, Deepak Iyengar. 2018. Return Time Leniency in Online Retail: A Signaling Theory Perspective on Buying Outcomes. *Decision Sciences* **49**:2, 275-305. [[Crossref](#)]
327. Fabian Winter, Nan Zhang. 2018. Social norm enforcement in ethnically diverse communities. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **115**:11, 2722-2727. [[Crossref](#)]
328. Aurélie Bonein. 2018. Peer pressure and social comparisons with heterogeneous ability. *Managerial and Decision Economics* **39**:2, 142-157. [[Crossref](#)]
329. Daniel M. Bartels, Reid Hastie, Oleg Urminsky. 2018. Connecting Laboratory and Field Research in Judgment and Decision Making: Causality and the Breadth of External Validity. *Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition* **7**:1, 11-15. [[Crossref](#)]
330. Yuxin Xie, Soosung Hwang, Athanasios A. Pantelous. 2018. Loss aversion around the world: Empirical evidence from pension funds. *Journal of Banking & Finance* **88**, 52-62. [[Crossref](#)]
331. Zhixin Dai, Fabio Galeotti, Marie Claire Villeval. 2018. Cheating in the Lab Predicts Fraud in the Field: An Experiment in Public Transportation. *Management Science* **64**:3, 1081-1100. [[Crossref](#)]
332. Scott M Swinton. 2018. Why Should I Believe Your Applied Economics?. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics* **100**:2, 381-391. [[Crossref](#)]
333. Alex Rees-Jones. 2018. Suboptimal behavior in strategy-proof mechanisms: Evidence from the residency match. *Games and Economic Behavior* **108**, 317-330. [[Crossref](#)]

334. Benedict G. C. Dellaert, Joffre Swait, Wiktor L. Vic Adamowicz, Theo A. Arentze, Elizabeth E. Bruch, Elisabetta Cherchi, Caspar Chorus, Bas Donkers, Fred M. Feinberg, A. A. J. Marley, Linda Court Salisbury. 2018. Individuals' Decisions in the Presence of Multiple Goals. *Customer Needs and Solutions* 5:1-2, 51-64. [[Crossref](#)]
335. Andy Brownback. 2018. A classroom experiment on effort allocation under relative grading. *Economics of Education Review* 62, 113-128. [[Crossref](#)]
336. Tatsuyoshi Saijo, Junyi Shen. 2018. Mate choice mechanism for solving a quasi-dilemma. *Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Economics* 72, 1-8. [[Crossref](#)]
337. Ricardo Perez-Truglia. 2018. Markets, trust and cultural biases: evidence from eBay. *Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Economics* 72, 17-27. [[Crossref](#)]
338. Gema Zamarro, Albert Cheng, M. Danish Shakeel, Collin Hitt. 2018. Comparing and validating measures of non-cognitive traits: Performance task measures and self-reports from a nationally representative internet panel. *Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Economics* 72, 51-60. [[Crossref](#)]
339. Andreas Friedl, Levent Neyse, Ulrich Schmidt. 2018. Payment scheme changes and effort Adjustment: The role of 2D:4D digit ratio. *Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Economics* 72, 86-94. [[Crossref](#)]
340. Katharina Lima de Miranda, Levent Neyse, Ulrich Schmidt. 2018. Risk Preferences and Predictions about Others: No Association with 2D:4D Ratio. *Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience* 12. . [[Crossref](#)]
341. Matthew Gwynfryn Thomas, Bård-Jørgen Bårdsen, Marius Warg Næss. 2018. The narrow gap between norms and cooperative behaviour in a reindeer herding community. *Royal Society Open Science* 5:2, 171221. [[Crossref](#)]
342. Karen Wynn, Paul Bloom, Ashley Jordan, Julia Marshall, Mark Sheskin. 2018. Not Noble Savages After All: Limits to Early Altruism. *Current Directions in Psychological Science* 27:1, 3-8. [[Crossref](#)]
343. Danny Flemming, Ulrike Cress, Sophia Kimmig, Miriam Brandt, Joachim Kimmerle. 2018. Emotionalization in Science Communication: The Impact of Narratives and Visual Representations on Knowledge Gain and Risk Perception. *Frontiers in Communication* 3. . [[Crossref](#)]
344. Georg Primes, Martin Fieder. 2018. Real-life helping behaviours in North America: A genome-wide association approach. *PLOS ONE* 13:1, e0190950. [[Crossref](#)]
345. Gherardo Girardi, Luca Sandonà. 2018. Incorporating research findings in the economics syllabus: evidence on genuine sociality from Italy and the UK. *Review of Social Economy* 76:1, 73-94. [[Crossref](#)]
346. Kim Lehrer, Catherine Porter. 2018. Charitable Dictators? Determinants of Giving to NGOs in Uganda. *Journal of International Development* 30:1, 80-101. [[Crossref](#)]
347. Edgardo Bucciarelli, Tony E. Persico. Processing and Analysing Experimental Data Using a Tensor-Based Method: Evidence from an Ultimatum Game Study 122-134. [[Crossref](#)]
348. Junko Mochizuki, Piotr Magnuszewski, Joanne Linnerooth-Bayer. Games for Aiding Stakeholder Deliberation on Nexus Policy Issues 93-124. [[Crossref](#)]
349. Monika Czerwonka, Aleksandra Staniszevska, Krzysztof Kompa. Cognitive Reflection Test in Predicting Rational Behavior in the Dictator Game 301-312. [[Crossref](#)]
350. Christian Handke, Christian Herzog. Entscheidungsexperimente als Grundlage für die Bewertung und Ausgestaltung öffentlich-rechtlicher Medienangebote 305-322. [[Crossref](#)]
351. Kirti Sharda. The Neural Correlates of Decision-Making: Review and Research Agenda 231-264. [[Crossref](#)]
352. Riccardo Vecchio, Azzurra Annunziata. Experimental Economics to Evaluate Consumer Preferences 583-607. [[Crossref](#)]
353. Oriel FeldmanHall, Luke J. Chang. Social Learning 309-330. [[Crossref](#)]

354. Danae Manika, Diana Gregory-Smith, Savvas Papagiannidis. 2018. The influence of prior knowledge structures on website attitudes and behavioral intentions. *Computers in Human Behavior* **78**, 44-58. [[Crossref](#)]
355. David Rueda. 2018. Food Comes First, Then Morals: Redistribution Preferences, Parochial Altruism, and Immigration in Western Europe. *The Journal of Politics* **80**:1, 225-239. [[Crossref](#)]
356. Chris Silvia. 2018. Picking the Team: A Preliminary Experimental Study of the Activation of Collaborative Network Members. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory* **28**:1, 120-137. [[Crossref](#)]
357. Shahar Sansani, Arik Rozental. 2018. WHO FAVOURS THE GAY COMMUNITY? EXPERIMENTAL EVIDENCE USING CHARITABLE DONATIONS. *Bulletin of Economic Research* **70**:1, E1-E16. [[Crossref](#)]
358. William G. Resh, John D. Marvel, Bo Wen. 2018. The Persistence of Prosocial Work Effort as a Function of Mission Match. *Public Administration Review* **78**:1, 116-125. [[Crossref](#)]
359. Lu Dong, Lingbo Huang. 2018. Is There No 'I' in Team? Strategic Effects in Multi-Battle Team Competition. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
360. Gari Walkowitz. 2018. On the Validity of Cost-Saving Payment Methods in Dictator-Game Experiments: A Systematic Test. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
361. Sigve Tjittta. 2018. You'll Never Walk Alone: An Experimental Study on Receiving Money. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
362. R. Andrew Luccasen, III, Philip J. Grossman. 2018. The Ring of Gyges in the Laboratory: The Effect of Tangibility and Earned Money on Giving and Taking. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
363. Dorian Jullien, Nicolas Vallois. 2018. Estimating Rationality in Economics: A History of Statistical Methods in Experimental Economics. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
364. Dorian Jullien, Nicolas Vallois. 2018. Under Risk, Over Time, Regarding Other People: Language and Rationality within Three Dimensions. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
365. Mariacristina Rossi, Dario Sansone, Arthur <!>van Soest, Costanza Torricelli. 2018. Household Preferences for Socially Responsible Investments. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
366. Valerio Capraro. 2018. Social Versus Moral Preferences in the Ultimatum Game: A Theoretical Model and an Experiment. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
367. Ben Tappin, Valerio Capraro. 2018. Doing Good vs. Avoiding Bad in Prosocial Choice: A Refined Test and Extension of the Morality Preference Hypothesis. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
368. Julie Beugnot, Bernard Fortin, Guy Lacroix, MarieeClaire Villeval. 2018. Gender and Peer Effects on Performance in Social Networks. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
369. Valerio Capraro, Andrea Vanzo. 2018. Understanding Moral Preferences Using Sentiment Analysis. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
370. Michael L. Marlow. 2018. Weight Loss Nudges: Market Test or Government Guess?. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
371. Sanjit Dhami, Ali al-Nowaihi, Cass R. Sunstein. 2018. Heuristics and Public Policy: Decision Making Under Bounded Rationality. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
372. Carlos Alos-Ferrer, Jaume Garcia-Segarra, Alexander Ritschel. 2018. The Big Robber Game. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
373. Matthew Lee, Arzi Adbi, Jasjit Singh. 2018. Outcome Efficiency in Impact Investing Decisions. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]

374. Robert Hoffmann, Janneke Blijlevens, Swee-Hoon Chuah, Ananta Neelim, Jo Laban. 2018. The Ethics of Student Participation in Economic Experiments: Arguments and Evidence. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
375. Gonzalo Castañeda Ramos, Omar A Guerrero. 2018. Evaluating Policy Priorities under Social Learning and Endogenous Government Behavior. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
376. Jetske Bouma, T.T.B. Nguyen, Eline van der Heijden, Justin Dijk. 2018. Analysing Group Contract Design Using a Lab and a Lab-in-The-Field Threshold Public Good Experiment. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
377. Anoop Menon, Gideon Nave, Sudeep Bhatia. 2018. Emotional Expressions Predict Risky Decisions by S&P 500 Executives. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
378. Daisung Jang, Hillary Anger Elfenbein, William P. Bottom. 2018. More than a Phase: Form and Features of a General Theory of Negotiation. *Academy of Management Annals* **12**:1, 318-356. [[Crossref](#)]
379. Christian Biener, Martin Eling, Andreas Landmann, Shailee Pradhan. 2018. Can group incentives alleviate moral hazard? The role of pro-social preferences. *European Economic Review* **101**, 230-249. [[Crossref](#)]
380. Sveinung Jørgensen, Lars Jacob Tynes Pedersen. Avenues for Future Research 193-208. [[Crossref](#)]
381. John A. List, David Reiley. Field Experiments 4569-4574. [[Crossref](#)]
382. Matthew Osborne, Ben D'Exelle, Arjan Verschoor. 2018. Truly reconciled? A dyadic analysis of post-conflict social reintegration in Northern Uganda. *Journal of Peace Research* **55**:1, 107-121. [[Crossref](#)]
383. Laura Kaltwasser, Una Mikac, Vesna Buško, Andrea Hildebrandt. 2017. No Robust Association between Static Markers of Testosterone and Facets of Socio-Economic Decision Making. *Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience* **11**. . [[Crossref](#)]
384. Jeannette Brosig-Koch, Burkhard Hehenkamp, Johanna Kokot. 2017. The effects of competition on medical service provision. *Health Economics* **26**, 6-20. [[Crossref](#)]
385. Jean-Sauveur Ay, Raja Chakir, Stephan Marette. 2017. Distance Decay in the Willingness to Pay for Wine: Disentangling Local and Organic Attributes. *Environmental and Resource Economics* **68**:4, 997-1019. [[Crossref](#)]
386. Raja Rajendra Timilsina, Koji Kotani. 2017. Evaluating the potential of marketable permits in a framed field experiment: Forest conservation in Nepal. *Journal of Forest Economics* **29**, 25-37. [[Crossref](#)]
387. Henrik Cronqvist, Frank Yu. 2017. Shaped by their daughters: Executives, female socialization, and corporate social responsibility. *Journal of Financial Economics* **126**:3, 543-562. [[Crossref](#)]
388. Gregory DeAngelo, Perry Ferrell, Bryan C. McCannon. 2017. Sources of deviant behavior: Contrasting alternative explanations in the laboratory. *Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Economics* **71**, 31-44. [[Crossref](#)]
389. PABLO CASAS-ARCE, SOFIA M. LOURENÇO, F. ASÍS MARTÍNEZ-JEREZ. 2017. The Performance Effect of Feedback Frequency and Detail: Evidence from a Field Experiment in Customer Satisfaction. *Journal of Accounting Research* **55**:5, 1051-1088. [[Crossref](#)]
390. ARNO RIEDL, PAUL SMEETS. 2017. Why Do Investors Hold Socially Responsible Mutual Funds?. *The Journal of Finance* **72**:6, 2505-2550. [[Crossref](#)]
391. Stewart Kettle, Marco Hernandez, Michael Sanders, Oliver Hauser, Simon Ruda. 2017. Failure to CAPTCHA Attention: Null Results from an Honesty Priming Experiment in Guatemala. *Behavioral Sciences* **7**:4, 28. [[Crossref](#)]
392. Jelle de Boer. 2017. Social Preferences and Context Sensitivity. *Games* **8**:4, 43. [[Crossref](#)]

393. S. C. Matz, M. Kosinski, G. Nave, D. J. Stillwell. 2017. Psychological targeting as an effective approach to digital mass persuasion. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **114**:48, 12714-12719. [[Crossref](#)]
394. Elias Rantapuska, Riitta Freese, Iiro P. Jääskeläinen, Kaisa Hytönen. 2017. Does Short-Term Hunger Increase Trust and Trustworthiness in a High Trust Society?. *Frontiers in Psychology* **8**. . [[Crossref](#)]
395. Omar Al-Ubaydli, John A. List, Danielle LoRe, Dana Suskind. 2017. Scaling for Economists: Lessons from the Non-Adherence Problem in the Medical Literature. *Journal of Economic Perspectives* **31**:4, 125-144. [[Abstract](#)] [[View PDF article](#)] [[PDF with links](#)]
396. Ayşegül Engin, Rudolf Vetschera. 2017. Information representation in decision making: The impact of cognitive style and depletion effects. *Decision Support Systems* **103**, 94-103. [[Crossref](#)]
397. Jetske Bouma, Victoria Reyes-García, Tomas Huanca, Susana Arrazola. 2017. Understanding conditions for co-management: A framed field experiment amongst the Tsimane', Bolivia. *Ecological Economics* **141**, 32-42. [[Crossref](#)]
398. Paul Clist, Arjan Verschoor. 2017. Multilingualism and public goods provision: An experiment in two languages in Uganda. *Journal of Development Economics* **129**, 47-57. [[Crossref](#)]
399. Andrew M. Simons, Theresa Beltramo, Garrick Blalock, David I. Levine. 2017. Using unobtrusive sensors to measure and minimize Hawthorne effects: Evidence from cookstoves. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management* **86**, 68-80. [[Crossref](#)]
400. David Kaczan, Alexander Pfaff, Luz Rodriguez, Elizabeth Shapiro-Garza. 2017. Increasing the impact of collective incentives in payments for ecosystem services. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management* **86**, 48-67. [[Crossref](#)]
401. Patrizia Ordine, Giuseppe Rose. 2017. On the State and Wealth dependence of risk aversion: An analysis using severance pay allocation. *The North American Journal of Economics and Finance* **42**, 156-171. [[Crossref](#)]
402. Blaine G. Robbins. 2017. Status, identity, and ability in the formation of trust. *Rationality and Society* **29**:4, 408-448. [[Crossref](#)]
403. Menusch Khadjavi. 2017. Indirect Reciprocity and Charitable Giving— Evidence from a Field Experiment. *Management Science* **63**:11, 3708-3717. [[Crossref](#)]
404. Rosemarie Nagel, Christoph Bühren, Björn Frank. 2017. Inspired and inspiring: Hervé Moulin and the discovery of the beauty contest game. *Mathematical Social Sciences* **90**, 191-207. [[Crossref](#)]
405. Craig D. Broadbent, David S. Brookshire, Don Coursey, Vince Tidwell. 2017. Futures Contracts in Water Leasing: An Experimental Analysis Using Basin Characteristics of the Rio Grande, NM. *Environmental and Resource Economics* **68**:3, 569-594. [[Crossref](#)]
406. Parker Crutchfield, Justin Jarvis, Terry Olson, Matthew Wilson. 2017. Observed Altruism in Dental Students: An Experiment Using the Ultimatum Game. *Journal of Dental Education* **81**:11, 1301-1308. [[Crossref](#)]
407. Kun Zhao, Eamonn Ferguson, Luke D. Smillie. 2017. When Fair Is Not Equal. *Social Psychological and Personality Science* **8**:8, 847-857. [[Crossref](#)]
408. Dale Whittington, Wiktor Adamowicz, Patrick Lloyd-Smith. 2017. Asking Willingness-to-Accept Questions in Stated Preference Surveys: A Review and Research Agenda. *Annual Review of Resource Economics* **9**:1, 317-336. [[Crossref](#)]
409. Maria L. Loureiro, John Loomis. 2017. How Sensitive Are Environmental Valuations To Economic Downturns?. *Ecological Economics* **140**, 235-240. [[Crossref](#)]
410. Arnaud Philippe. 2017. Does introducing lay people in criminal courts affect judicial decisions? Evidence from French reform. *International Review of Law and Economics* **52**, 1-15. [[Crossref](#)]

411. Ralph C. Bayer, Adam Loch. 2017. Experimental evidence on the relative efficiency of forward contracting and tradable entitlements in water markets. *Water Resources and Economics* **20**, 1-15. [[Crossref](#)]
412. Tomás Lejarraga, Michael Schulte-Mecklenbeck, Daniel Smedema. 2017. The pyeTribe: Simultaneous eyetracking for economic games. *Behavior Research Methods* **49**:5, 1769-1779. [[Crossref](#)]
413. Giorgia Barboni. 2017. Repayment flexibility in microfinance contracts: Theory and experimental evidence on take up and selection. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* **142**, 425-450. [[Crossref](#)]
414. Uta Landrock. 2017. Investigating Interviewer Falsifications – A Quasi-experimental Design. *Bulletin of Sociological Methodology/Bulletin de Méthodologie Sociologique* **136**:1, 5-20. [[Crossref](#)]
415. Petr Houdek. 2017. A Perspective on Research on Dishonesty: Limited External Validity Due to the Lack of Possibility of Self-Selection in Experimental Designs. *Frontiers in Psychology* **8**. . [[Crossref](#)]
416. Philipp Gerlach. 2017. The games economists play: Why economics students behave more selfishly than other students. *PLOS ONE* **12**:9, e0183814. [[Crossref](#)]
417. Chian Jones Ritten, Christopher Bastian, Jason Shogren, Thadchaigeni Panchalingam, Mariah Ehmke, Gregory Parkhurst. 2017. Understanding Pollinator Habitat Conservation under Current Policy Using Economic Experiments. *Land* **6**:3, 57. [[Crossref](#)]
418. Michael Jetter, Jay K. Walker. 2017. Anchoring in financial decision-making: Evidence from Jeopardy!. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* **141**, 164-176. [[Crossref](#)]
419. M. Travis Maynard, Lucy L. Gilson, Nicole C. Jones Young, Matti Vartiainen. Virtual Teams 315-345. [[Crossref](#)]
420. Rema Hanna, Shing-Yi Wang. 2017. Dishonesty and Selection into Public Service: Evidence from India. *American Economic Journal: Economic Policy* **9**:3, 262-290. [[Abstract](#)] [[View PDF article](#)] [[PDF with links](#)]
421. Yoan Hermstrüwer, Stephan Dickert. 2017. Sharing is daring: An experiment on consent, chilling effects and a salient privacy nudge. *International Review of Law and Economics* **51**, 38-49. [[Crossref](#)]
422. Florian Chávez-Juárez. 2017. On the Role of Agent-based Modeling in the Theory of Development Economics. *Review of Development Economics* **21**:3, 713-730. [[Crossref](#)]
423. David L. Dickinson, Todd McElroy. 2017. Sleep restriction and circadian effects on social decisions. *European Economic Review* **97**, 57-71. [[Crossref](#)]
424. Frank M. Fossen, Daniela Glocker. 2017. Stated and revealed heterogeneous risk preferences in educational choice. *European Economic Review* **97**, 1-25. [[Crossref](#)]
425. Georg Meran, Christian von Hirschhausen. 2017. Increasing Block Tariffs in the Water Sector – An Interpretation in Terms of Social Preferences. *The B.E. Journal of Economic Analysis & Policy* **17**:3. . [[Crossref](#)]
426. Justin M. Stritch, Mogens Jin Pedersen, Gabel Taggart. 2017. The Opportunities and Limitations of Using Mechanical Turk (MTURK) in Public Administration and Management Scholarship. *International Public Management Journal* **20**:3, 489-511. [[Crossref](#)]
427. Elizabeth Lyons. 2017. Team Production in International Labor Markets: Experimental Evidence from the Field. *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics* **9**:3, 70-104. [[Abstract](#)] [[View PDF article](#)] [[PDF with links](#)]
428. José A. Pellerano, Michael K. Price, Steven L. Puller, Gonzalo E. Sánchez. 2017. Do Extrinsic Incentives Undermine Social Norms? Evidence from a Field Experiment in Energy Conservation. *Environmental and Resource Economics* **67**:3, 413-428. [[Crossref](#)]
429. Martin Kesternich, Christiane Reif, Dirk Rübbelke. 2017. Recent Trends in Behavioral Environmental Economics. *Environmental and Resource Economics* **67**:3, 403-411. [[Crossref](#)]

430. David J. Kusterer, Patrick W. Schmitz. 2017. The management of innovation: Experimental evidence. *Games and Economic Behavior* **104**, 706-725. [[Crossref](#)]
431. Per Kristensson, Erik Wästlund, Magnus Söderlund. 2017. Influencing consumers to choose environment friendly offerings: Evidence from field experiments. *Journal of Business Research* **76**, 89-97. [[Crossref](#)]
432. Michael G. Findley, Brock Laney, Daniel L. Nielson, J. C. Sharman. 2017. External Validity in Parallel Global Field and Survey Experiments on Anonymous Incorporation. *The Journal of Politics* **79**:3, 856-872. [[Crossref](#)]
433. Joshua Conrad Jackson, David Bilkey, Jonathan Jong, Maya Rossignac-Milon, Jamin Halberstadt. 2017. Strangers in a Stadium. *Social Psychological and Personality Science* **8**:5, 509-518. [[Crossref](#)]
434. Ru Zhao, Vivian Li, Hugo Barbosa, Gourab Ghoshal, Mohammed Ehsan Hoque. 2017. Semi-Automated 8 Collaborative Online Training Module for Improving Communication Skills. *Proceedings of the ACM on Interactive, Mobile, Wearable and Ubiquitous Technologies* **1**:2, 1-20. [[Crossref](#)]
435. Tehila Kogut, Ilana Ritov. Psychological Determinants of Charitable Giving 387-404. [[Crossref](#)]
436. Gerrit Antonides. Research Methods for Economic Psychology 51-68. [[Crossref](#)]
437. Brilé Anderson, Thomas Bernauer, Stefano Baliatti. 2017. Effects of fairness principles on willingness to pay for climate change mitigation. *Climatic Change* **142**:3-4, 447-461. [[Crossref](#)]
438. Mohammed H. Alemu, Søren B. Olsen, Suzanne E. Vedel, John N. Kinyuru, Kennedy O. Pambo. 2017. Can insects increase food security in developing countries? An analysis of Kenyan consumer preferences and demand for cricket flour buns. *Food Security* **9**:3, 471-484. [[Crossref](#)]
439. Bernhard Kittel, Georg Kanitsar, Stefan Traub. 2017. Knowledge, power, and self-interest. *Journal of Public Economics* **150**, 39-52. [[Crossref](#)]
440. Elisabeth Vollmer, Daniel Hermann, Oliver Musshoff. 2017. An Experimental Approach to the Investment Timing of Conventional and Organic Hog Farmers. *Canadian Journal of Agricultural Economics/Revue canadienne d'agroeconomie* **65**:2, 293-315. [[Crossref](#)]
441. Francesco Guala, Antonio Filippin. 2017. The Effect of Group Identity On Distributive Choice: Social Preference or Heuristic?. *The Economic Journal* **127**:602, 1047-1068. [[Crossref](#)]
442. Adrian Chadi, Sabrina Jeworrek, Vanessa Mertins. 2017. When the Meaning of Work Has Disappeared: Experimental Evidence on Employees' Performance and Emotions. *Management Science* **63**:6, 1696-1707. [[Crossref](#)]
443. Lorenza Lucchi Basili, Pier Sacco. 2017. Tie-Up Cycles in Long-Term Mating. Part II: Fictional Narratives and the Social Cognition of Mating. *Challenges* **8**:1, 6. [[Crossref](#)]
444. Kees Vringer, Eline van der Heijden, Daan van Soest, Herman Vollebergh, Frank Dietz. 2017. Sustainable Consumption Dilemmas. *Sustainability* **9**:6, 942. [[Crossref](#)]
445. Myriam Ertz, Julien François, Fabien Durif. 2017. How Consumers React to Environmental Information: An Experimental Study. *Journal of International Consumer Marketing* **29**:3, 162-178. [[Crossref](#)]
446. Adam Sacarny, David Yokum, Shantanu Agrawal. 2017. Government-Academic Partnerships in Randomized Evaluations: The Case of Inappropriate Prescribing. *American Economic Review* **107**:5, 466-470. [[Abstract](#)] [[View PDF article](#)] [[PDF with links](#)]
447. Omar Al-Ubaydli, John A. List, Dana L. Suskind. 2017. What Can We Learn from Experiments? Understanding the Threats to the Scalability of Experimental Results. *American Economic Review* **107**:5, 282-286. [[Abstract](#)] [[View PDF article](#)] [[PDF with links](#)]
448. Tobias Ide. 2017. Research methods for exploring the links between climate change and conflict. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change* **8**:3, e456. [[Crossref](#)]

449. Nadja Kairies-Schwarz, Johanna Kokot, Markus Vomhof, Jens Weßling. 2017. Health insurance choice and risk preferences under cumulative prospect theory – an experiment. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* **137**, 374-397. [[Crossref](#)]
450. Yacheng Sun, Xiaojing Dong, Shelby McIntyre. 2017. Motivation of User-Generated Content: Social Connectedness Moderates the Effects of Monetary Rewards. *Marketing Science* **36**:3, 329-337. [[Crossref](#)]
451. Yoshio Kamijo, Asuka Komiya, Nobuhiro Mifune, Tatsuyoshi Saijo. 2017. Negotiating with the future: incorporating imaginary future generations into negotiations. *Sustainability Science* **12**:3, 409-420. [[Crossref](#)]
452. Jeannette Brosig-Koch, Thomas Riechmann, Joachim Weimann. 2017. The dynamics of behavior in modified dictator games. *PLOS ONE* **12**:4, e0176199. [[Crossref](#)]
453. Farid Pazhoohi, Margarida Pinho, Joana Arantes. 2017. Effect of Religious Day on Prosocial Behavior: A Field Study. *The International Journal for the Psychology of Religion* **27**:2, 116-123. [[Crossref](#)]
454. Nic Flinkenflogel, Sheida Novin, Mariette Huizinga, Lydia Krabbendam. 2017. Gender Moderates the Influence of Self-Construal Priming on Fairness Considerations. *Frontiers in Psychology* **8**. . [[Crossref](#)]
455. Danae Manika, Diana Gregory-Smith, Paolo Antonetti. 2017. Pride in Technology-Based Health Interventions: A Double-Edged Sword. *Psychology & Marketing* **34**:4, 410-427. [[Crossref](#)]
456. Michael Hallsworth, John A. List, Robert D. Metcalfe, Ivo Vlaev. 2017. The behavioralist as tax collector: Using natural field experiments to enhance tax compliance. *Journal of Public Economics* **148**, 14-31. [[Crossref](#)]
457. Steven G.M. Schilizzi. 2017. An overview of laboratory research on conservation auctions. *Land Use Policy* **63**, 572-583. [[Crossref](#)]
458. Subhasish Dugar, Haimanti Bhattacharya. 2017. Fishy behavior: A field experiment on (dis)honesty in the marketplace. *Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Economics* **67**, 41-55. [[Crossref](#)]
459. Brian C. Rathbun, Joshua D. Kertzer, Mark Paradis. 2017. Homo Diplomaticus : Mixed-Method Evidence of Variation in Strategic Rationality. *International Organization* **71**:S1, S33-S60. [[Crossref](#)]
460. Jonathan Renshon, Julia J. Lee, Dustin Tingley. 2017. Emotions and the Micro-Foundations of Commitment Problems. *International Organization* **71**:S1, S189-S218. [[Crossref](#)]
461. Jonas Fookien. 2017. Heart rate variability indicates emotional value during pro-social economic laboratory decisions with large external validity. *Scientific Reports* **7**:1. . [[Crossref](#)]
462. Andrew Luccasen, Philip J. Grossman. 2017. WARM-GLOW GIVING: EARNED MONEY AND THE OPTION TO TAKE. *Economic Inquiry* **55**:2, 996-1006. [[Crossref](#)]
463. Sallie Keller, Gizem Korkmaz, Mark Orr, Aaron Schroeder, Stephanie Shipp. 2017. The Evolution of Data Quality: Understanding the Transdisciplinary Origins of Data Quality Concepts and Approaches. *Annual Review of Statistics and Its Application* **4**:1, 85-108. [[Crossref](#)]
464. Alexander Schjøll, Frode Alfnes. 2017. Eliciting consumer preferences for credence attributes in a fine-dining restaurant. *British Food Journal* **119**:3, 575-586. [[Crossref](#)]
465. Hayato Nakanishi. 2017. Quasi-experimental evidence for the importance of accounting for fear when evaluating catastrophic events. *Empirical Economics* **52**:2, 869-894. [[Crossref](#)]
466. Emin Karagözoğlu, Ümit Barış Urhan. 2017. The Effect of Stake Size in Experimental Bargaining and Distribution Games: A Survey. *Group Decision and Negotiation* **26**:2, 285-325. [[Crossref](#)]
467. Manja Gärtner, Anna Sandberg. 2017. Is there an omission effect in prosocial behavior? A laboratory experiment on passive vs. active generosity. *PLOS ONE* **12**:3, e0172496. [[Crossref](#)]
468. Christian Schubert. 2017. Green nudges: Do they work? Are they ethical?. *Ecological Economics* **132**, 329-342. [[Crossref](#)]

469. Omar Al-Ubaydli, Mike Yeomans. 2017. Do people donate more when they perceive a single beneficiary whom they know? A field experimental test of the identifiability effect. *Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Economics* **66**, 96-103. [[Crossref](#)]
470. Rachel Elizabeth Fish. 2017. The racialized construction of exceptionality: Experimental evidence of race/ethnicity effects on teachers' interventions. *Social Science Research* **62**, 317-334. [[Crossref](#)]
471. Petr Houdek, Petr Koblovský, Jan Plaček, Luboš Smrčka. 2017. Causality Illusion and Overconfidence in Predicting (Quasi)Stochastic Financial Events. *Acta Oeconomica Pragensia* **25**:1, 51-63. [[Crossref](#)]
472. Ayako Wakano, Hiroyuki Yamada, Daichi Shimamoto. 2017. Does the Heterogeneity of Project Implementers Affect the Programme Participation of Beneficiaries?: Evidence from Rural Cambodia. *The Journal of Development Studies* **53**:1, 49-67. [[Crossref](#)]
473. Carla Barlagne, Denis Cornet, Jean-Marc Blazy, Jean-Louis Diman, Harry Ozier-Lafontaine. 2017. Consumers' preferences for fresh yam: a focus group study. *Food Science & Nutrition* **5**:1, 54-66. [[Crossref](#)]
474. Bart J. Wilson. 2017. How We Think about Economics. *Southern Economic Journal* **83**:3, 655-657. [[Crossref](#)]
475. Lucía Martínez Ordóñez. Game Theory and the Decision-Making Process in Military Affairs 3-10. [[Crossref](#)]
476. Lucía Martínez Ordóñez. The Advantage of Moving First Versus a First-Mover Advantage 37-48. [[Crossref](#)]
477. Craig E. Landry. Experimental Methods in Valuation 391-429. [[Crossref](#)]
478. Masao Ogaki, Saori C. Tanaka. Social Preferences 115-140. [[Crossref](#)]
479. Renée B. Adams. Boards, and the Directors Who Sit on Them # #I thank Benjamin Hermalin and Michael Weisbach for their comments and for inviting me to write this chapter. I thank Ying Dou, Mandeep Singh, and Jing Xu for excellent research assistance 291-382. [[Crossref](#)]
480. O. Al-Ubaydli, J.A. List. Field Experiments in Markets 271-307. [[Crossref](#)]
481. Moira Nicolson, Gesche Huebner, David Shipworth. 2017. Are consumers willing to switch to smart time of use electricity tariffs? The importance of loss-aversion and electric vehicle ownership. *Energy Research & Social Science* **23**, 82-96. [[Crossref](#)]
482. Ulrich J. Frey. 2017. Cooperative strategies outside the laboratory — evidence from a long-term large-N-study in five countries. *Evolution and Human Behavior* **38**:1, 109-116. [[Crossref](#)]
483. Stéphan Marette, Christophe Martin, Fabienne Bouillot. 2017. Two experiments in one: How accounting for context matters for welfare estimates. *Food Policy* **66**, 12-24. [[Crossref](#)]
484. Riccardo Vecchio. 2017. Do participants discount products in experimental auctions?. *Food Quality and Preference* **55**, 98-101. [[Crossref](#)]
485. Gebeyehu Manie Fetene, Sigal Kaplan, Alexander Christopher Sebal, Carlo Giacomo Prato. 2017. Myopic loss aversion in the response of electric vehicle owners to the scheduling and pricing of vehicle charging. *Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment* **50**, 345-356. [[Crossref](#)]
486. Bradley L Kirkman, Kevin B Lowe, Cristina B Gibson. 2017. A retrospective on Culture's Consequences: The 35-year journey. *Journal of International Business Studies* **48**:1, 12-29. [[Crossref](#)]
487. Mongoljin Batsaikhan. 2017. TRUST, TRUSTWORTHINESS, AND BUSINESS SUCCESS: LAB AND FIELD FINDINGS FROM ENTREPRENEURS. *Economic Inquiry* **55**:1, 368-382. [[Crossref](#)]
488. Matthew P. Taylor. 2017. INFORMATION ACQUISITION UNDER RISKY CONDITIONS ACROSS REAL AND HYPOTHETICAL SETTINGS. *Economic Inquiry* **55**:1, 352-367. [[Crossref](#)]

489. Shahryar Baki, Rakesh Verma, Arjun Mukherjee, Omprakash Gnawali. Scaling and Effectiveness of Email Masquerade Attacks 469-482. [[Crossref](#)]
490. Ian Burt, Jay K Walker. 2017. Referent Points and Taxpayers Compliance: Insights into Taxpayers Risk Preferences for Honest Reporting. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
491. Julie Beugnot, Guy Lacroix, Marie Claire Villeval. 2017. Gender and Peer Effects in Social Networks. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
492. Blaine G. Robbins, Aaron Kamm, Simon Siegenthaler. 2017. Does Foreign Aid Harm Local Institutions? External Subsidies, Giving Behavior, and Social Norms in a Lab Experiment. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
493. Benedict G. C. Dellaert, Joffre Swait, Wiktor L. (Vic) Adamowicz, Theo A. Arentze, Elizabeth E. Bruch, Elisabetta Cherchi, Caspar Chorus, Bas Donkers, Fred M. Feinberg, Anthony A.J. Marley, Linda C. Salisbury. 2017. Individuals' Decisions in the Presence of Multiple Goals. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
494. Nicolas Vallois, Dorian Jullien. 2017. Estimating Rationality in Economics: A History of Statistical Methods in Experimental Economics. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
495. Andy Brownback. 2017. A Classroom Experiment on Effort Allocation Under Relative Grading. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
496. Renee B. Adams. 2017. Boards, and the Directors Who Sit on Them. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
497. Julie Beugnot, Bernard Fortin, Guy Lacroix, Marie Claire Villeval. 2017. Gender and Peer Effects on Performance in Social Networks. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
498. Alia Gizatulina, Olga Gorelkina. 2017. Selling 'Money' on Ebay: A Field Study of Surplus Division. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
499. Kristina Maria Bott, Alexander W. Cappelen, Erik Sorensen, Bertil Tungodden. 2017. You've Got Mail: A Randomised Field Experiment on Tax Evasion. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
500. Eberhard Feess, Roe Sarel. 2017. Judicial Effort and the Appeal System: Theory and Experiment. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
501. Gema Zamarro. 2017. Alternative Measures of Non-Cognitive Skills and Their Effect on Retirement Preparation and Financial Capability. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
502. Ian Burt, Linda Thorne, Jay K. Walker. 2017. Mental Accounting and Taxpayer Compliance: Insights into the Referent Point that Separates Honest from Dishonest Behavior. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
503. Hanna Freudenreich, Marcela Ibanez, Stephan Dietrich, Oliver Musshoff. 2017. Formal Insurance, Risk Sharing, and the Dynamics of Other-Regarding Preferences. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
504. Nariaki NISHINO, Tomonori HONDA, Kenju AKAI, Keiko AOKI, Atsushi INABA. 2017. Changing Investment Behavior under Disclosure of CO2 Emissions: An Approach with Economic Experiments. *Journal of Life Cycle Assessment, Japan* **13**:1, 60-72. [[Crossref](#)]
505. Felix Mauersberger. 2017. Thompson Sampling: Endogenously Random Behavior in Games and Markets. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
506. Dmitri mname Vinogradov, Yousef mname Makhlof. 2017. Signaling Probabilities in Ambiguity: On the Impact of Vague News. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
507. Laure Saulais, Laure Saulais, Laurent Muller, Valérie Lesgards. 2017. Murmurer à l'oreille... de l'industriel ? L'économie expérimentale comme outil d'aide à la décision en entreprise. *Revue économique* **68**:5, 925. [[Crossref](#)]
508. Andrei Marius Vlăducu. Three Social Choice Rules 54-71. [[Crossref](#)]

509. Akinori Tomohara, Akihiko Ohno, Caroline Elliott. 2016. Domains of reciprocity beyond monetary compensation: How do non-pecuniary factors affect effort and shirking?. *Cogent Economics & Finance* 4:1, 1178884. [[Crossref](#)]
510. Arch G. Woodside. Moving away from Bad Practices in Research toward Constructing Useful Theory and Doing Useful Research 1-56. [[Crossref](#)]
511. Arch G. Woodside, Alexandre Schpektor, Richard Xia. Performing Triple Sensemaking in Field Experiments 149-180. [[Crossref](#)]
512. Antonio M. Espín, Dolores Moreno-Herrero, José Sánchez-Campillo, José A. Rodríguez Martín. 2016. Do Envy and Compassion Pave the Way to Unhappiness? Social Preferences and Life Satisfaction in a Spanish City. *Journal of Happiness Studies* 13. . [[Crossref](#)]
513. Stephen Mark Rosenbaum, Stephan Billinger, Daniel Kwabena Twerefou, Wakeel Atanda Isola. 2016. Income inequality and cooperative propensities in developing economies. *International Journal of Social Economics* 43:12, 1460-1480. [[Crossref](#)]
514. David Bjerk. 2016. In front of and behind the veil of ignorance: an analysis of motivations for redistribution. *Social Choice and Welfare* 47:4, 791-824. [[Crossref](#)]
515. Lukas Meub, Till Proeger. 2016. Can anchoring explain biased forecasts? Experimental evidence. *Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Finance* 12, 1-13. [[Crossref](#)]
516. Jingnan Chen, Daniel Houser, Natalia Montinari, Marco Piovesan. 2016. Beware of popular kids bearing gifts: A framed field experiment. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* 132, 104-120. [[Crossref](#)]
517. Artur Nilsson, Arvid Erlandsson, Daniel Västfjäll. 2016. The congruency between moral foundations and intentions to donate, self-reported donations, and actual donations to charity. *Journal of Research in Personality* 65, 22-29. [[Crossref](#)]
518. Haang Jeung, Christiane Schwieren, Sabine C. Herpertz. 2016. Rationality and self-interest as economic-exchange strategy in borderline personality disorder: Game theory, social preferences, and interpersonal behavior. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews* 71, 849-864. [[Crossref](#)]
519. Liesbeth Colen, Sergio Gomez y Paloma, Uwe Latacz-Lohmann, Marianne Lefebvre, Raphaële Préget, Sophie Thoyer. 2016. Economic Experiments as a Tool for Agricultural Policy Evaluation: Insights from the European CAP. *Canadian Journal of Agricultural Economics/Revue canadienne d'agroeconomie* 64:4, 667-694. [[Crossref](#)]
520. Maria Porter, Abi Adams. 2016. For Love or Reward? Characterising Preferences for Giving to Parents in an Experimental Setting. *The Economic Journal* 126:598, 2424-2445. [[Crossref](#)]
521. Julia Brüggemann, Kilian Bizer. 2016. Laboratory experiments in innovation research: a methodological overview and a review of the current literature. *Journal of Innovation and Entrepreneurship* 5:1. . [[Crossref](#)]
522. Bruno Wichmann, Minjie Chen, Wiktor Adamowicz. 2016. Social Networks and Choice Set Formation in Discrete Choice Models. *Econometrics* 4:4, 42. [[Crossref](#)]
523. Joy Tong, Fenggang Yang. 2016. Trust at Work: A Study on Faith and Trust of Protestant Entrepreneurs in China. *Religions* 7:12, 136. [[Crossref](#)]
524. Angelino C. G. Viceisza. 2016. CREATING A LAB IN THE FIELD: ECONOMICS EXPERIMENTS FOR POLICYMAKING. *Journal of Economic Surveys* 30:5, 835-854. [[Crossref](#)]
525. Magali A. Delmas, J. Alberto Aragon-Correa. 2016. Field Experiments in Corporate Sustainability Research. *Organization & Environment* 29:4, 391-400. [[Crossref](#)]
526. Milad Haghani, Majid Sarvi, Zahra Shahhoseini, Maik Boltes. 2016. How Simple Hypothetical-Choice Experiments Can Be Utilized to Learn Humans' Navigational Escape Decisions in Emergencies. *PLOS ONE* 11:11, e0166908. [[Crossref](#)]

527. Andrea K. Moser. 2016. Buying organic – decision-making heuristics and empirical evidence from Germany. *Journal of Consumer Marketing* 33:7, 552-561. [[Crossref](#)]
528. Enrico Colombatto, Valerio Tavormina. 2016. On the role of government in promoting altruism. *International Journal of Social Economics* 43:11, 1156-1170. [[Crossref](#)]
529. Luisa Menapace, Roberta Raffaelli. 2016. Preferences for locally grown products: evidence from a natural field experiment. *European Review of Agricultural Economics* 40. . [[Crossref](#)]
530. Thorsten Chmura, Sebastian J. Goerg, Pia Weiss. 2016. Natural groups and economic characteristics as driving forces of wage discrimination. *European Economic Review* 90, 178-200. [[Crossref](#)]
531. Carol M. Megehee. 2016. Flipping Lewin on his head: There is nothing as usefully theoretical as a good practice. *Journal of Business Research* 69:11, 5124-5127. [[Crossref](#)]
532. K. Sudhir, Subroto Roy, Mathew Cherian. 2016. Do Sympathy Biases Induce Charitable Giving? The Effects of Advertising Content. *Marketing Science* 35:6, 849-869. [[Crossref](#)]
533. Andrew Bell, Wei Zhang. 2016. Payments discourage coordination in ecosystem services provision: evidence from behavioral experiments in Southeast Asia. *Environmental Research Letters* 11:11, 114024. [[Crossref](#)]
534. M. Buchholz, G. Holst, O. Musshoff. 2016. Irrigation water policy analysis using a business simulation game. *Water Resources Research* 52:10, 7980-7998. [[Crossref](#)]
535. Stefania Bortolotti, Giovanna Devetag, Andreas Ortmann. 2016. Group incentives or individual incentives? A real-effort weak-link experiment. *Journal of Economic Psychology* 56, 60-73. [[Crossref](#)]
536. Eamonn Ferguson, Niall Flynn. 2016. Moral relativism as a disconnect between behavioural and experienced warm glow. *Journal of Economic Psychology* 56, 163-175. [[Crossref](#)]
537. Rob Gleasure, Joseph Feller. 2016. Does Heart or Head Rule Donor Behaviors in Charitable Crowdfunding Markets?. *International Journal of Electronic Commerce* 20:4, 499-524. [[Crossref](#)]
538. Petr Houdek. 2016. What Comes to a Manager's Mind. *Journal of Management Inquiry* 25:4, 359-366. [[Crossref](#)]
539. Pablo Hernández-Lagos, Paul Povel, Giorgio Sertsios. 2016. An Experimental Analysis of Risk-Shifting Behavior. *Review of Corporate Finance Studies* 21, cfw006. [[Crossref](#)]
540. David Trafimow, James M. Leonhardt, Mihai Niculescu, Collin Payne. 2016. A method for evaluating and selecting field experiment locations. *Marketing Letters* 27:3, 437-447. [[Crossref](#)]
541. J. Keith Murnighan, Long Wang. 2016. The social world as an experimental game. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes* 136, 80-94. [[Crossref](#)]
542. Marc Keuschnigg, Felix Bader, Johannes Bracher. 2016. Using crowdsourced online experiments to study context-dependency of behavior. *Social Science Research* 59, 68-82. [[Crossref](#)]
543. Levent Neyse, Steven Bosworth, Patrick Ring, Ulrich Schmidt. 2016. Overconfidence, Incentives and Digit Ratio. *Scientific Reports* 6:1. . [[Crossref](#)]
544. Arnaud Tognetti, Dimitri Dubois, Charlotte Faurie, Marc Willinger. 2016. Men increase contributions to a public good when under sexual competition. *Scientific Reports* 6:1. . [[Crossref](#)]
545. Mitchell Hoffman. 2016. How is Information Valued? Evidence from Framed Field Experiments. *The Economic Journal* 126:595, 1884-1911. [[Crossref](#)]
546. Luz Elba Torres-Guevara, Achim Schlüter. 2016. External validity of artefactual field experiments: A study on cooperation, impatience and sustainability in an artisanal fishery in Colombia. *Ecological Economics* 128, 187-201. [[Crossref](#)]
547. Jan Potters, Jan Stoop. 2016. Do cheaters in the lab also cheat in the field?. *European Economic Review* 87, 26-33. [[Crossref](#)]

548. Erin L. Krupka, Rachel T.A. Croson. 2016. The differential impact of social norms cues on charitable contributions. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* **128**, 149-158. [[Crossref](#)]
549. Stéphan Marette, Sylvie Issanchou, Sandrine Monnery-Patris, Emilie Ginon, Angela Sutan. 2016. Are children more paternalistic than their mothers when choosing snacks?. *Journal of Economic Psychology* **55**, 61-76. [[Crossref](#)]
550. Abdolkarim Sadrieh, Marina Schröder. 2016. Materialistic, pro-social, anti-social, or mixed – A within-subject examination of self- and other-regarding preferences. *Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Economics* **63**, 114-124. [[Crossref](#)]
551. Daniel Hermann, Oliver Mußhoff, Katrin Agethen. 2016. Investment behavior and status quo bias of conventional and organic hog farmers: An experimental approach. *Renewable Agriculture and Food Systems* **31**:4, 318-329. [[Crossref](#)]
552. Ana C. Fernandes, Renata C. Oliveira, Rossana P.C. Proença, Cintia C. Curioni, Vanessa M. Rodrigues, Giovanna M.R. Fiates. 2016. Influence of menu labeling on food choices in real-life settings: a systematic review. *Nutrition Reviews* **74**:8, 534-548. [[Crossref](#)]
553. Jan Feld, Nicolás Salamanca, Daniel S. Hamermesh. 2016. Endophilia or Exophobia: Beyond Discrimination. *The Economic Journal* **126**:594, 1503-1527. [[Crossref](#)]
554. Joël Berger, Debra Hevenstone. 2016. Norm enforcement in the city revisited: An international field experiment of altruistic punishment, norm maintenance, and broken windows. *Rationality and Society* **28**:3, 299-319. [[Crossref](#)]
555. Vanessa C. Burbano. 2016. Social Responsibility Messages and Worker Wage Requirements: Field Experimental Evidence from Online Labor Marketplaces. *Organization Science* **27**:4, 1010-1028. [[Crossref](#)]
556. Arjen de Wit, René Bekkers. 2016. Government Support and Charitable Donations: A Meta-Analysis of the Crowding-out Hypothesis. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory* **37**, muw044. [[Crossref](#)]
557. Jussi Palomäki, Jeff Yan, David Modic, Michael Laakasuo. 2016. "To Bluff like a Man or Fold like a Girl?" – Gender Biased Deceptive Behavior in Online Poker. *PLOS ONE* **11**:7, e0157838. [[Crossref](#)]
558. David Peón, Manel Antelo, Anxo Calvo. 2016. Overconfidence and risk seeking in credit markets: an experimental game. *Review of Managerial Science* **10**:3, 511-552. [[Crossref](#)]
559. Jessica L. Barker, Pat Barclay. 2016. Local competition increases people's willingness to harm others. *Evolution and Human Behavior* **37**:4, 315-322. [[Crossref](#)]
560. Anabel Belaus, Cecilia Reyna, Esteban Freidin. 2016. Medición y manipulación de normas sociales en juegos experimentales de corrupción. *Cuadernos de Economía* **35**:68, 353-377. [[Crossref](#)]
561. John B. Dinsmore, Riley G. Dugan, Scott A. Wright. 2016. Monetary vs. nonmonetary prices: differences in product evaluations due to pricing strategies within mobile applications. *Journal of Strategic Marketing* **24**:3-4, 227-240. [[Crossref](#)]
562. Vojtěch Bartoš, Michal Bauer, Julie Chytilová, Filip Matějka. 2016. Attention Discrimination: Theory and Field Experiments with Monitoring Information Acquisition. *American Economic Review* **106**:6, 1437-1475. [[Abstract](#)] [[View PDF article](#)] [[PDF with links](#)]
563. Carsten Jensen, Elias Naumann. 2016. Increasing pressures and support for public healthcare in Europe. *Health Policy* **120**:6, 698-705. [[Crossref](#)]
564. Renée B. Adams. 2016. Women on boards: The superheroes of tomorrow?. *The Leadership Quarterly* **27**:3, 371-386. [[Crossref](#)]
565. Le Zhang, Andreas Ortmann. 2016. Pro-social or anti-social, or both? A within- and between-subjects study of social preferences. *Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Economics* **62**, 23-32. [[Crossref](#)]

566. BRADLEY A. HANSEN, MARY ESCHELBACH HANSEN. 2016. The historian's craft and economics. *Journal of Institutional Economics* 12:2, 349-370. [[Crossref](#)]
567. Adi Leibovitch. 2016. Relative Judgments. *The Journal of Legal Studies* 45:2, 281-330. [[Crossref](#)]
568. Li Yan, Xianchen Zhu. Impact of "Horizontal—Vertical" social norms on performance behavior: Based on a Simplified Trust Experiment 1-4. [[Crossref](#)]
569. Zhang Xiao, Zhu Xianchen. An experimental investigation on the influence of internalized morality on repayment behavior 1-4. [[Crossref](#)]
570. Erik O. Kimbrough, Alexander Vostroknutov. 2016. NORMS MAKE PREFERENCES SOCIAL. *Journal of the European Economic Association* 14:3, 608-638. [[Crossref](#)]
571. Tobias von Rechenberg, Dominik Gutt, Dennis Kundisch. 2016. Goals as Reference Points: Empirical Evidence from a Virtual Reward System. *Decision Analysis* 13:2, 153-171. [[Crossref](#)]
572. Trond Døskeland, Lars Jacob Tynes Pedersen. 2016. Investing with Brain or Heart? A Field Experiment on Responsible Investment. *Management Science* 62:6, 1632-1644. [[Crossref](#)]
573. Stephan Marette, Jayson L. Lusk, F. Bailey Norwood. 2016. Choosing for others. *Applied Economics* 48:22, 2093-2111. [[Crossref](#)]
574. Victoria K. Wells, Diana Gregory Smith, Babak Taheri, Danae Manika, Clair McCowlen. 2016. An exploration of CSR development in heritage tourism. *Annals of Tourism Research* 58, 1-17. [[Crossref](#)]
575. Stacie Bosley. 2016. Student-crafted experiments "from the ground up". *International Review of Economics Education* 22, 1-7. [[Crossref](#)]
576. Michael Sanders, Sarah Smith. 2016. Can simple prompts increase bequest giving? Field evidence from a legal call centre. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* 125, 179-191. [[Crossref](#)]
577. ERIC FLOYD, JOHN A. LIST. 2016. Using Field Experiments in Accounting and Finance. *Journal of Accounting Research* 54:2, 437-475. [[Crossref](#)]
578. Reto Foellmi, Stefan Legge, Lukas Schmid. 2016. Do Professionals Get It Right? Limited Attention and Risk-taking Behaviour. *The Economic Journal* 126:592, 724-755. [[Crossref](#)]
579. Guido Baltussen, Martijn J. van den Assem, Dennie van Dolder. 2016. Risky Choice in the Limelight. *Review of Economics and Statistics* 98:2, 318-332. [[Crossref](#)]
580. Thomas Bossuoy, Clara Delavallade. 2016. Experiments, policy, and theory in development economics: a response to Glenn Harrison's 'field experiments and methodological intolerance'. *Journal of Economic Methodology* 23:2, 147-156. [[Crossref](#)]
581. Ashutosh Tiwari, Timothy J. Richards. 2016. Social Networks and Restaurant Ratings. *Agribusiness* 32:2, 153-174. [[Crossref](#)]
582. Douglas Davis. 2016. Experimental Methods for the General Economist: Five Lessons from the Lab. *Southern Economic Journal* 82:4, 1046-1058. [[Crossref](#)]
583. Felix Schmutz. 2016. Measuring the Invisible: An Overview of and Outlook for Tax Non-Compliance Estimates and Measurement Methods for Switzerland. *Swiss Journal of Economics and Statistics* 152:2, 125-177. [[Crossref](#)]
584. Carl Johan Lagerkvist, Julius Okello. 2016. Using the integrative model of behavioral prediction and censored quantile regression to explain consumers' revealed preferences for food safety: Evidence from a field experiment in Kenya. *Food Quality and Preference* 49, 75-86. [[Crossref](#)]
585. Sandro Casal, Luigi Mittone. 2016. Social esteem versus social stigma: The role of anonymity in an income reporting game. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* 124, 55-66. [[Crossref](#)]
586. Jorge E. Araña, Carmelo J. León. 2016. Are tourists animal spirits? Evidence from a field experiment exploring the use of non-market based interventions advocating sustainable tourism. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism* 24:3, 430-445. [[Crossref](#)]

587. Ritwik Banerjee. 2016. On the interpretation of bribery in a laboratory corruption game: moral frames and social norms. *Experimental Economics* **19**:1, 240-267. [[Crossref](#)]
588. Andrew Bell, Wei Zhang, Keosothea Nou. 2016. Pesticide use and cooperative management of natural enemy habitat in a framed field experiment. *Agricultural Systems* **143**, 1-13. [[Crossref](#)]
589. Daniel L. Chen, Martin Schonger, Chris Wickens. 2016. oTree—An open-source platform for laboratory, online, and field experiments. *Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Finance* **9**, 88-97. [[Crossref](#)]
590. Shlomi Boshi, Moshik Lavie, Avi Weiss. 2016. The demand for free goods: An experimental investigation. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* **123**, 108-121. [[Crossref](#)]
591. Gilles Grolleau, Angela Sutan, Radu Vranceanu. 2016. Do people contribute more to intra-temporal or inter-temporal public goods?. *Research in Economics* **70**:1, 186-195. [[Crossref](#)]
592. Christian A. Vossler. 2016. Chamberlin Meets Ciriacy-Wantrup: Using Insights from Experimental Economics to Inform Stated Preference Research. *Canadian Journal of Agricultural Economics/Revue canadienne d'agroeconomie* **64**:1, 33-48. [[Crossref](#)]
593. Kimmo Eriksson, Per A. Andersson, Pontus Strimling. 2016. Moderators of the disapproval of peer punishment. *Group Processes & Intergroup Relations* **19**:2, 152-168. [[Crossref](#)]
594. Adam Sacarny, David Yokum, Amy Finkelstein, Shantanu Agrawal. 2016. Medicare Letters To Curb Overprescribing Of Controlled Substances Had No Detectable Effect On Providers. *Health Affairs* **35**:3, 471-479. [[Crossref](#)]
595. Gregor Schwerhoff. 2016. The economics of leadership in climate change mitigation. *Climate Policy* **16**:2, 196-214. [[Crossref](#)]
596. Jürgen Strohhecker. 2016. Factors influencing strategy implementation decisions: an evaluation of a balanced scorecard cockpit, intelligence, and knowledge. *Journal of Management Control* **27**:1, 89-119. [[Crossref](#)]
597. Daniel Houser, John A. List, Marco Piovesan, Anya Samek, Joachim Winter. 2016. Dishonesty: From parents to children. *European Economic Review* **82**, 242-254. [[Crossref](#)]
598. Arch G. Woodside. 2016. The good practices manifesto: Overcoming bad practices pervasive in current research in business. *Journal of Business Research* **69**:2, 365-381. [[Crossref](#)]
599. Stefan Moser, Oliver Mußhoff. 2016. Ex-ante Evaluation of Policy Measures: Effects of Reward and Punishment for Fertiliser Reduction in Palm Oil Production. *Journal of Agricultural Economics* **67**:1, 84-104. [[Crossref](#)]
600. José H. Lozano. 2016. Personality and Behavior in Social Dilemmas: Testing the Situational Strength Hypothesis and the Role of Hypothetical Versus Real Incentives. *Journal of Personality* **84**:1, 71-78. [[Crossref](#)]
601. Tamara Niella, Nicolás Stier-Moses, Mariano Sigman. 2016. Nudging Cooperation in a Crowd Experiment. *PLOS ONE* **11**:1, e0147125. [[Crossref](#)]
602. Sarah Necker. 2016. Why do scientists cheat? Insights from behavioral economics. *Review of Social Economy* **74**:1, 98-108. [[Crossref](#)]
603. Holger L. Kern, Elizabeth A. Stuart, Jennifer Hill, Donald P. Green. 2016. Assessing Methods for Generalizing Experimental Impact Estimates to Target Populations. *Journal of Research on Educational Effectiveness* **9**:1, 103-127. [[Crossref](#)]
604. James Konow, Lars Schwettmann. The Economics of Justice 83-106. [[Crossref](#)]
605. Kilian Bizer, Martin Führ. Sustainable Behavioral Governance: Responsive Regulation for Innovation 277-300. [[Crossref](#)]
606. Moshe Hoffman, Erez Yoeli, Carlos David Navarrete. Game Theory and Morality 289-316. [[Crossref](#)]

607. Hayato Nakanishi. How the Change of Risk Announcement on Catastrophic Disaster Affects Property Prices? 577-595. [[Crossref](#)]
608. Damien Bol, Simon Labbé St-Vincent, Jean-Michel Lavoie. Recruiting for Laboratory Voting Experiments: Exploring the (Potential) Sampling Bias 271-286. [[Crossref](#)]
609. Isamu Matsukawa. Effects of In-home Displays on Residential Electricity Consumption 45-79. [[Crossref](#)]
610. Petr Obergruber, Gabriela Hrubcova. 2016. Experiments in Economics. *Procedia Economics and Finance* **39**, 482-492. [[Crossref](#)]
611. Blaine G. Robbins. 2016. From the general to the specific: How social trust motivates relational trust. *Social Science Research* **55**, 16-30. [[Crossref](#)]
612. Pamela Jakiela, Owen Ozier. 2016. Does Africa Need a Rotten Kin Theorem? Experimental Evidence from Village Economies. *The Review of Economic Studies* **83**:1, 231-268. [[Crossref](#)]
613. Marc Esteve, Diemo Urbig, Arjen van Witteloostuijn, George Boyne. 2016. Prosocial Behavior and Public Service Motivation. *Public Administration Review* **76**:1, 177-187. [[Crossref](#)]
614. Maria Jimenez-Buedo, Francesco Guala. 2016. Artificiality, Reactivity, and Demand Effects in Experimental Economics. *Philosophy of the Social Sciences* **46**:1, 3-23. [[Crossref](#)]
615. Nicola Jentzsch. 2016. State-of-the-Art of the Economics of Cyber-Security and Privacy. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
616. Chen Yefeng, Shuguang Jiang, Marie Claire Villeval. 2016. The Tragedy of Corruption. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
617. Zhixin Dai, Fabio Galeotti, Marie Claire Villeval. 2016. Cheating in the Lab Predicts Fraud in the Field. An Experiment in Public Transportations. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
618. Eric Floyd, John A. List. 2016. Using Field Experiments in Accounting and Finance. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
619. Malte M. Lierl. 2016. What Motivates Village Leaders to Refrain from Misappropriating Public Resources?. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
620. Christian Schubert. 2016. Green Nudges: Do They Work? Are They Ethical?. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
621. Blaine G. Robbins. 2016. Status, Identity, and Ability in the Formation of Trust: Four Vignette Experiments. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
622. Bryan C. McCannon, Zachary Rodriguez. 2016. The Impact of Microfinance on Pro-Social Behaviors: Experimental Evidence of Public Goods Contributions in Uganda. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
623. Jasjit Singh, Nina Teng, Serguei Netessine. 2016. Customer Response to Corporate Philanthropy: Field Experiments in an Online Marketplace. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
624. Michel Andrr Marrchal, Christian Thhni. 2016. Hidden Persuaders: Do Small Gifts Lubricate Business Negotiations?. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
625. Christian Biener, Martin Eling. 2016. Can Group Incentives Alleviate Moral Hazard? The Role of Pro-Social Preferences. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
626. Daniel L. Chen, Martin Schonger, Chris Wickens. 2016. oTree - An Open-Source Platform for Laboratory, Online, and Field Experiments. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
627. Reuben Kline, Nicholas Seltzer, Evgeniya Lukinova, Autumn Bynum. 2016. Differentiated Responsibilities and Prosocial Behavior in Climate Change Mitigation: Behavioral Evidence from the United States and China. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
628. Hina Uqaili. 2016. Money as Special Asset: Reanalyzing Behavioral Theories on Money with Implications for Islamic Finance. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]

629. Carsten Herrmann-Pillath. 2016. Creating Social Ontology: On the Performative Nature of Economic Experiments. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
630. Malte M. Lierl. 2016. Cooperation under the Risk of Capture. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
631. Stephan MMller. 2016. Decisions Under Uncertainty in Social Contexts. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
632. Savita Kulkarni, Anirudh Tagat, Hansika Kapoor. 2016. An Experimental Investigation of Intra-Household Resource Allocation in Rural India. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
633. Michael J. Kurschilgen. 2016. Divided in Morals, United in Selfishness: An Experiment. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
634. Ruomeng Cui, Achal Bassamboo. 2016. Learning from Inventory Availability Information: Field Evidence from Amazon. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
635. Frannois Desmoulins-Lebeault, Luc Meunier, Sima Ohadi. 2016. Market Estimation of a Prospect Theoretic Value Function. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
636. Huojun Sun. 2016. Law and Trust. *International Journal of Applied Behavioral Economics* 5:1, 1-23. [[Crossref](#)]
637. Jan Schmitz. 2016. Is Charitable Giving a Zero Sum Game? - An Experimental Investigation of Why People Give to Charity. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
638. Federica Amici. 2015. The evolution and development of human cooperation. *Interaction Studies. Social Behaviour and Communication in Biological and Artificial Systems* 16:3, 383-418. [[Crossref](#)]
639. Galina Besstremyannaya. 2015. Heterogeneous effect of coinsurance rate on healthcare expenditure: generalized finite mixtures and matching estimators. *Applied Economics* 47:58, 6331-6361. [[Crossref](#)]
640. Luís Santos-Pinto, Adrian Bruhin, José Mata, Thomas Åstebro. 2015. Detecting heterogeneous risk attitudes with mixed gambles. *Theory and Decision* 79:4, 573-600. [[Crossref](#)]
641. Nathan Fiala. 2015. Skills in the marketplace: Market efficiency, social orientation, and ability in a field-based experiment. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* 120, 174-188. [[Crossref](#)]
642. Lauri Sääksvuori, Abhijit Ramalingam. 2015. Bargaining under surveillance: Evidence from a three-person ultimatum game. *Journal of Economic Psychology* 51, 66-78. [[Crossref](#)]
643. Christopher S. Cotton, Cheng Li, Frank McIntyre, Joseph P. Price. 2015. Which explanations for gender differences in competition are consistent with a simple theoretical model?. *Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Economics* 59, 56-67. [[Crossref](#)]
644. Jia-Jia Wu, Ting Ji, Qiao-Qiao He, Juan Du, Ruth Mace. 2015. Cooperation is related to dispersal patterns in Sino-Tibetan populations. *Nature Communications* 6:1. . [[Crossref](#)]
645. Nikolai W. F. Bode, Jordan Miller, Rick O'Gorman, Edward A. Codling. 2015. Increased costs reduce reciprocal helping behaviour of humans in a virtual evacuation experiment. *Scientific Reports* 5:1. . [[Crossref](#)]
646. Pieter van den Berg, Lucas Molleman, Jaakko Junikka, Mikael Puurtinen, Franz J. Weissing. 2015. Human cooperation in groups: variation begets variation. *Scientific Reports* 5:1. . [[Crossref](#)]
647. Dan V Caprar, Timothy M Devinney, Bradley L Kirkman, Paula Caligiuri. 2015. Conceptualizing and measuring culture in international business and management: From challenges to potential solutions. *Journal of International Business Studies* 46:9, 1011-1027. [[Crossref](#)]
648. Supreet Kaur, Michael Kremer, Sendhil Mullainathan. 2015. Self-Control at Work. *Journal of Political Economy* 123:6, 1227-1277. [[Crossref](#)]
649. ###, Seonghoon Hong. 2015. The Impact of Socioeconomic Status and Political Inclination on the Participation in Public Interest Activities. *Locality and Globality: Korean Journal of Social Sciences* 39:3, 25-45. [[Crossref](#)]

650. Dennis Barber. 2015. An experimental analysis of risk and entrepreneurial attitudes of university students in the USA and Brazil. *Journal of International Entrepreneurship* 13:4, 370-389. [[Crossref](#)]
651. Antonio S. Silva, Ruth Mace. 2015. Inter-Group Conflict and Cooperation: Field Experiments Before, During and After Sectarian Riots in Northern Ireland. *Frontiers in Psychology* 6. . [[Crossref](#)]
652. Derrick M. Anderson, Barry C. Edwards. 2015. Unfulfilled Promise: Laboratory experiments in public management research. *Public Management Review* 17:10, 1518-1542. [[Crossref](#)]
653. Peter A. M. Ruijten, Cees J. H. Midden, Jaap Ham. 2015. Lonely and Susceptible: The Influence of Social Exclusion and Gender on Persuasion by an Artificial Agent. *International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction* 31:11, 832-842. [[Crossref](#)]
654. Carla Barlagne, Pascale Bazoche, Alban Thomas, Harry Ozier-Lafontaine, François Causeret, Jean-Marc Blazy. 2015. Promoting local foods in small island states: The role of information policies. *Food Policy* 57, 62-72. [[Crossref](#)]
655. Timothy J. Emery, John Tisdell, Bridget S. Green, Klaas Hartmann, Caleb Gardner, Rafael León. 2015. Experimental analysis of the use of fishery closures and cooperatives to reduce economic rent dissipation caused by assignment problems. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* 72:9, 2650-2662. [[Crossref](#)]
656. D. Herbst, A. Mas. 2015. Peer effects on worker output in the laboratory generalize to the field. *Science* 350:6260, 545-549. [[Crossref](#)]
657. G. Charness, E. Fehr. 2015. From the lab to the real world. *Science* 350:6260, 512-513. [[Crossref](#)]
658. James J. Murphy, Nomin Batmunkh, Benjamin Nilsson, Samantha Ray. The Impact of Social Information on the Voluntary Provision of Public Goods: A Replication Study 41-50. [[Crossref](#)]
659. Charles N. Noussair, Daan van Soest, Jan Stoop. 2015. Punishment, reward, and cooperation in a framed field experiment. *Social Choice and Welfare* 45:3, 537-559. [[Crossref](#)]
660. Lars Ivar Oppedal Berge, Kjetil Bjorvatn, Armando Jose Garcia Pires, Bertil Tungodden. 2015. Competitive in the lab, successful in the field?. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* 118, 303-317. [[Crossref](#)]
661. Fuhai Hong, Tanjim Hossain, John A. List. 2015. Framing manipulations in contests: A natural field experiment. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* 118, 372-382. [[Crossref](#)]
662. Andreas Leibbrandt, Pushkar Maitra, Ananta Neelim. 2015. On the redistribution of wealth in a developing country: Experimental evidence on stake and framing effects. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* 118, 360-371. [[Crossref](#)]
663. Pamela Jakiela. 2015. How fair shares compare: Experimental evidence from two cultures. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* 118, 40-54. [[Crossref](#)]
664. Øyvind Nystad Handberg, Arild Angelsen. 2015. Experimental tests of tropical forest conservation measures. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* 118, 346-359. [[Crossref](#)]
665. Jacobus Cilliers, Oeindrila Dube, Bilal Siddiqi. 2015. The white-man effect: How foreigner presence affects behavior in experiments. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* 118, 397-414. [[Crossref](#)]
666. Fatima Lambarraa, Gerhard Riener. 2015. On the norms of charitable giving in Islam: Two field experiments in Morocco. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* 118, 69-84. [[Crossref](#)]
667. Alexander W. Cappelen, Knut Nygaard, Erik Ø. Sørensen, Bertil Tungodden. 2015. Social Preferences in the Lab: A Comparison of Students and a Representative Population. *The Scandinavian Journal of Economics* 117:4, 1306-1326. [[Crossref](#)]
668. Arnaud Dupray, Stéphanie Moullet. 2015. Le salaire moindre des femmes : une question d'individu ou de profession ?. *Travail et emploi* :144, 81-107. [[Crossref](#)]

669. Philip Cash, Ben Hicks, Steve Culley, Tim Adlam. 2015. A foundational observation method for studying design situations. *Journal of Engineering Design* **26**:7-9, 187-219. [[Crossref](#)]
670. Thomas Brudermann, Gregory Bartel, Thomas Fenzl, Sebastian Seebauer. 2015. Eyes on social norms: A field study on an honor system for newspaper sale. *Theory and Decision* **79**:2, 285-306. [[Crossref](#)]
671. Oliver Wojahn, Susanne Geister, Julia Richter. 2015. The impact of analyst report complexity on trading decisions in an experimental setting. *Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Finance* **7**, 29-32. [[Crossref](#)]
672. Caspar G. Chorus. 2015. Models of moral decision making: Literature review and research agenda for discrete choice analysis. *Journal of Choice Modelling* **16**, 69-85. [[Crossref](#)]
673. Delia Baldassarri. 2015. Cooperative Networks: Altruism, Group Solidarity, Reciprocity, and Sanctioning in Ugandan Producer Organizations. *American Journal of Sociology* **121**:2, 355-395. [[Crossref](#)]
674. Sabrina Teyssier, Fabrice Etilé, Pierre Combris. 2015. Social- and self-image concerns in fair-trade consumption. *European Review of Agricultural Economics* **42**:4, 579-606. [[Crossref](#)]
675. Bradley J. Rickard, Jill J. McCluskey, Richard W. Patterson. 2015. Reputation tapping. *European Review of Agricultural Economics* **42**:4, 675-701. [[Crossref](#)]
676. Mogens Jin Pedersen. 2015. Activating the Forces of Public Service Motivation: Evidence from a Low-Intensity Randomized Survey Experiment. *Public Administration Review* **75**:5, 734-746. [[Crossref](#)]
677. JAMIL PAOLO S. FRANCISCO. 2015. WILLINGNESS TO PAY FOR AIR QUALITY IMPROVEMENTS FROM USING ELECTRIC JEEPNEYS IN METRO MANILA. *The Singapore Economic Review* **60**:04, 1550073. [[Crossref](#)]
678. Alexander Genevsky, Brian Knutson. 2015. Neural Affective Mechanisms Predict Market-Level Microlending. *Psychological Science* **26**:9, 1411-1422. [[Crossref](#)]
679. Nora Bunford, Steven W. Evans, Frances Wymbs. 2015. ADHD and Emotion Dysregulation Among Children and Adolescents. *Clinical Child and Family Psychology Review* **18**:3, 185-217. [[Crossref](#)]
680. . Select Bibliography 181-192. [[Crossref](#)]
681. Raymond Fisman, Pamela Jakiela, Shachar Kariv. 2015. How did distributional preferences change during the Great Recession?. *Journal of Public Economics* **128**, 84-95. [[Crossref](#)]
682. Achim Schlüter, Björn Vollan. 2015. Flowers and an honour box: Evidence on framing effects. *Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Economics* **57**, 186-199. [[Crossref](#)]
683. Maria Abascal. 2015. Us and Them. *American Sociological Review* **80**:4, 789-813. [[Crossref](#)]
684. Kun Zhao, Luke D. Smillie. 2015. The Role of Interpersonal Traits in Social Decision Making. *Personality and Social Psychology Review* **19**:3, 277-302. [[Crossref](#)]
685. Alain Cohn, Ernst Fehr, Lorenz Goette. 2015. Fair Wages and Effort Provision: Combining Evidence from a Choice Experiment and a Field Experiment. *Management Science* **61**:8, 1777-1794. [[Crossref](#)]
686. Damon Tomlin. 2015. Rational Constraints and the Evolution of Fairness in the Ultimatum Game. *PLOS ONE* **10**:7, e0134636. [[Crossref](#)]
687. María Jiménez-Buedo. 2015. The Last Dictator Game? Dominance, Reactivity, and the Methodological Artefact in Experimental Economics. *International Studies in the Philosophy of Science* **29**:3, 295-310. [[Crossref](#)]
688. Jonathan E. Alevy, Craig E. Landry, John A. List. 2015. FIELD EXPERIMENTS ON THE ANCHORING OF ECONOMIC VALUATIONS. *Economic Inquiry* **53**:3, 1522-1538. [[Crossref](#)]
689. Jennifer C. Smith. 2015. Pay Growth, Fairness, and Job Satisfaction: Implications for Nominal and Real Wage Rigidity. *The Scandinavian Journal of Economics* **117**:3, 852-877. [[Crossref](#)]

690. Sue-Ming Yang, Chih-Chao Pao. 2015. Do We “See” the Same Thing? An Experimental Look into the Black Box of Disorder Perception. *Journal of Research in Crime and Delinquency* 52:4, 534-566. [[Crossref](#)]
691. John M. Nunley, Adam Pugh, Nicholas Romero, R. Alan Seals. 2015. Racial Discrimination in the Labor Market for Recent College Graduates: Evidence from a Field Experiment. *The B.E. Journal of Economic Analysis & Policy* 15:3, 1093-1125. [[Crossref](#)]
692. Yingchao Zhang, Oliver Fabel, Christian Thomann. 2015. Pay inequity effects on back-office employees’ job performances: the case of a large insurance firm. *Central European Journal of Operations Research* 23:2, 421-439. [[Crossref](#)]
693. Guang-Xin Xie, Robert Madrigal, David M. Boush. 2015. Disentangling the Effects of Perceived Deception and Anticipated Harm on Consumer Responses to Deceptive Advertising. *Journal of Business Ethics* 129:2, 281-293. [[Crossref](#)]
694. Johannes Abeler, Daniele Nosenzo. 2015. Self-selection into laboratory experiments: pro-social motives versus monetary incentives. *Experimental Economics* 18:2, 195-214. [[Crossref](#)]
695. T. Dörschner, O. Musshoff. 2015. How do incentive-based environmental policies affect environment protection initiatives of farmers? An experimental economic analysis using the example of species richness. *Ecological Economics* 114, 90-103. [[Crossref](#)]
696. Paul Frijters, Tao Sherry Kong, Elaine M. Liu. 2015. Who is coming to the artefactual field experiment? Participation bias among Chinese rural migrants. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* 114, 62-74. [[Crossref](#)]
697. Victoria K. Wells, Danae Manika, Diana Gregory-Smith, Babak Taheri, Clair McCowlen. 2015. Heritage tourism, CSR and the role of employee environmental behaviour. *Tourism Management* 48, 399-413. [[Crossref](#)]
698. Helen Z. Margetts, Peter John, Scott A. Hale, Stéphane Reissfelder. 2015. Leadership without Leaders? Starters and Followers in Online Collective Action. *Political Studies* 63:2, 278-299. [[Crossref](#)]
699. Davide Dragone, Fabio Galeotti, Raimondello Orsini. 2015. Students, Temporary Workers and Co-Op Workers: An Experimental Investigation on Social Preferences. *Games* 6:2, 79-123. [[Crossref](#)]
700. Christoph Engel, Heike Hennig-Schmidt, Bernd Irlenbusch, Sebastian Kube. 2015. On Probation: An Experimental Analysis. *Journal of Empirical Legal Studies* 12:2, 252-288. [[Crossref](#)]
701. Frank C. Krysiak, Hannes Weigt. 2015. The Demand Side in Economic Models of Energy Markets: The Challenge of Representing Consumer Behavior. *Frontiers in Energy Research* 3. . [[Crossref](#)]
702. Koen Hogenelst, Robert A. Schoevers, Marije aan het Rot. 2015. Studying the neurobiology of human social interaction: Making the case for ecological validity. *Social Neuroscience* 10:3, 219-229. [[Crossref](#)]
703. Omar Al-Ubaydli, John A. List. 2015. Do Natural Field Experiments Afford Researchers More or Less Control than Laboratory Experiments?. *American Economic Review* 105:5, 462-466. [[Abstract](#)] [[View PDF article](#)] [[PDF with links](#)]
704. Dennie van Dolder, Martijn J. van den Assem, Colin F. Camerer, Richard H. Thaler. 2015. Standing United or Falling Divided? High Stakes Bargaining in a TV Game Show. *American Economic Review* 105:5, 402-407. [[Abstract](#)] [[View PDF article](#)] [[PDF with links](#)]
705. Raj Chetty. 2015. Behavioral Economics and Public Policy: A Pragmatic Perspective. *American Economic Review* 105:5, 1-33. [[Abstract](#)] [[View PDF article](#)] [[PDF with links](#)]
706. Nathan Crilly. 2015. Fixation and creativity in concept development: The attitudes and practices of expert designers. *Design Studies* 38, 54-91. [[Crossref](#)]
707. Mikael Puurtinen, Stephen Heap, Tapio Mappes. 2015. The joint emergence of group competition and within-group cooperation. *Evolution and Human Behavior* 36:3, 211-217. [[Crossref](#)]

708. Todd A. Olmstead, Sheila M. Alessi, Brendan Kline, Rosalie Liccardo Pacula, Nancy M. Petry. 2015. The price elasticity of demand for heroin: Matched longitudinal and experimental evidence. *Journal of Health Economics* **41**, 59-71. [[Crossref](#)]
709. Stephen Knowles, Maroš Servátka. 2015. Transaction costs, the opportunity cost of time and procrastination in charitable giving. *Journal of Public Economics* **125**, 54-63. [[Crossref](#)]
710. M. Della Giusta, S. Jewell. 2015. Unpaid work and conformity: why care?. *Cambridge Journal of Economics* **39**:3, 689-710. [[Crossref](#)]
711. Stephan Meier, Charles D. Sprenger. 2015. Temporal Stability of Time Preferences. *Review of Economics and Statistics* **97**:2, 273-286. [[Crossref](#)]
712. Steven Sexton. 2015. Automatic Bill Payment and Salience Effects: Evidence from Electricity Consumption. *Review of Economics and Statistics* **97**:2, 229-241. [[Crossref](#)]
713. Paul Dolan, Matteo M. Galizzi. 2015. Like ripples on a pond: Behavioral spillovers and their implications for research and policy. *Journal of Economic Psychology* **47**, 1-16. [[Crossref](#)]
714. Matteo Migheli. 2015. Gender at work: Incentives and self-sorting. *Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Economics* **55**, 10-18. [[Crossref](#)]
715. Lukas Meub, Till E. Proeger. 2015. Anchoring in social context. *Journal of Behavioral and Experimental Economics* **55**, 29-39. [[Crossref](#)]
716. James Alm, Kim M. Bloomquist, Michael McKee. 2015. ON THE EXTERNAL VALIDITY OF LABORATORY TAX COMPLIANCE EXPERIMENTS. *Economic Inquiry* **53**:2, 1170-1186. [[Crossref](#)]
717. Florian Zimmermann. 2015. Clumped or Piecewise? Evidence on Preferences for Information. *Management Science* **61**:4, 740-753. [[Crossref](#)]
718. Philipp N. Herrmann, Dennis O. Kundisch, Mohammad S. Rahman. 2015. Beating Irrationality: Does Delegating to IT Alleviate the Sunk Cost Effect?. *Management Science* **61**:4, 831-850. [[Crossref](#)]
719. Agnès Festré, Pierre Garrouste. 2015. THEORY AND EVIDENCE IN PSYCHOLOGY AND ECONOMICS ABOUT MOTIVATION CROWDING OUT: A POSSIBLE CONVERGENCE?. *Journal of Economic Surveys* **29**:2, 339-356. [[Crossref](#)]
720. SHAWN COLE, MARTIN KANZ, LEORA KLAPPER. 2015. Incentivizing Calculated Risk-Taking: Evidence from an Experiment with Commercial Bank Loan Officers. *The Journal of Finance* **70**:2, 537-575. [[Crossref](#)]
721. Rodrigo Moro, Esteban Freidin, Fernando Tohmé. 2015. Social preferences are not enough: Accounting for anomalous behavior in a complex mixed-motive game. *Cuadernos de Economía* **34**:65, 261. [[Crossref](#)]
722. Jan Wolff, Paul McCrone, Anita Patel, Gerd Auber, Thomas Reinhard. 2015. A Time Study of Physicians' Work in a German University Eye Hospital to Estimate Unit Costs. *PLOS ONE* **10**:3, e0121910. [[Crossref](#)]
723. Philipp Schreck. 2015. Honesty in managerial reporting: How competition affects the benefits and costs of lying. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting* **27**, 177-188. [[Crossref](#)]
724. Kate Ambler. 2015. Don't tell on me: Experimental evidence of asymmetric information in transnational households. *Journal of Development Economics* **113**, 52-69. [[Crossref](#)]
725. Timothy J. Emery, John Tisdell, Bridget S. Green, Klaas Hartmann, Caleb Gardner, Rafael León. 2015. An experimental analysis of assignment problems and economic rent dissipation in quota managed fisheries. *Ocean & Coastal Management* **106**, 10-28. [[Crossref](#)]
726. Geraldo Cardoso de Oliveira Neto, Moacir Godinho Filho, Oduvaldo Vendrametto, Gilberto Miller Devós Ganga, Irenilza Alencar Naas. 2015. Governança corporativa voltada à Produção Mais Limpa: influência dos stakeholders. *Gestão & Produção* **22**:1, 181-200. [[Crossref](#)]

727. Isabella R. Hatak, Dietmar Roessl. 2015. Relational Competence-Based Knowledge Transfer Within Intrafamily Succession. *Family Business Review* **28**:1, 10-25. [[Crossref](#)]
728. Matteo M. Galizzi, Jeroen Nieboer. 2015. Digit ratio (2D:4D) and altruism: evidence from a large, multi-ethnic sample. *Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience* **9**. . [[Crossref](#)]
729. Diana Gregory-Smith, Victoria K. Wells, Danae Manika, Sonja Graham. 2015. An environmental social marketing intervention among employees: assessing attitude and behaviour change. *Journal of Marketing Management* **31**:3-4, 336-377. [[Crossref](#)]
730. Omar I. Asensio, Magali A. Delmas. 2015. Nonprice incentives and energy conservation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **112**:6, E510-E515. [[Crossref](#)]
731. Michael Kosfeld, Devesh Rustagi. 2015. Leader Punishment and Cooperation in Groups: Experimental Field Evidence from Commons Management in Ethiopia. *American Economic Review* **105**:2, 747-783. [[Abstract](#)] [[View PDF article](#)] [[PDF with links](#)]
732. Danae Manika, Victoria K. Wells, Diana Gregory-Smith, Michael Gentry. 2015. The Impact of Individual Attitudinal and Organisational Variables on Workplace Environmentally Friendly Behaviours. *Journal of Business Ethics* **126**:4, 663-684. [[Crossref](#)]
733. Patricia Crifo, Vanina D. Forget, Sabrina Teyssier. 2015. The price of environmental, social and governance practice disclosure: An experiment with professional private equity investors. *Journal of Corporate Finance* **30**, 168-194. [[Crossref](#)]
734. Michael Lynn. 2015. Service gratuities and tipping: A motivational framework. *Journal of Economic Psychology* **46**, 74-88. [[Crossref](#)]
735. Werner Raub, Vincent Buskens, Rense Corten. Social Dilemmas and Cooperation 597-626. [[Crossref](#)]
736. Sophie Ponthieux, Dominique Meurs. Gender Inequality 981-1146. [[Crossref](#)]
737. Andrew E. Clark, Conchita D'Ambrosio. Attitudes to Income Inequality 1147-1208. [[Crossref](#)]
738. Mitchell Hoffman, John Morgan. 2015. Who's naughty? Who's nice? Experiments on whether pro-social workers are selected out of cutthroat business environments. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* **109**, 173-187. [[Crossref](#)]
739. Jonathan Renshon. 2015. Losing Face and Sinking Costs: Experimental Evidence on the Judgment of Political and Military Leaders. *International Organization* **69**:3, 659-695. [[Crossref](#)]
740. Brent J Davis, David B Johnson. 2015. Water Cooler Ostracism: Social Exclusion as a Punishment Mechanism. *Eastern Economic Journal* **41**:1, 126-151. [[Crossref](#)]
741. Michael G. Findley, Daniel L. Nielson, J.C. Sharman. 2015. Causes of Noncompliance with International Law: A Field Experiment on Anonymous Incorporation. *American Journal of Political Science* **59**:1, 146-161. [[Crossref](#)]
742. Johann Graf Lambsdorff, Günther G. Schulze. 2015. Guest Editorial: Special Issue on Corruption at the Grassroots-level. *Jahrbücher für Nationalökonomie und Statistik* **235**:2. . [[Crossref](#)]
743. Vanessa Mertins, Max Albert. 2015. Does Participation Increase Outcome Acceptance? Evidence from a Power-to-take Experiment. *Jahrbücher für Nationalökonomie und Statistik* **235**:6. . [[Crossref](#)]
744. Elizabeth Bernold, Elisabeth Gsottbauer, Kurt A. Ackermann, Ryan O. Murphy. 2015. Social Framing and Cooperation: The Roles and Interaction of Preferences and Beliefs. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
745. Ricardo Perez-Truglia, Ugo Troiano. 2015. Tax Debt Enforcement: Theory and Evidence from a Field Experiment in the United States. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
746. Martina Menon, Federico Perali. 2015. A Field Study on University Enrolment: The Intentions of Prospective Students. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]

747. Shlomi Boshi, Moshik Lavie, Avi Weiss. 2015. Quasi-Free Goods and Social Norms: The Effects of Quantity Restrictions and Scrutiny. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
748. Paul Dolan, Robert D Metcalfe. 2015. Neighbors, Knowledge, and Nuggets: Two Natural Field Experiments on the Role of Incentives on Energy Conservation. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
749. Joachim Klement. 2015. Investor Risk Profiling: An Overview. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
750. Emma Levine. 2015. Community Standards of Deception. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
751. Henrik Cronqvist, Frank Yu. 2015. Shaped by Their Daughters: Executives, Female Socialization, and Corporate Social Responsibility. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
752. Timo Goeschl, Sara Elisa Kettner, Johannes Lohse, Christiane Schwierén. 2015. What Do We Learn from Public Good Games About Voluntary Climate Action? Evidence from an Artefactual Field Experiment. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
753. Alain Cohn, Michel Andrr Marrchal. 2015. Laboratory Measure of Cheating Predicts Misbehavior at School. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
754. Antonio M. Espnn, Dolores Moreno-Herrero, Joss SSnchez-Campillo, Joss A. Rodrrguez Marttn. 2015. Do Envy and Compassion Pave the Way to Unhappiness? Social Preferences and Life Satisfaction in a Spanish City. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
755. Renee B. Adams. 2015. Women on Boards: The Superheroes of Tomorrow?. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
756. Chen Yefeng, Shuguang Jiang, Marie Claire Villeval. 2015. The Tragedy of Corruption. Corruption as a Social Dilemma. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
757. Shachar Kariv, Dan Silverman. 2015. Sources of Lower Financial Decision-Making Ability at Older Ages. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
758. Bart Dierynck, Victor van Pelt. 2015. The Sorting Effect of Ex Post Discretionary Adjustment in Employment Contracts. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
759. Brad L. LeVeck, D. Alex Hughes, James H. Fowler, Emilie Hafner-Burton, David G. Victor. 2014. The role of self-interest in elite bargaining. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **111**:52, 18536-18541. [[Crossref](#)]
760. Tine Hjernø Lesner, Ole Dahl Rasmussen. 2014. The identifiable victim effect in charitable giving: evidence from a natural field experiment. *Applied Economics* **46**:36, 4409-4430. [[Crossref](#)]
761. Sigbjørn Birkeland, Alexander W. Cappelen, Erik Ø. Sørensen, Bertil Tungodden. 2014. An experimental study of prosocial motivation among criminals. *Experimental Economics* **17**:4, 501-511. [[Crossref](#)]
762. Morgan J. Tear, Mark Nielsen. 2014. Video games and prosocial behavior: A study of the effects of non-violent, violent and ultra-violent gameplay. *Computers in Human Behavior* **41**, 8-13. [[Crossref](#)]
763. Marco Faravelli, Luca Stanca. 2014. Economic incentives and social preferences: Causal evidence of non-separability. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* **108**, 273-289. [[Crossref](#)]
764. Agnès Festré, Pierre Garrouste. 2014. Somebody may scold you! A dictator experiment. *Journal of Economic Psychology* **45**, 141-153. [[Crossref](#)]
765. Stephen Mark Rosenbaum, Stephan Billinger, Nils Stieglitz. 2014. Let's be honest: A review of experimental evidence of honesty and truth-telling. *Journal of Economic Psychology* **45**, 181-196. [[Crossref](#)]
766. Toke R. Fosgaard, Lars Gårn Hansen, Erik Wengström. 2014. Understanding the nature of cooperation variability. *Journal of Public Economics* **120**, 134-143. [[Crossref](#)]
767. Alexander Peysakhovich, Martin A. Nowak, David G. Rand. 2014. Humans display a 'cooperative phenotype' that is domain general and temporally stable. *Nature Communications* **5**:1. . [[Crossref](#)]

768. C. R. Jasper, A. S. Samek. 2014. Increasing charitable giving in the developed world. *Oxford Review of Economic Policy* 30:4, 680-696. [[Crossref](#)]
769. M. Hallsworth. 2014. The use of field experiments to increase tax compliance. *Oxford Review of Economic Policy* 30:4, 658-679. [[Crossref](#)]
770. P. Dolan, M. M. Galizzi. 2014. Getting policy-makers to listen to field experiments. *Oxford Review of Economic Policy* 30:4, 725-752. [[Crossref](#)]
771. Nick Netzer, Armin Schmutzler. 2014. EXPLAINING GIFT-EXCHANGE-THE LIMITS OF GOOD INTENTIONS. *Journal of the European Economic Association* 12:6, 1586-1616. [[Crossref](#)]
772. Pieter Vlaeminck, Ting Jiang, Liesbet Vranken. 2014. Food labeling and eco-friendly consumption: Experimental evidence from a Belgian supermarket. *Ecological Economics* 108, 180-190. [[Crossref](#)]
773. Darwin Choi, Sam K. Hui. 2014. The role of surprise: Understanding overreaction and underreaction to unanticipated events using in-play soccer betting market. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* 107, 614-629. [[Crossref](#)]
774. Mylene Lagarde, Duane Blaauw. 2014. Pro-social preferences and self-selection into jobs: Evidence from South African nurses. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* 107, 136-152. [[Crossref](#)]
775. Yuval Arbel, Danny Ben-Shahar, Stuart Gabriel. 2014. Anchoring and housing choice: Results of a natural policy experiment. *Regional Science and Urban Economics* 49, 68-83. [[Crossref](#)]
776. Francesco Guala. 2014. THE ROLE OF EXPERIMENTS IN ECONOMICS: REPLY TO JONES. *Economics and Philosophy* 30:3, 503-511. [[Crossref](#)]
777. Andrea Romei, Salvatore Ruggieri. 2014. A multidisciplinary survey on discrimination analysis. *The Knowledge Engineering Review* 29:5, 582-638. [[Crossref](#)]
778. Philipp Doerrenberg, Denvil Duncan. 2014. Distributional Implications of Tax Evasion. *Public Finance Review* 42:6, 720-744. [[Crossref](#)]
779. Antonio S. Silva, Ruth Mace. 2014. Cooperation and conflict: field experiments in Northern Ireland. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 281:1792, 20141435. [[Crossref](#)]
780. Cosmina Bradu, Jacob L. Orquin, John Thøgersen. 2014. The Mediated Influence of a Traceability Label on Consumer's Willingness to Buy the Labelled Product. *Journal of Business Ethics* 124:2, 283-295. [[Crossref](#)]
781. Edward N. Okeke, Susan Godlonton. 2014. Doing wrong to do right? Social preferences and dishonest behavior. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* 106, 124-139. [[Crossref](#)]
782. Thomas Dohmen. 2014. Behavioral labor economics: Advances and future directions. *Labour Economics* 30, 71-85. [[Crossref](#)]
783. Lisa Marriott. 2014. Using student subjects in experimental research: a challenge to the practice of using students as a proxy for taxpayers. *International Journal of Social Research Methodology* 17:5, 503-525. [[Crossref](#)]
784. Oliver Musshoff, Norbert Hirschauer. 2014. Using business simulation games in regulatory impact analysis – the case of policies aimed at reducing nitrogen leaching. *Applied Economics* 46:25, 3049-3060. [[Crossref](#)]
785. Roger Hartley, Gauthier Lanot, Ian Walker. 2014. WHO REALLY WANTS TO BE A MILLIONAIRE? ESTIMATES OF RISK AVERSION FROM GAMESHOW DATA. *Journal of Applied Econometrics* 29:6, 861-879. [[Crossref](#)]
786. Hans-Theo Normann, Till Requate, Israel Waichman. 2014. Do short-term laboratory experiments provide valid descriptions of long-term economic interactions? A study of Cournot markets. *Experimental Economics* 17:3, 371-390. [[Crossref](#)]

787. Gerda J. Kits, Wiktor L. Adamowicz, Peter C. Boxall. 2014. Do conservation auctions crowd out voluntary environmentally friendly activities?. *Ecological Economics* **105**, 118-123. [[Crossref](#)]
788. Saraï Sapulete, Arjen van Witteloostuijn, Wesley Kaufmann. 2014. An experimental study into the influence of works council advice on managerial decision-making. *Scandinavian Journal of Management* **30**:3, 358-371. [[Crossref](#)]
789. Daniel Alfredo Revollo-Fernandez, Alonso Aguilar-Ibarra. 2014. Measures of risk associated to regulations compliance: a laboratory experiment on the use of common-pool resources. *Journal of Risk Research* **17**:7, 903-921. [[Crossref](#)]
790. Craig D. Broadbent, David S. Brookshire, Don Coursey, Vince Tidwell. 2014. An experimental analysis of water leasing markets focusing on the agricultural sector. *Agricultural Water Management* **142**, 88-98. [[Crossref](#)]
791. Marco Paccagnella, Paolo Sestito. 2014. School cheating and social capital. *Education Economics* **22**:4, 367-388. [[Crossref](#)]
792. Carolina Castilla. 2014. Field Experiments in a Course on Behavioral Economics: Nudging Students Around Campus. *The Journal of Economic Education* **45**:3, 211-224. [[Crossref](#)]
793. Dorian Jullien, Nicolas Vallois. 2014. A probabilistic ghost in the experimental machine. *Journal of Economic Methodology* **21**:3, 232-250. [[Crossref](#)]
794. Christian Ehm, Christine Kaufmann, Martin Weber. 2014. Volatility Inadaptability: Investors Care About Risk, but Cannot Cope with Volatility*. *Review of Finance* **18**:4, 1387-1423. [[Crossref](#)]
795. Hanna J. Ihli, Syster C. Maart-Noelck, Oliver Musshoff. 2014. Does timing matter? A real options experiment to farmers' investment and disinvestment behaviours. *Australian Journal of Agricultural and Resource Economics* **58**:3, 430-452. [[Crossref](#)]
796. Liangfei Qiu, Huaxia Rui, Andrew B. Whinston. 2014. The Impact of Social Network Structures on Prediction Market Accuracy in the Presence of Insider Information. *Journal of Management Information Systems* **31**:1, 145-172. [[Crossref](#)]
797. Christoph Saenger, Maximo Torero, Matin Qaim. 2014. Impact of Third-party Contract Enforcement in Agricultural Markets—A Field Experiment in Vietnam. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics* **96**:4, 1220-1238. [[Crossref](#)]
798. Jan Stoop. 2014. From the lab to the field: envelopes, dictators and manners. *Experimental Economics* **17**:2, 304-313. [[Crossref](#)]
799. Nicola Bellantuono, Donatella Ettorre, Gregory E. Kersten, Pierpaolo Pontrandolfo. 2014. Multi-attribute Auction and Negotiation for e-Procurement of Logistics. *Group Decision and Negotiation* **23**:3, 421-441. [[Crossref](#)]
800. Philipp Doerrenberg, Denvil Duncan. 2014. Experimental evidence on the relationship between tax evasion opportunities and labor supply. *European Economic Review* **68**, 48-70. [[Crossref](#)]
801. Magali A. Delmas, Neil Lessem. 2014. Saving power to conserve your reputation? The effectiveness of private versus public information. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management* **67**:3, 353-370. [[Crossref](#)]
802. Dan Silverman, Joel Slemrod, Neslihan Uler. 2014. Distinguishing the role of authority “in” and authority “to”. *Journal of Public Economics* **113**, 32-42. [[Crossref](#)]
803. Jetske A. Bouma, K.J. Joy, Suhas Paranjape, Erik Ansink. 2014. The Influence of Legitimacy Perceptions on Cooperation – A Framed Field Experiment. *World Development* **57**, 127-137. [[Crossref](#)]
804. Michael Horvath, Robert K. von Weizsäcker. 2014. The Idea of Economic Laws. Some Considerations on Rationality, Historicity, and Objectivity in Economics. *European Review* **22**:S1, S163-S179. [[Crossref](#)]

805. Brenna Ellison, Jayson L. Lusk, David Davis. 2014. The Effect of Calorie Labels on Caloric Intake and Restaurant Revenue: Evidence from Two Full-Service Restaurants. *Journal of Agricultural and Applied Economics* 46:2, 173-191. [[Crossref](#)]
806. Colin Jerolmack, Shamus Khan. 2014. Toward an Understanding of the Relationship Between Accounts and Action. *Sociological Methods & Research* 43:2, 236-247. [[Crossref](#)]
807. Nicola Lacetera, Mario Macis, Robert Slonim. 2014. Rewarding Volunteers: A Field Experiment. *Management Science* 60:5, 1107-1129. [[Crossref](#)]
808. Maren Elise Bachke, Frode Alfnes, Mette Wik. 2014. Eliciting Donor Preferences. *VOLUNTAS: International Journal of Voluntary and Nonprofit Organizations* 25:2, 465-486. [[Crossref](#)]
809. E.N. Speelman, L.E. García-Barrios, J.C.J. Groot, P. Tittone. 2014. Gaming for smallholder participation in the design of more sustainable agricultural landscapes. *Agricultural Systems* 126, 62-75. [[Crossref](#)]
810. Ayana Elizabeth Johnson, Daniel Kaiser Saunders. 2014. Time preferences and the management of coral reef fisheries. *Ecological Economics* 100, 130-139. [[Crossref](#)]
811. Robin M. Hogarth, Marie Claire Villeval. 2014. Ambiguous incentives and the persistence of effort: Experimental evidence. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* 100, 1-19. [[Crossref](#)]
812. BRENNA ELLISON, JAYSON L. LUSK, DAVID DAVIS. 2014. THE IMPACT OF RESTAURANT CALORIE LABELS ON FOOD CHOICE: RESULTS FROM A FIELD EXPERIMENT. *Economic Inquiry* 52:2, 666-681. [[Crossref](#)]
813. Guy Grossman, Delia Baldassarri. The impact of elections on cooperation: Evidence from a lab-in-the-field experiment in Uganda 196-232. [[Crossref](#)]
814. Juan Camilo Cárdenas, Nicolas De Roux, Christian R. Jaramillo, Luis Roberto Martinez. 2014. Is it my money or not? An experiment on risk aversion and the house-money effect. *Experimental Economics* 17:1, 47-60. [[Crossref](#)]
815. Kristen Hawkes. 2014. Primate Sociality to Human Cooperation. *Human Nature* 25:1, 28-48. [[Crossref](#)]
816. Alex Shaw, Kristina Olson. 2014. Fairness as partiality aversion: The development of procedural justice. *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology* 119, 40-53. [[Crossref](#)]
817. Petr Sauer. 2014. Art of Designing Teaching Laboratory Experiments: The Case of Water Management. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences* 122, 204-209. [[Crossref](#)]
818. Richard T. Carson, Theodore Groves, John A. List. 2014. Consequentiality: A Theoretical and Experimental Exploration of a Single Binary Choice. *Journal of the Association of Environmental and Resource Economists* 1:1/2, 171-207. [[Crossref](#)]
819. Karen Croxson, J. James Reade. 2014. Information and Efficiency: Goal Arrival in Soccer Betting. *The Economic Journal* 124:575, 62-91. [[Crossref](#)]
820. Till Proeger, Lukas Meub. 2014. Overconfidence as a social bias: Experimental evidence. *Economics Letters* 122:2, 203-207. [[Crossref](#)]
821. Michael Pickhardt, Aloys Prinz. 2014. Behavioral dynamics of tax evasion – A survey. *Journal of Economic Psychology* 40, 1-19. [[Crossref](#)]
822. Sam Whitt. 2014. Social Norms in the Aftermath of Ethnic Violence. *Journal of Conflict Resolution* 58:1, 93-119. [[Crossref](#)]
823. Lin Tao, Wing-tung Au. 2014. Values, self and other-regarding behavior in the dictator game. *Rationality and Society* 26:1, 46-72. [[Crossref](#)]
824. Sven Hoepfner. Experimental Law and Economics 1-9. [[Crossref](#)]

825. Jens Grossklags, Nigel J. Barradale. Social Status and the Demand for Security and Privacy 83-101. [[Crossref](#)]
826. Jonathan E. Alevy, Francis L. Jeffries, Yonggang Lu. 2014. Gender- and frame-specific audience effects in dictator games. *Economics Letters* **122**:1, 50-54. [[Crossref](#)]
827. Gary E. Bolton, Axel Ockenfels. 2014. Does laboratory trading mirror behavior in real world markets? Fair bargaining and competitive bidding on eBay. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* **97**, 143-154. [[Crossref](#)]
828. Maria Börjesson, Jonas Eliasson. 2014. Experiences from the Swedish Value of Time study. *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice* **59**, 144-158. [[Crossref](#)]
829. Neil B. Niman. The Allure of Games 69-86. [[Crossref](#)]
830. Daylian M. Cain, Jason Dana, George E. Newman. 2014. Giving Versus Giving In. *The Academy of Management Annals* **8**:1, 505-533. [[Crossref](#)]
831. Toby Bolsen, Paul J. Ferraro, Juan Jose Miranda. 2014. Are Voters More Likely to Contribute to Other Public Goods? Evidence from a Large-Scale Randomized Policy Experiment. *American Journal of Political Science* **58**:1, 17-30. [[Crossref](#)]
832. THOMAS S. DEE. 2014. STEREOTYPE THREAT AND THE STUDENT-ATHLETE. *Economic Inquiry* **52**:1, 173-182. [[Crossref](#)]
833. Gary Charness, David Masclet, Marie Claire Villeval. 2014. The Dark Side of Competition for Status. *Management Science* **60**:1, 38-55. [[Crossref](#)]
834. Philipp Doerrenberg, Denvil Duncan, Christopher Zeppenfeld. 2014. Circumstantial Risk: Impact of Future Tax Evasion and Labor Supply Opportunities on Risk Exposure. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
835. Jingnan Chen, Daniel Houser, Natalia Montinari, Marco Piovesan. 2014. Beware of Popular Kids Bearing Gifts: A Framed Field Experiment. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
836. K. Sudhir, Subroto Roy, Mathew Cherian. 2014. Do Sympathy Biases Induce Charitable Giving? The Persuasive Effects of Advertising Content. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
837. Lukas Meub, Till Proeger. 2014. An Experimental Study on Social Anchoring. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
838. Jason Best, Lydia Brashear Tiede. 2014. Vacancy in Justice: Analyzing the Impact of Overburdened Judges on Sentencing Decisions. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
839. Vera Mironova, Sam Whitt. 2014. Social Norms after Conflict Exposure and Victimization by Violence: Experimental Evidence from Kosovo. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
840. Dean S. Karlan, Daniel H. Wood. 2014. The Effect of Effectiveness: Donor Response to Aid Effectiveness in a Direct Mail Fundraising Experiment. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
841. Alexander Peysakhovich, Martin A. Nowak, David G. Rand. 2014. The Cooperative Phenotype. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
842. Marco Paccagnella, Paolo Sestito. 2014. School Cheating and Social Capital. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
843. Matthew J. Hashim, Jesse Bockstedt. 2014. Overcoming Free-Riding in Information Goods: Sanctions or Rewards?. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
844. Pablo Hernandez, Paul Povel, Giorgio Sertsios. 2014. Does Risk Shifting Really Happen? Results from an Experiment. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
845. Daniel Schwarcz, Peter Siegelman. 2014. Insurance Agents in the 21st Century: The Problem of Biased Advice. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]

846. Thomas Broberg. 2014. Relative Income and the WTP for Public Goods -- A Case Study of Forest Conservation in Sweden. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
847. Daniel Houser, John A. List, Marco Piovesan, Anya Savikhin Samek, Joachim K. Winter. 2014. On the Origins of Dishonesty: From Parents to Children. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
848. Gary Charness, David Masclet, Marie Claire Villeval. 2014. The Dark Side of Competition for Status. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
849. Robin M. Hogarth, Marie Claire Villeval. 2014. Ambiguous Incentives and the Persistence of Effort: Experimental Evidence. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
850. Thomas Broberg, Andrius Kaaukauskas. 2014. Inefficiencies in Residential Use of Energy -- A Critical Overview of Literature and Energy Efficiency Policies in EU and Sweden. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
851. Adelle Xue Yang, Christopher K. Hsee, Oleg Urminsky. 2014. Eager to Help Yet Reluctant to Give: How Pro-Social Effort and Pro-Social Choices Diverge. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
852. Daylian M. Cain, Jason Dana, George E. Newman. 2014. Giving Versus Giving In. *Academy of Management Annals* **8**:1, 505-533. [[Crossref](#)]
853. Jordan F. Suter, Christian A. Vossler. 2014. Towards an Understanding of the Performance of Ambient Tax Mechanisms in the Field: Evidence from Upstate New York Dairy Farmers. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics* **96**:1, 92-107. [[Crossref](#)]
854. Élodie Bertrand. 2014. Autorisation à l'échange sur des externalités. *Revue économique* **65**:2, 439. [[Crossref](#)]
855. Nicolas Jacquemet, Olivier L'Haridon, Isabelle Vialle. 2014. Marché du travail, évaluation et économie expérimentale. *Revue française d'économie* **XXIX**:1, 189. [[Crossref](#)]
856. Ivan Hilliard. 2013. Responsible Management, Incentive Systems, and Productivity. *Journal of Business Ethics* **118**:2, 365-377. [[Crossref](#)]
857. Hannes Koppel, Günther G. Schulze. 2013. The Importance of the Indirect Transfer Mechanism for Consumer Willingness to Pay for Fair Trade Products—Evidence from a Natural Field Experiment. *Journal of Consumer Policy* **36**:4, 369-387. [[Crossref](#)]
858. William T. Harbaugh, Naci Mocan, Michael S. Visser. 2013. Theft and Deterrence. *Journal of Labor Research* **34**:4, 389-407. [[Crossref](#)]
859. Gregory Gurevich, Doron Kliger. 2013. The Manipulation: Socio-economic decision making. *Journal of Economic Psychology* **39**, 171-184. [[Crossref](#)]
860. Victor Iajya, Nicola Lacetera, Mario Macis, Robert Slonim. 2013. The effects of information, social and financial incentives on voluntary undirected blood donations: Evidence from a field experiment in Argentina. *Social Science & Medicine* **98**, 214-223. [[Crossref](#)]
861. Filippos Exadaktylos, Antonio M. Espín, Pablo Brañas-Garza. 2013. Experimental subjects are not different. *Scientific Reports* **3**:1. . [[Crossref](#)]
862. Olivier Armantier, Amadou Boly. 2013. Comparing Corruption in the Laboratory and in the Field in Burkina Faso and in Canada. *The Economic Journal* **123**:573, 1168-1187. [[Crossref](#)]
863. Paola Sapienza, Anna Toldra-Simats, Luigi Zingales. 2013. Understanding Trust. *The Economic Journal* **123**:573, 1313-1332. [[Crossref](#)]
864. Panayotis Antoniadis, Serge Fdida, Christopher Griffin, Youngmi Jin, George Kesidis. 2013. Distributed medium access control with conditionally altruistic users. *EURASIP Journal on Wireless Communications and Networking* **2013**:1. . [[Crossref](#)]
865. Stephen M. Garcia, Avishalom Tor, Tyrone M. Schiff. 2013. The Psychology of Competition. *Perspectives on Psychological Science* **8**:6, 634-650. [[Crossref](#)]

866. Vittorio Pelligra, Luca Stanca. 2013. To give or not to give? Equity, efficiency and altruistic behavior in an artefactual field experiment. *The Journal of Socio-Economics* **46**, 1-9. [[Crossref](#)]
867. Susanne Neckermann, Bruno S. Frey. 2013. And the winner is...? The motivating power of employee awards. *The Journal of Socio-Economics* **46**, 66-77. [[Crossref](#)]
868. Michael G. Findley, Daniel L. Nielson, J.C. Sharman. 2013. Using Field Experiments in International Relations: A Randomized Study of Anonymous Incorporation 1. *International Organization* **67**:4, 657-693. [[Crossref](#)]
869. M. Bhuller, T. Havnes, E. Leuven, M. Mogstad. 2013. Broadband Internet: An Information Superhighway to Sex Crime?. *The Review of Economic Studies* **80**:4, 1237-1266. [[Crossref](#)]
870. Alex Shaw. 2013. Beyond "to Share or Not to Share". *Current Directions in Psychological Science* **22**:5, 413-417. [[Crossref](#)]
871. Carl D. Mildenberger. 2013. The constitutional political economy of virtual worlds. *Constitutional Political Economy* **24**:3, 239-264. [[Crossref](#)]
872. Anna Dreber, Tore Ellingsen, Magnus Johannesson, David G. Rand. 2013. Do people care about social context? Framing effects in dictator games. *Experimental Economics* **16**:3, 349-371. [[Crossref](#)]
873. Blair L. Cleave, Nikos Nikiforakis, Robert Slonim. 2013. Is there selection bias in laboratory experiments? The case of social and risk preferences. *Experimental Economics* **16**:3, 372-382. [[Crossref](#)]
874. Rudolf Vetschera, Guenther Kainz. 2013. Do Self-Reported Strategies Match Actual Behavior in a Social Preference Experiment?. *Group Decision and Negotiation* **22**:5, 823-849. [[Crossref](#)]
875. Philip J. Cash, Ben J. Hicks, Steve J. Culley. 2013. A comparison of designer activity using core design situations in the laboratory and practice. *Design Studies* **34**:5, 575-611. [[Crossref](#)]
876. Christina Gravert. 2013. How luck and performance affect stealing. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* **93**, 301-304. [[Crossref](#)]
877. Sebastian Lotz, Thomas Schlösser, Daylian M. Cain, Detlef Fetchenhauer. 2013. The (in)stability of social preferences: Using justice sensitivity to predict when altruism collapses. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* **93**, 141-148. [[Crossref](#)]
878. Marcela Ibanez, Peter Martinsson. 2013. Curbing coca cultivation in Colombia — A framed field experiment. *Journal of Public Economics* **105**, 1-10. [[Crossref](#)]
879. Amine Ouazad, Lionel Page. 2013. Students' perceptions of teacher biases: Experimental economics in schools. *Journal of Public Economics* **105**, 116-130. [[Crossref](#)]
880. Ryan Bubb, Alex Kaufman. 2013. Consumer biases and mutual ownership. *Journal of Public Economics* **105**, 39-57. [[Crossref](#)]
881. Pierre Desmet, Richard Bordenave, John Traynor. 2013. Differences in purchasing behaviour between physical and virtual laboratory stores. *Recherche et Applications en Marketing (English Edition)* **28**:2, 70-85. [[Crossref](#)]
882. Menusch Khadjavi, Andreas Lange. 2013. Prisoners and their dilemma. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* **92**, 163-175. [[Crossref](#)]
883. Armin Falk, Stephan Meier, Christian Zehnder. 2013. DO LAB EXPERIMENTS MISREPRESENT SOCIAL PREFERENCES? THE CASE OF SELF-SELECTED STUDENT SAMPLES. *Journal of the European Economic Association* **11**:4, 839-852. [[Crossref](#)]
884. Sebastian Kube, Michel André Maréchal, Clemens Puppe. 2013. DO WAGE CUTS DAMAGE WORK MORALE? EVIDENCE FROM A NATURAL FIELD EXPERIMENT. *Journal of the European Economic Association* **11**:4, 853-870. [[Crossref](#)]
885. Michelle Jackson, D.R. Cox. 2013. The Principles of Experimental Design and Their Application in Sociology. *Annual Review of Sociology* **39**:1, 27-49. [[Crossref](#)]

886. Thierry Madiès, Marie Claire Villeval, Malgorzata Wasmer. 2013. Intergenerational attitudes towards strategic uncertainty and competition: A field experiment in a Swiss bank. *European Economic Review* 61, 153-168. [[Crossref](#)]
887. Jeffrey Winking, Nicholas Mizer. 2013. Natural-field dictator game shows no altruistic giving. *Evolution and Human Behavior* 34:4, 288-293. [[Crossref](#)]
888. Giorgio Fazio, Luciano Lavecchia. 2013. Social Capital Formation across Space. *International Regional Science Review* 36:3, 296-321. [[Crossref](#)]
889. William Minozzi, Jonathan Woon. 2013. Lying aversion, lobbying, and context in a strategic communication experiment. *Journal of Theoretical Politics* 25:3, 309-337. [[Crossref](#)]
890. Hakan J. Holm, Sonja Oppen, Victor Nee. 2013. Entrepreneurs Under Uncertainty: An Economic Experiment in China. *Management Science* 59:7, 1671-1687. [[Crossref](#)]
891. E. Yoeli, M. Hoffman, D. G. Rand, M. A. Nowak. 2013. Powering up with indirect reciprocity in a large-scale field experiment. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 110:Supplement_2, 10424-10429. [[Crossref](#)]
892. John C. Beghin, Anne-Celia Disdier, Stéphan Marette, Frank van Tongeren. A Cost-Benefit Approach for the Assessment of Nontariff Measures in International Trade 15-41. [[Crossref](#)]
893. Fredrik Carlsson, Haoran He, Peter Martinsson. 2013. Easy come, easy go. *Experimental Economics* 16:2, 190-207. [[Crossref](#)]
894. Jon Anderson, Stephen V. Burks, Jeffrey Carpenter, Lorenz Götte, Karsten Maurer, Daniele Nosenzo, Ruth Potter, Kim Rocha, Aldo Rustichini. 2013. Self-selection and variations in the laboratory measurement of other-regarding preferences across subject pools: evidence from one college student and two adult samples. *Experimental Economics* 16:2, 170-189. [[Crossref](#)]
895. Pamela Jakiela. 2013. Equity vs. efficiency vs. self-interest: on the use of dictator games to measure distributional preferences. *Experimental Economics* 16:2, 208-221. [[Crossref](#)]
896. Axel Franzen, Sonja Pointner. 2013. The external validity of giving in the dictator game. *Experimental Economics* 16:2, 155-169. [[Crossref](#)]
897. Ivar Krumpal. 2013. Determinants of social desirability bias in sensitive surveys: a literature review. *Quality & Quantity* 47:4, 2025-2047. [[Crossref](#)]
898. Terence C. Burnham. 2013. Toward a neo-Darwinian synthesis of neoclassical and behavioral economics. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* 90, S113-S127. [[Crossref](#)]
899. Dana Chandler, Adam Kapelner. 2013. Breaking monotony with meaning: Motivation in crowdsourcing markets. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* 90, 123-133. [[Crossref](#)]
900. Patrick McAlvanah, Charles C. Moul. 2013. The house doesn't always win: Evidence of anchoring among Australian bookies. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* 90, 87-99. [[Crossref](#)]
901. Robert Slonim, Carmen Wang, Ellen Garbarino, Danielle Merrett. 2013. Opting-in: Participation bias in economic experiments. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* 90, 43-70. [[Crossref](#)]
902. Victor Lavy. 2013. Gender Differences in Market Competitiveness in a Real Workplace: Evidence from Performance-based Pay Tournaments among Teachers. *The Economic Journal* 123:569, 540-573. [[Crossref](#)]
903. Lava Yadav, Thomas M. van Rensburg, Hugh Kelley. 2013. A Comparison Between the Conventional Stated Preference Technique and an Inferred Valuation Approach. *Journal of Agricultural Economics* 64:2, 405-422. [[Crossref](#)]
904. Erin L. Krupka, Roberto A. Weber. 2013. IDENTIFYING SOCIAL NORMS USING COORDINATION GAMES: WHY DOES DICTATOR GAME SHARING VARY?. *Journal of the European Economic Association* 11:3, 495-524. [[Crossref](#)]

905. Gabriel Abend. 2013. What the Science of Morality Doesn't Say About Morality. *Philosophy of the Social Sciences* 43:2, 157-200. [[Crossref](#)]
906. Miriam Krieger, Stefan Felder. 2013. Can Decision Biases Improve Insurance Outcomes? An Experiment on Status Quo Bias in Health Insurance Choice. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 10:6, 2560-2577. [[Crossref](#)]
907. Fabrice Etilé, Sabrina Teyssier. 2013. Corporate social responsibility and the economics of consumer social responsibility. *Revue d'Études en Agriculture et Environnement* 94:02, 221-259. [[Crossref](#)]
908. Antoine Beretti, Charles Figuières, Gilles Grolleau. 2013. Behavioral innovations: The missing capital in sustainable development?. *Ecological Economics* 89, 187-195. [[Crossref](#)]
909. Linda Nøstbakken. 2013. Formal and informal quota enforcement. *Resource and Energy Economics* 35:2, 191-215. [[Crossref](#)]
910. J. R. Kolstad, I. Lindkvist. 2013. Pro-social preferences and self-selection into the public health sector: evidence from an economic experiment. *Health Policy and Planning* 28:3, 320-327. [[Crossref](#)]
911. Krisztina Kis-Katos, Günther G. Schulze. 2013. Corruption in Southeast Asia: a survey of recent research. *Asian-Pacific Economic Literature* 27:1, 79-109. [[Crossref](#)]
912. Julie Novakova, Jaroslav Flegr. 2013. How Much Is Our Fairness Worth? The Effect of Raising Stakes on Offers by Proposers and Minimum Acceptable Offers in Dictator and Ultimatum Games. *PLoS ONE* 8:4, e60966. [[Crossref](#)]
913. Agnès Festré, Pierre Garrouste. 2013. The Respective Effects of Being Observed and Sanctioned in Modified Dictator and Ultimatum Games. *ISRN Economics* 2013, 1-13. [[Crossref](#)]
914. Matthew Doyle, Jacob Wong. 2013. Wage posting without full commitment. *Review of Economic Dynamics* 16:2, 231-252. [[Crossref](#)]
915. Timo Tammi. 2013. Dictator game giving and norms of redistribution: Does giving in the dictator game parallel with the supporting of income redistribution in the field?. *The Journal of Socio-Economics* 43, 44-48. [[Crossref](#)]
916. J. Kulin, S. Svallfors. 2013. Class, Values, and Attitudes Towards Redistribution: A European Comparison. *European Sociological Review* 29:2, 155-167. [[Crossref](#)]
917. DANIEL F. STONE. 2013. TESTING BAYESIAN UPDATING WITH THE ASSOCIATED PRESS TOP 25. *Economic Inquiry* 51:2, 1457-1474. [[Crossref](#)]
918. Holger Stichnoth, Karine Van der Straeten. 2013. ETHNIC DIVERSITY, PUBLIC SPENDING, AND INDIVIDUAL SUPPORT FOR THE WELFARE STATE: A REVIEW OF THE EMPIRICAL LITERATURE. *Journal of Economic Surveys* 27:2, 364-389. [[Crossref](#)]
919. Anat Bracha, Chaim Fershtman. 2013. Competitive Incentives: Working Harder or Working Smarter?. *Management Science* 59:4, 771-781. [[Crossref](#)]
920. Delia Baldassarri, Guy Grossman. 2013. The Effect of Group Attachment and Social Position on Prosocial Behavior. Evidence from Lab-in-the-Field Experiments. *PLoS ONE* 8:3, e58750. [[Crossref](#)]
921. Moritz Hetzer, Didier Sornette. 2013. The Co-Evolution of Fairness Preferences and Costly Punishment. *PLoS ONE* 8:3, e54308. [[Crossref](#)]
922. Arch G. Woodside, Mann Zhang. 2013. Cultural Diversity and Marketing Transactions: Are Market Integration, Large Community Size, and World Religions Necessary for Fairness in Ephemeral Exchanges?. *Psychology & Marketing* 30:3, 263-276. [[Crossref](#)]
923. Cary Deck, Jungmin Lee, Javier A. Reyes, Christopher C. Rosen. 2013. A failed attempt to explain within subject variation in risk taking behavior using domain specific risk attitudes. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* 87, 1-24. [[Crossref](#)]

924. Paul J. Ferraro, Michael K. Price. 2013. Using Nonpecuniary Strategies to Influence Behavior: Evidence from a Large-Scale Field Experiment. *Review of Economics and Statistics* **95**:1, 64-73. [[Crossref](#)]
925. Sara A. Hart, Jeanette Taylor, Christopher Schatschneider. 2013. There Is a World Outside of Experimental Designs. *Assessment for Effective Intervention* **38**:2, 117-126. [[Crossref](#)]
926. Yasuyuki Sawada, Ryuji Kasahara, Keitaro Aoyagi, Masahiro Shoji, Mika Ueyama. 2013. Modes of Collective Action in Village Economies: Evidence from Natural and Artefactual Field Experiments in a Developing Country. *Asian Development Review* **30**:1, 31-51. [[Crossref](#)]
927. Alexander Pfaff, Maria Alejandra Velez, Renzo Taddei, Kenneth Broad. 2013. Unequal Information, Unequal Allocation: Bargaining field experiments in NE Brazil. *Environmental Science & Policy* **26**, 90-101. [[Crossref](#)]
928. Peter DeScioli, Siddhi Krishna. 2013. Giving to whom? Altruism in different types of relationships. *Journal of Economic Psychology* **34**, 218-228. [[Crossref](#)]
929. Alessandra Righi. 2013. Measuring Social Capital: Official Statistics Initiatives in Italy. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences* **72**, 4-22. [[Crossref](#)]
930. Moïse Sagamba, Oleg Shchetinin, Nurmukhammad Yusupov. 2013. Do Microloan Officers Want to Lend to the Less Advantaged? Evidence from a Choice Experiment. *World Development* **42**, 182-198. [[Crossref](#)]
931. Katie Baca-Motes, Amber Brown, Ayelet Gneezy, Elizabeth A. Keenan, Leif D. Nelson. 2013. Commitment and Behavior Change: Evidence from the Field. *Journal of Consumer Research* **39**:5, 1070-1084. [[Crossref](#)]
932. Manuela Thomae, David Zeitlyn, Sascha S. Griffiths, Mark Van Vugt. 2013. Intergroup Contact and Rice Allocation via a Modified Dictator Game in Rural Cameroon. *Field Methods* **25**:1, 74-90. [[Crossref](#)]
933. Ana M. Franco-Watkins, Bryan D. Edwards, Roy E. Acuff. 2013. Effort and Fairness in Bargaining Games. *Journal of Behavioral Decision Making* **26**:1, 79-90. [[Crossref](#)]
934. Bastian Henze, Charles N. Noussair, Bert Willems. Long Term Financial Transportation Rights: An Experiment 211-226. [[Crossref](#)]
935. Andrea Romei, Salvatore Ruggieri. Discrimination Data Analysis: A Multi-disciplinary Bibliography 109-135. [[Crossref](#)]
936. Panayotis Antoniadis, Serge Fdida, Christopher Griffin, Youngmi Jin, George Kesidis. Distributed Medium Access Control with Dynamic Altruism 29-42. [[Crossref](#)]
937. Petr Šauer, Petr Fiala, Antonín Dvořák. Modelling of Environmental Risk Management under Information Asymmetry 391-402. [[Crossref](#)]
938. Johannes Gettinger, Elmar Kiesling, Christian Stummer, Rudolf Vetschera. 2013. A comparison of representations for discrete multi-criteria decision problems. *Decision Support Systems* **54**:2, 976-985. [[Crossref](#)]
939. Redzo Mujcic, Paul Frijters. 2013. Economic choices and status: measuring preferences for income rank. *Oxford Economic Papers* **65**:1, 47-73. [[Crossref](#)]
940. Francisco Alpízar, Peter Martinsson. 2013. Does It Matter if You Are Observed by Others? Evidence from Donations in the Field*. *The Scandinavian Journal of Economics* **115**:1, 74-83. [[Crossref](#)]
941. Philipp Schliffke. 2013. Inconsistent People? An Experiment on the Impact of Social Preferences Across Games. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
942. Martin Holmen, Michael Kirchler, Daniel Kleinlercher. 2013. Do Option-Like Incentives Induce Overvaluation? Evidence from Exerimental Asset Markets. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]

943. Mitchell Hoffman. 2013. How is Information (Under-) Valued? Evidence from Framed Field Experiments. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
944. Helen Zerlina Margetts, Peter John, Scott A. Hale, Stephane Reissfelder. 2013. Leadership Without Leaders? Starters and Followers in Online Collective Action. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
945. Yasuyuki Sawada, Ryuji Kasahara, Keitaro Aoyagi, Masahiro Shoji, Mika Ueyama. 2013. Modes of Collective Action in Village Economies: Evidence from Natural and Artefactual Field Experiments in a Developing Country. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
946. Erik O. Kimbrough, Alexander Vostroknutov. 2013. Norms Make Preferences Social. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
947. Y. Jane Zhang. 2013. Culture and the Gender Gap in Competitive Inclination: Evidence from the Communist Experiment in China. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
948. Yann N. B. Girard, Florian Hett. 2013. Competitiveness in Dynamic Group Contests: Evidence from Combined Field and Lab Data. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
949. Andrew Luccasen. 2013. Dictator Giving Outside of the Laboratory. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
950. Y. Jane Zhang. 2013. Can Experimental Economics Explain Competitive Behavior Outside the Lab?. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
951. Marco Faravelli, Luca Stanca. 2013. Economic Incentives and Social Preferences: Causal Evidence of Non-Separability. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
952. Lukas Meub, Till Proeger, Kilian Bizer. 2013. Anchoring: A Valid Explanation for Biased Forecasts When Rational Predictions are Easily Accessible and Well Incentivized?. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
953. Antonio Stefano Caria, Ibrahim Worku Hassen. 2013. The Formation of Job Referral Networks: Experimental Evidence from Urban Ethiopia. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
954. Yoan Hermstrüwer, Stephan Dickert. 2013. Tearing the Veil of Privacy Law: An Experiment on Chilling Effects and the Right to Be Forgotten. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
955. Duncan S. Gilchrist, Michael Luca, Deepak K. Malhotra. 2013. It's Not the Size of the Gift; It's How You Present It: New Evidence on Gift Exchange from a Field Experiment. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
956. Mitchell Hoffman, John Morgan. 2013. Who's Naughty? Who's Nice? Experiments on Whether Pro-Social Workers are Selected Out of Cutthroat Business Environments. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
957. Oliver Fabel, Christian J. Thomann, Yingchao Zhang. 2013. Pay Inequity and Job Performance: An Insider-Econometrics Study. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
958. Susanne Neckermann, Bruno S. Frey. 2013. And the Winner is...? The Motivating Power of Employee Awards. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
959. Vojtech Bartos, Michal Bauer, Julie Chytilov, Filip Mattjka. 2013. Attention Discrimination: Theory and Field Experiments. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
960. Christoph BBhren, Thorben Christian Kundt. 2013. Imagine Being a Nice Guy: A Note on Hypothetical vs. Incentivized Social Preferences. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
961. Sven Thorsten Jakusch. 2013. On the Applicability of Maximum Likelihood Methods: From Experimental to Financial Data. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
962. Bret Kellihan, Tracy Jill Doty, W. David Hairston, Jonroy Canady, Keith W. Whitaker, Chin-Teng Lin, Tzyy-Ping Jung, Kaleb McDowell. A Real-World Neuroimaging System to Evaluate Stress 316-325. [[Crossref](#)]

963. Kirsten E. Martin. 2012. Diminished or Just Different? A Factorial Vignette Study of Privacy as a Social Contract. *Journal of Business Ethics* 111:4, 519-539. [[Crossref](#)]
964. Kirsten Martin. 2012. Information technology and privacy: conceptual muddles or privacy vacuums?. *Ethics and Information Technology* 14:4, 267-284. [[Crossref](#)]
965. Werner Güth, Oliver Kirchkamp. 2012. Will you accept without knowing what? The Yes-No game in the newspaper and in the lab. *Experimental Economics* 15:4, 656-666. [[Crossref](#)]
966. Olof Johansson-Stenman, Henrik Svedsäter. 2012. Self-image and valuation of moral goods: Stated versus actual willingness to pay. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* 84:3, 879-891. [[Crossref](#)]
967. Eric Cardella, Ray Chiu. 2012. Stackelberg in the lab: The effect of group decision making and "Cooling-off" periods. *Journal of Economic Psychology* 33:6, 1070-1083. [[Crossref](#)]
968. Tania Machet, David Lowe, Christian Gütl. 2012. On the potential for using immersive virtual environments to support laboratory experiment contextualisation. *European Journal of Engineering Education* 37:6, 527-540. [[Crossref](#)]
969. Jan Stoop, Charles N. Noussair, Daan van Soest. 2012. From the Lab to the Field: Cooperation among Fishermen. *Journal of Political Economy* 120:6, 1027-1056. [[Crossref](#)]
970. Jesse Graham, Peter Meindl, Erica Beall. 2012. Integrating the Streams of Morality Research. *Current Directions in Psychological Science* 21:6, 373-377. [[Crossref](#)]
971. Tanjim Hossain, John A. List. 2012. The Behavioralist Visits the Factory: Increasing Productivity Using Simple Framing Manipulations. *Management Science* 58:12, 2151-2167. [[Crossref](#)]
972. Thomas E. Wei. 2012. Sticks, Stones, Words, and Broken Bones. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis* 34:4, 465-488. [[Crossref](#)]
973. Michael S. Dahl, Cristian L. Dezsö, David Gaddis Ross. 2012. Fatherhood and Managerial Style. *Administrative Science Quarterly* 57:4, 669-693. [[Crossref](#)]
974. Chaim Fershtman,, Uri Gneezy,, John A. List. 2012. Equity Aversion: Social Norms and the Desire to be Ahead. *American Economic Journal: Microeconomics* 4:4, 131-144. [[Abstract](#)] [[View PDF article](#)] [[PDF with links](#)]
975. Loukas Balafoutas, Nikos Nikiforakis. 2012. Norm enforcement in the city: A natural field experiment. *European Economic Review* 56:8, 1773-1785. [[Crossref](#)]
976. Thomas Buser. 2012. Digit ratios, the menstrual cycle and social preferences. *Games and Economic Behavior* 76:2, 457-470. [[Crossref](#)]
977. GREGORY A. HUBER, SETH J. HILL, GABRIEL S. LENZ. 2012. Sources of Bias in Retrospective Decision Making: Experimental Evidence on Voters' Limitations in Controlling Incumbents. *American Political Science Review* 106:4, 720-741. [[Crossref](#)]
978. Hans-Martin von Gaudecker, Arthur van Soest, Erik Wengström. 2012. Experts in experiments. *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty* 45:2, 159-190. [[Crossref](#)]
979. Leif Brandes, Egon Franck. 2012. Social preferences or personal career concerns? Field evidence on positive and negative reciprocity in the workplace. *Journal of Economic Psychology* 33:5, 925-939. [[Crossref](#)]
980. Matteo Ploner. 2012. Book Review. *The Journal of Socio-Economics* 41:5, 693-694. [[Crossref](#)]
981. Guy Grossman, Delia Baldassarri. 2012. The Impact of Elections on Cooperation: Evidence from a Lab-in-the-Field Experiment in Uganda. *American Journal of Political Science* 56:4, 964-985. [[Crossref](#)]
982. Norbert Hirschauer,, Oliver Mußhoff,. 2012. Smarte Regulierung in der Ernährungswirtschaft durch Name-and-Shame. *Vierteljahrshefte zur Wirtschaftsforschung* 81:4, 163-181. [[Crossref](#)]

983. Malini Suchak, Frans B. M. de Waal. 2012. Monkeys benefit from reciprocity without the cognitive burden. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **109**:38, 15191-15196. [[Crossref](#)]
984. Mathias Ekström. 2012. Do watching eyes affect charitable giving? Evidence from a field experiment. *Experimental Economics* **15**:3, 530-546. [[Crossref](#)]
985. Bruce Lyons, Gordon Douglas Menzies, Daniel John Zizzo. 2012. Conflicting evidence and decisions by agency professionals: an experimental test in the context of merger regulation. *Theory and Decision* **73**:3, 465-499. [[Crossref](#)]
986. Lars Schwettmann. 2012. Competing allocation principles: time for compromise?. *Theory and Decision* **73**:3, 357-380. [[Crossref](#)]
987. Tore Ellingsen, Magnus Johannesson, Johanna Mollerstrom, Sara Munkhammar. 2012. Social framing effects: Preferences or beliefs?. *Games and Economic Behavior* **76**:1, 117-130. [[Crossref](#)]
988. Eric Cardella. 2012. Learning to make better strategic decisions. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* **84**:1, 382-392. [[Crossref](#)]
989. James Alm, Todd L. Cherry, Michael Jones, Michael McKee. 2012. Social programs as positive inducements for tax participation. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* **84**:1, 85-96. [[Crossref](#)]
990. BJÖRN VOLLAN. 2012. Weird reciprocity? A 'within-culture across-country' trust experiment and methodological implications. *Journal of Institutional Economics* **8**:3, 371-397. [[Crossref](#)]
991. Jack Vromen. 2012. Finding the right levers: the serious side of 'economics made fun'. *Journal of Economic Methodology* **19**:3, 199-217. [[Crossref](#)]
992. Samuel M. Hartzmark, David H. Solomon. 2012. Efficiency and the Disposition Effect in NFL Prediction Markets. *Quarterly Journal of Finance* **02**:03, 1250013. [[Crossref](#)]
993. Francisco J León, José A Noguera, Jordi Tena-Sánchez. 2012. How much would you like to pay? Trust, reciprocity and prosocial motivations in El trato. *Social Science Information* **51**:3, 389-417. [[Crossref](#)]
994. Gary Charness,, Matthias Sutter. 2012. Groups Make Better Self-Interested Decisions. *Journal of Economic Perspectives* **26**:3, 157-176. [[Abstract](#)] [[View PDF article](#)] [[PDF with links](#)]
995. Joachim Klement, Robin E. Miranda. 2012. Kicking the Habit: How Experience Determines Financial Risk Preferences. *The Journal of Wealth Management* **15**:2, 10-25. [[Crossref](#)]
996. B.P. Soh, W. Lee, P.L. Kench, W.M. Reed, M.F. McEntee, A. Poulos, P.C. Brennan. 2012. Assessing reader performance in radiology, an imperfect science: Lessons from breast screening. *Clinical Radiology* **67**:7, 623-628. [[Crossref](#)]
997. Abigail Barr, Marleen Dekker, Marcel Fafchamps. 2012. Who Shares Risk with Whom under Different Enforcement Mechanisms?. *Economic Development and Cultural Change* **60**:4, 677-706. [[Crossref](#)]
998. Xiaobo Lü, Kenneth Scheve, Matthew J. Slaughter. 2012. Inequity Aversion and the International Distribution of Trade Protection. *American Journal of Political Science* **56**:3, 638-654. [[Crossref](#)]
999. Twan Huybers, Jason Mazanov. 2012. What Would Kim Do: A Choice Study of Projected Athlete Doping Considerations. *Journal of Sport Management* **26**:4, 322-334. [[Crossref](#)]
1000. Samuel Bowles,, Sandra Polanía-Reyes. 2012. Economic Incentives and Social Preferences: Substitutes or Complements?. *Journal of Economic Literature* **50**:2, 368-425. [[Abstract](#)] [[View PDF article](#)] [[PDF with links](#)]
1001. Steffen Huck, Wieland Müller. 2012. Allais for all: Revisiting the paradox in a large representative sample. *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty* **44**:3, 261-293. [[Crossref](#)]
1002. Yannick Ferreira De Sousa, Alistair Munro. 2012. Truck, barter and exchange versus the endowment effect: Virtual field experiments in an online game environment. *Journal of Economic Psychology* **33**:3, 482-493. [[Crossref](#)]

1003. Devah Pager, Bruce Western. 2012. Identifying Discrimination at Work: The Use of Field Experiments. *Journal of Social Issues* **68**:2, 221-237. [[Crossref](#)]
1004. Franziska Barmettler, Ernst Fehr, Christian Zehnder. 2012. Big experimenter is watching you! Anonymity and prosocial behavior in the laboratory. *Games and Economic Behavior* **75**:1, 17-34. [[Crossref](#)]
1005. Donald V. Moser, Patrick R. Martin. 2012. A Broader Perspective on Corporate Social Responsibility Research in Accounting. *The Accounting Review* **87**:3, 797-806. [[Crossref](#)]
1006. . References 577-616. [[Crossref](#)]
1007. Kevin Holmes, Lisa Marriott, John Randal. 2012. Ethics and experiments in accounting. *Pacific Accounting Review* **24**:1, 80-100. [[Crossref](#)]
1008. Maxwell N. Burton-Chellew, Stuart A. West. 2012. Correlates of Cooperation in a One-Shot High-Stakes 'Televised Prisoners' Dilemma. *PLoS ONE* **7**:4, e33344. [[Crossref](#)]
1009. Veronika A. Andorfer, Ulf Liebe. 2012. Research on Fair Trade Consumption—A Review. *Journal of Business Ethics* **106**:4, 415-435. [[Crossref](#)]
1010. Aaron Nicholas. 2012. Fairness as a constraint on reciprocity: Playing simultaneously as dictator and trustee. *The Journal of Socio-Economics* **41**:2, 211-221. [[Crossref](#)]
1011. P. Edwards. 2012. Experimental economics and workplace behaviour: bridges over troubled methodological waters?. *Socio-Economic Review* **10**:2, 293-315. [[Crossref](#)]
1012. BART J. WILSON. 2012. Contra Private Fairness*. *American Journal of Economics and Sociology* **71**:2, 407-435. [[Crossref](#)]
1013. Maarten Voors, Ty Turley, Andreas Kontoleon, Erwin Bulte, John A. List. 2012. Exploring whether behavior in context-free experiments is predictive of behavior in the field: Evidence from lab and field experiments in rural Sierra Leone. *Economics Letters* **114**:3, 308-311. [[Crossref](#)]
1014. Roger Berger, Heiko Rauhut, Sandra Prade, Dirk Helbing. 2012. Bargaining over waiting time in ultimatum game experiments. *Social Science Research* **41**:2, 372-379. [[Crossref](#)]
1015. Shaun Hargreaves Heap, Arjan Verschoor, Daniel John Zizzo. 2012. A test of the experimental method in the spirit of Popper. *Journal of Economic Methodology* **19**:1, 63-76. [[Crossref](#)]
1016. Tomáš Buus. 2012. What is Self-Influential Economic Theory?. *European Financial and Accounting Journal* **7**:1, 28-40. [[Crossref](#)]
1017. David Gill,, Victoria Prowse. 2012. A Structural Analysis of Disappointment Aversion in a Real Effort Competition. *American Economic Review* **102**:1, 469-503. [[Abstract](#)] [[View PDF article](#)] [[PDF with links](#)]
1018. James Alm. 2012. Measuring, explaining, and controlling tax evasion: lessons from theory, experiments, and field studies. *International Tax and Public Finance* **19**:1, 54-77. [[Crossref](#)]
1019. Darren Hudson, R. Karina Gallardo, Terrill R. Hanson. 2012. A Comparison of Choice Experiments and Actual Grocery Store Behavior: An Empirical Application to Seafood Products. *Journal of Agricultural and Applied Economics* **44**:1, 49-62. [[Crossref](#)]
1020. Cecile Jackson. 2012. Internal and External Validity in Experimental Games: A Social Reality Check. *The European Journal of Development Research* **24**:1, 71-88. [[Crossref](#)]
1021. Pieter A. Gautier, Bas van der Klaauw. 2012. Selection in a field experiment with voluntary participation. *Journal of Applied Econometrics* **27**:1, 63-84. [[Crossref](#)]
1022. Victor J. Tremblay, Carol Horton Tremblay. Introductory Game Theory and Economic Information 55-100. [[Crossref](#)]
1023. John Kerr, Mamta Vardhan, Rohit Jindal. 2012. Prosocial behavior and incentives: Evidence from field experiments in rural Mexico and Tanzania. *Ecological Economics* **73**, 220-227. [[Crossref](#)]

1024. Cynthia E. Cryder, George Loewenstein. 2012. Responsibility: The tie that binds. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology* **48**:1, 441-445. [[Crossref](#)]
1025. Vera Angelova, Werner Güth, Martin G. Kocher. 2012. Co-employment of permanently and temporarily employed agents. *Labour Economics* **19**:1, 48-58. [[Crossref](#)]
1026. Joachim I. Krueger, Theresa E. DiDonato, David Freestone. 2012. Social Projection Can Solve Social Dilemmas. *Psychological Inquiry* **23**:1, 1-27. [[Crossref](#)]
1027. Claudia Toma, Karl-Andrew Woltin. 2012. Motivational and Contextual Considerations Concerning the Social Projection Hypothesis. *Psychological Inquiry* **23**:1, 69-74. [[Crossref](#)]
1028. MARIA CLAUDIA LOPEZ, JAMES J. MURPHY, JOHN M. SPRAGGON, JOHN K. STRANLUND. 2012. COMPARING THE EFFECTIVENESS OF REGULATION AND PRO-SOCIAL EMOTIONS TO ENHANCE COOPERATION: EXPERIMENTAL EVIDENCE FROM FISHING COMMUNITIES IN COLOMBIA. *Economic Inquiry* **50**:1, 131-142. [[Crossref](#)]
1029. Robert Hoffmann. 2012. THE EXPERIMENTAL ECONOMICS OF RELIGION. *Journal of Economic Surveys* **105**, no-no. [[Crossref](#)]
1030. David Cesarini, Magnus Johannesson, Patrik K. E. Magnusson, Björn Wallace. 2012. The Behavioral Genetics of Behavioral Anomalies. *Management Science* **58**:1, 21-34. [[Crossref](#)]
1031. Martijn J. van den Assem, Dennie van Dolder, Richard H. Thaler. 2012. Split or Steal? Cooperative Behavior When the Stakes Are Large. *Management Science* **58**:1, 2-20. [[Crossref](#)]
1032. Ayelet Gneezy, Alex Imas, Amber Brown, Leif D. Nelson, Michael I. Norton. 2012. Paying to Be Nice: Consistency and Costly Prosocial Behavior. *Management Science* **58**:1, 179-187. [[Crossref](#)]
1033. David Zetland, Marina Della Giusta. 2012. I See What You're Doing: The Role of Gender in Cooperation. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1034. Leif Brandes, Egon P. Franck. 2012. Social Preferences or Personal Career Concerns? Field Evidence on Positive and Negative Reciprocity in the Workplace. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1035. Joseph P. Simmons, Cade Massey. 2012. Is Optimism Real?. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1036. Philipp Herrmann, Dennis Kundisch, Mohammad Saifur Rahman. 2012. Beating Irrationality: Does Delegating to IT Alleviate the Sunk Cost Effect?. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1037. Ye Li, Margaret S. Lee. 2012. Incentivizing Workers Using Prosocial Motivations. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1038. Darwin Choi, Sam K. Hui. 2012. The Role of Surprise: Understanding Over- and Underreactions Using In-Play Soccer Betting. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1039. Janko Gorter, Paul Schilp. 2012. Risk Preferences Over Small Stakes: Evidence from Deductible Choice. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1040. Trond Døskeland, Lars Jacob Tynes Pedersen. 2012. Investing with Brain or Heart? A Field Experiment on Responsible Investment. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1041. David Bjerk. 2012. In Front of and Behind the Veil of Ignorance: An Analysis of Motivations for Redistribution Inside and Outside the Lab. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1042. Guido Baltussen, Martijn J. Van den Assem, Dennie Van Dolder. 2012. Risky Choice in the Limelight. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1043. Hans-Theo Normann, Till Requate, Israel Waichman. 2012. Do Short-Term Laboratory Experiments Provide Valid Descriptions of Long-Term Economic Interactions?. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1044. Marco Castillo, Ragan Petrie, Maximo A. Torero. 2012. Beautiful or White? Discrimination in Group Formation. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]

1045. Ricardo Nicolas Perez Truglia. 2012. Measuring Trust with Market Data. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1046. Luís P. Santos-Pinto, José Mata, Thomas B. Astebro. 2012. Risk Taking in Mixed Gambles. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1047. Philipp Doerrenberg, Denvil Duncan. 2012. Experimental Evidence on the Relationship between Tax Evasion Opportunities and Labor Supply. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1048. Philipp Doerrenberg, Denvil Duncan. 2012. Distributional Implications of Tax Evasion: Evidence from the Lab. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1049. Jing Cai, Changcheng Song. 2012. Insurance Take-Up in Rural China: Learning from Hypothetical Experience. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1050. Christopher T. Dawes, Magnus Johannesson, Erik Lindqvist, Peter John Loewen, Robert Ostling, Marianne Bonde, Frida Priks. 2012. Generosity and Political Preferences. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1051. Philipp Schliffke. 2012. Game Specific Social Preferences: Different Types and a Canceling-Out Effect. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1052. Toshihiro Uchida. 2012. Fairness Norms and the Incidence of Environmental Subsidy. *Modern Economy* **03**:06, 786-792. [[Crossref](#)]
1053. Ananish Chaudhuri. Chapter 2 Gender and Corruption: A Survey of the Experimental Evidence 13-49. [[Crossref](#)]
1054. Olivier Armantier, Amadou Boly. Chapter 5 On the External Validity of Laboratory Experiments on Corruption 117-144. [[Crossref](#)]
1055. David H. Herberich, John A. List. 2012. Digging into Background Risk: Experiments with Farmers and Students. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics* **94**:2, 457-463. [[Crossref](#)]
1056. Daniel Serra. 2012. Principes méthodologiques et pratiques de l'économie expérimentale : une vue d'ensemble. *Revue de philosophie économique* **13**:1, 21. [[Crossref](#)]
1057. Souleiman Naciri, Min-Jung Yoo, Rémy Glardon. Using Serious Games for Collecting and Modeling Human Procurement Decisions in a Supply Chain Context 135-156. [[Crossref](#)]
1058. Arnald J. Kanning, Walter Kanning. 2012. Fairness Preferences in Distributive Bargaining. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1059. Nisvan Erkal,, Lata Gangadharan,, Nikos Nikiforakis. 2011. Relative Earnings and Giving in a Real-Effort Experiment. *American Economic Review* **101**:7, 3330-3348. [[Abstract](#)] [[View PDF article](#)] [[PDF with links](#)]
1060. Steffen Andersen,, Seda Ertaç,, Uri Gneezy,, Moshe Hoffman,, John A. List. 2011. Stakes Matter in Ultimatum Games. *American Economic Review* **101**:7, 3427-3439. [[Abstract](#)] [[View PDF article](#)] [[PDF with links](#)]
1061. Quang Nguyen. 2011. Does nurture matter: Theory and experimental investigation on the effect of working environment on risk and time preferences. *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty* **43**:3, 245-270. [[Crossref](#)]
1062. Olivier Armantier, Amadou Boly. 2011. A controlled field experiment on corruption. *European Economic Review* **55**:8, 1072-1082. [[Crossref](#)]
1063. Kewen Wu, Yuxiang Zhao, Qinghua Zhu, Xiaojie Tan, Hua Zheng. 2011. A meta-analysis of the impact of trust on technology acceptance model: Investigation of moderating influence of subject and context type. *International Journal of Information Management* **31**:6, 572-581. [[Crossref](#)]

1064. Niclas Berggren, Christian Bjørnskov. 2011. Is the importance of religion in daily life related to social trust? Cross-country and cross-state comparisons. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* **80**:3, 459-480. [[Crossref](#)]
1065. Pallab Mozumder, Robert P. Berrens. 2011. Social context, financial stakes and hypothetical bias: an induced value referendum experiment. *Applied Economics* **43**:29, 4487-4499. [[Crossref](#)]
1066. John A. List, Sally Sadoff, Mathis Wagner. 2011. So you want to run an experiment, now what? Some simple rules of thumb for optimal experimental design. *Experimental Economics* **14**:4, 439-457. [[Crossref](#)]
1067. Christoph Engel. 2011. Dictator games: a meta study. *Experimental Economics* **14**:4, 583-610. [[Crossref](#)]
1068. Maik Dierkes, Alexander Klos, Thomas Langer. 2011. A note on representativeness and household finance. *Economics Letters* **113**:1, 62-64. [[Crossref](#)]
1069. Noel D. Johnson, Alexandra A. Mislin. 2011. Trust games: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Economic Psychology* **32**:5, 865-889. [[Crossref](#)]
1070. Pavel Atanasov, Jason Dana. 2011. Leveling the playing field: Dishonesty in the face of threat. *Journal of Economic Psychology* **32**:5, 809-817. [[Crossref](#)]
1071. Hunt Allcott. 2011. Social norms and energy conservation. *Journal of Public Economics* **95**:9-10, 1082-1095. [[Crossref](#)]
1072. Richard E. Just. 2011. Behavior, Robustness, and Sufficient Statistics in Welfare Measurement. *Annual Review of Resource Economics* **3**:1, 37-70. [[Crossref](#)]
1073. Dan Kirk, Peter M. Gollwitzer, Peter J. Carnevale. 2011. Self-Regulation in Ultimatum Bargaining: Goals and Plans Help Accepting Unfair but Profitable Offers. *Social Cognition* **29**:5, 528-546. [[Crossref](#)]
1074. Loren King. 2011. Exploitation and Rational Choice. *Canadian Journal of Political Science* **44**:3, 635-661. [[Crossref](#)]
1075. María Jiménez-Buedo. 2011. Conceptual tools for assessing experiments: some well-entrenched confusions regarding the internal/external validity distinction. *Journal of Economic Methodology* **18**:3, 271-282. [[Crossref](#)]
1076. Stéphan Marette, Jutta Roosen, Sandrine Blanchemanche. 2011. The Combination of Lab and Field Experiments for Benefit-Cost Analysis. *Journal of Benefit-Cost Analysis* **2**:3, 1-36. [[Crossref](#)]
1077. Robert Östling,, Joseph Tao-yi Wang,, Eileen Y. Chou,, Colin F. Camerer. 2011. Testing Game Theory in the Field: Swedish LUPI Lottery Games. *American Economic Journal: Microeconomics* **3**:3, 1-33. [[Abstract](#)] [[View PDF article](#)] [[PDF with links](#)]
1078. Björn Tyrefors Hinnerich, Erik Höglén, Magnus Johannesson. 2011. Are boys discriminated in Swedish high schools?. *Economics of Education Review* **30**:4, 682-690. [[Crossref](#)]
1079. Moses Shayo, Asaf Zussman. 2011. Judicial Ingroup Bias in the Shadow of Terrorism *. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics* **126**:3, 1447-1484. [[Crossref](#)]
1080. Mitchell Hoffman. 2011. Does Higher Income Make You More Altruistic? Evidence from the Holocaust. *Review of Economics and Statistics* **93**:3, 876-887. [[Crossref](#)]
1081. Gabriella Conti, Stephen Pudney. 2011. Survey Design and the Analysis of Satisfaction. *Review of Economics and Statistics* **93**:3, 1087-1093. [[Crossref](#)]
1082. A. Tavoni, A. Dannenberg, G. Kallis, A. Loschel. 2011. Inequality, communication, and the avoidance of disastrous climate change in a public goods game. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **108**:29, 11825-11829. [[Crossref](#)]

1083. D. Baldassarri, G. Grossman. 2011. Centralized sanctioning and legitimate authority promote cooperation in humans. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **108**:27, 11023-11027. [[Crossref](#)]
1084. James Alm, Benno Torgler. 2011. Do Ethics Matter? Tax Compliance and Morality. *Journal of Business Ethics* **101**:4, 635-651. [[Crossref](#)]
1085. T.K. Ahn, Elinor Ostrom, James Walker. 2011. Reprint of: A common-pool resource experiment with postgraduate subjects from 41 countries. *Ecological Economics* **70**:9, 1580-1589. [[Crossref](#)]
1086. Daniel Castillo, François Bousquet, Marco A. Janssen, Kobchai Worrapimphong, Juan Camillo Cardenas. 2011. Context matters to explain field experiments: Results from Colombian and Thai fishing villages. *Ecological Economics* **70**:9, 1609-1620. [[Crossref](#)]
1087. Stuart A. West, Claire El Mouden, Andy Gardner. 2011. Sixteen common misconceptions about the evolution of cooperation in humans. *Evolution and Human Behavior* **32**:4, 231-262. [[Crossref](#)]
1088. Edwin Leuven, Hessel Oosterbeek, Joep Sonnemans, Bas van der Klaauw. 2011. Incentives versus Sorting in Tournaments: Evidence from a Field Experiment. *Journal of Labor Economics* **29**:3, 637-658. [[Crossref](#)]
1089. Cecile Jackson. 2011. Research with experimental games. *Progress in Development Studies* **11**:3, 229-241. [[Crossref](#)]
1090. John Duffy. 2011. Trust in Second Life. *Southern Economic Journal* **78**:1, 53-62. [[Crossref](#)]
1091. K. L. Milkman, J. Beshears, J. J. Choi, D. Laibson, B. C. Madrian. 2011. Using implementation intentions prompts to enhance influenza vaccination rates. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **108**:26, 10415-10420. [[Crossref](#)]
1092. S. DellaVigna. 2011. Psychology and Economics: Evidence from the Field. Part III. Nonstandard Decision Making and Market Response. *Voprosy Ekonomiki* :6, 82-106. [[Crossref](#)]
1093. Gabriel Katz, R. Michael Alvarez, Ernesto Calvo, Marcelo Escobar, Julia Pomares. 2011. Assessing the Impact of Alternative Voting Technologies on Multi-Party Elections: Design Features, Heuristic Processing and Voter Choice. *Political Behavior* **33**:2, 247-270. [[Crossref](#)]
1094. Stephanie H. Fay, Graham Finlayson. 2011. Negative affect-induced food intake in non-dieting women is reward driven and associated with restrained–disinhibited eating subtype. *Appetite* **56**:3, 682-688. [[Crossref](#)]
1095. Ben Greiner, Axel Ockenfels, Peter Werner. 2011. Wage transparency and performance: A real-effort experiment. *Economics Letters* **111**:3, 236-238. [[Crossref](#)]
1096. Johann Graf Lambsdorff, Björn Frank. 2011. Corrupt reciprocity – Experimental evidence on a men's game. *International Review of Law and Economics* **31**:2, 116-125. [[Crossref](#)]
1097. Dean Karlan, John A. List, Eldar Shafir. 2011. Small matches and charitable giving: Evidence from a natural field experiment. *Journal of Public Economics* **95**:5-6, 344-350. [[Crossref](#)]
1098. Glenn W. Harrison. 2011. The methodological promise of experimental economics. *Journal of Economic Methodology* **18**:2, 183-187. [[Crossref](#)]
1099. Zheng Cao, Joseph Price, Daniel F. Stone. 2011. Performance Under Pressure in the NBA. *Journal of Sports Economics* **12**:3, 231-252. [[Crossref](#)]
1100. Omar Al-Ubaydli,, Min Lee. 2011. Can Tailored Communications Motivate Environmental Volunteers? A Natural Field Experiment. *American Economic Review* **101**:3, 323-328. [[Abstract](#)] [[View PDF article](#)] [[PDF with links](#)]
1101. Maarten Voors,, Erwin Bulte,, Andreas Kontoleon,, John A. List,, Ty Turley. 2011. Using Artefactual Field Experiments to Learn about the Incentives for Sustainable Forest Use in Developing Economies. *American Economic Review* **101**:3, 329-333. [[Abstract](#)] [[View PDF article](#)] [[PDF with links](#)]

1102. Pamela Jakiela. 2011. Social Preferences and Fairness Norms as Informal Institutions: Experimental Evidence. *American Economic Review* **101**:3, 509-513. [[Abstract](#)] [[View PDF article](#)] [[PDF with links](#)]
1103. Amadou Boly. 2011. On the incentive effects of monitoring: evidence from the lab and the field. *Experimental Economics* **14**:2, 241-253. [[Crossref](#)]
1104. John A. List, Charles F. Mason. 2011. Are CEOs expected utility maximizers?. *Journal of Econometrics* **162**:1, 114-123. [[Crossref](#)]
1105. Phillipa Caudwell, Catherine Gibbons, Mark Hopkins, Erik Naslund, Neil King, Graham Finlayson, John Blundell. 2011. The influence of physical activity on appetite control: an experimental system to understand the relationship between exercise-induced energy expenditure and energy intake. *Proceedings of the Nutrition Society* **70**:2, 171-180. [[Crossref](#)]
1106. S. DellaVigna. 2011. Psychology and Economics: Evidence from the Field. Part I: Nonstandard Preferences (Introduction by S. Pyastolov). *Voprosy Ekonomiki* :4, 47-77. [[Crossref](#)]
1107. María Valle Santos Álvarez, María Teresa García Merino, Eleuterio Vallelado González. 2011. La percepción directiva: influencia del perfil cognitivo y de factores contextuales. *Cuadernos de Economía y Dirección de la Empresa* **14**:2, 67-77. [[Crossref](#)]
1108. Nick Feltovich. 2011. WHAT'S TO KNOW ABOUT LABORATORY EXPERIMENTATION IN ECONOMICS?. *Journal of Economic Surveys* **25**:2, 371-379. [[Crossref](#)]
1109. Henk Folmer, Olof Johansson-Stenman. 2011. Does Environmental Economics Produce Aeroplanes Without Engines? On the Need for an Environmental Social Science. *Environmental and Resource Economics* **48**:3, 337-361. [[Crossref](#)]
1110. Sally Gainsbury, Alex Blaszczynski. 2011. The Appropriateness of Using Laboratories and Student Participants in Gambling Research. *Journal of Gambling Studies* **27**:1, 83-97. [[Crossref](#)]
1111. Peter Martinsson, Katarina Nordblom, Daniela Rützler, Matthias Sutter. 2011. Social preferences during childhood and the role of gender and age — An experiment in Austria and Sweden. *Economics Letters* **110**:3, 248-251. [[Crossref](#)]
1112. Joseph G. Eisenhauer, Doris Geide-Stevenson, David L. Ferro. 2011. Experimental Estimates of Taxpayer Ethics. *Review of Social Economy* **69**:1, 29-53. [[Crossref](#)]
1113. Floris Heukelom. 2011. How validity travelled to economic experimenting. *Journal of Economic Methodology* **18**:01, 13-28. [[Crossref](#)]
1114. Jayson L. Lusk, Brian C. Briggeman. 2011. Selfishness, altruism, and inequality aversion toward consumers and farmers. *Agricultural Economics* **42**:2, 121-139. [[Crossref](#)]
1115. Paul J. Zak. 2011. Moral markets. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* **77**:2, 212-233. [[Crossref](#)]
1116. Jeff Galak, Deborah Small, Andrew T. Stephen. 2011. Microfinance Decision Making: A Field Study of Prosocial Lending. *Journal of Marketing Research* **48**:SPL, S130-S137. [[Crossref](#)]
1117. Luigi Guiso, Paola Sapienza, Luigi Zingales. Civic Capital as the Missing Link 417-480. [[Crossref](#)]
1118. Mathilde Almlund, Angela Lee Duckworth, James Heckman, Tim Kautz. Personality Psychology and Economics 1-181. [[Crossref](#)]
1119. John A. List, Imran Rasul. Field Experiments in Labor Economics 103-228. [[Crossref](#)]
1120. Gary Charness, Peter Kuhn. Lab Labor: What Can Labor Economists Learn from the Lab? 229-330. [[Crossref](#)]
1121. Hans-Theo Normann, Bradley Ruffle. 2011. Introduction to the special issue on experiments in industrial organization. *International Journal of Industrial Organization* **29**:1, 1-3. [[Crossref](#)]
1122. Paul J. Zak. 2011. The physiology of moral sentiments. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* **77**:1, 53-65. [[Crossref](#)]

1123. Jan-Erik Lönngqvist, Markku Verkasalo, Gari Walkowitz. 2011. It pays to pay – Big Five personality influences on co-operative behaviour in an incentivized and hypothetical prisoner's dilemma game. *Personality and Individual Differences* 50:2, 300-304. [[Crossref](#)]
1124. Kim Bloomquist. 2011. Tax Compliance as an Evolutionary Coordination Game: An Agent-Based Approach. *Public Finance Review* 39:1, 25-49. [[Crossref](#)]
1125. Johannes Abeler, Steffen Altmann, Sebastian J. Goerg, Matthias Wibral. 2011. Equity and Efficiency in Multi-Worker Firms: Insights from Experimental Economics. *Analyse & Kritik* 33:1. . [[Crossref](#)]
1126. Patrick McAlvanah, Charles C. Moul. 2011. The House Doesn't Always Win: Evidence of Anchoring Among Australian Bookies. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1127. Sebastian Kube, Michel André Maréchal, Clemens Puppe. 2011. Do Wage Cuts Damage Work Morale? Evidence from a Natural Field Experiment. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1128. Jose Apesteguia, Patricia Funk, Nagore Iriberri. 2011. Promoting Rule Compliance in Daily-Life: Evidence from a Randomized Field Experiment in the Public Libraries of Barcelona. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1129. Amine Ouazad, Lionel Page. 2011. Students' Perceptions of Teacher Biases: Experimental Economics in Schools. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1130. Mark A. Zupan. 2011. The Virtues of Free Markets. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1131. Maria Bigoni, Margherita Fort, Mattia Nardotto, Tommaso Reggiani. 2011. Teams or Tournaments? A Field Experiment on Cooperation and Competition in Academic Achievement. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1132. Craig E. Landry, Andreas Lange, John A. List, Michael K. Price, Nicholas G. Rupp. 2011. Is There a 'Hidden Cost' of Control in Naturally-Occurring Markets? Evidence from a Natural Field Experiment. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1133. Sigbjørn Birkeland, Alexander W. Cappelen, Erik Sorensen, Bertil Tungodden. 2011. Immoral Criminals? An Experimental Study of Social Preferences Among Prisoners. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1134. Christian Ehm, Christine Kaufmann, Martin Weber. 2011. Investors Care About Risk, But Can't Cope with Volatility. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1135. Ryan Bubb, Alex Kaufman. 2011. Consumer Biases and Firm Ownership. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1136. Alexander L. Davis, John H. Miller, Roberto A. Weber. 2011. Generosity Across Contexts. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1137. Yuval Arbel, Danny Ben-Shahar, Stuart A. Gabriel. 2011. Anchoring and Housing Choice: Results of a Natural Policy Experiment. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1138. Colin F. Camerer. 2011. The Promise and Success of Lab-Field Generalizability in Experimental Economics: A Critical Reply to Levitt and List. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1139. Franziska Barmettler, Ernst Fehr, Christian Zehnder. 2011. Big Experimenter is Watching You! Anonymity and Prosocial Behavior in the Laboratory. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1140. Maria (Cuky) Perez. 2011. Inequity Aversion Differences: Experimental Evidence Among Prospective Teachers and Lawyers. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1141. Asad Zaman, Mehmet Karacuka. 2011. The Empirical Evidence Against Utility Maximization. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1142. Alexander Morell. 2011. Behavioral Antitrust and Merger Control: Comment. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]

1143. Nava Ashraf,, James Berry,, Jesse M. Shapiro. 2010. Can Higher Prices Stimulate Product Use? Evidence from a Field Experiment in Zambia. *American Economic Review* **100**:5, 2383-2413. [[Abstract](#)] [[View PDF article](#)] [[PDF with links](#)]
1144. Reviva Hasson, Åsa Löfgren, Martine Visser. 2010. Climate change in a public goods game: Investment decision in mitigation versus adaptation. *Ecological Economics* **70**:2, 331-338. [[Crossref](#)]
1145. Mirco Tonin, Michael Vlassopoulos. 2010. Disentangling the sources of pro-socially motivated effort: A field experiment. *Journal of Public Economics* **94**:11-12, 1086-1092. [[Crossref](#)]
1146. Tomas Dvorak, Henry Hanley. 2010. Financial literacy and the design of retirement plans. *The Journal of Socio-Economics* **39**:6, 645-652. [[Crossref](#)]
1147. Fredrik Carlsson, Jorge H. García, Åsa Löfgren. 2010. Conformity and the Demand for Environmental Goods. *Environmental and Resource Economics* **47**:3, 407-421. [[Crossref](#)]
1148. Andrew F. Reeson, John G. Tisdell. 2010. The Market Instinct: The Demise of Social Preferences for Self-Interest. *Environmental and Resource Economics* **47**:3, 439-453. [[Crossref](#)]
1149. Kenneth L. Leonard, Melkiory C. Masatu. 2010. Using the Hawthorne effect to examine the gap between a doctor's best possible practice and actual performance. *Journal of Development Economics* **93**:2, 226-234. [[Crossref](#)]
1150. Nicola Lacetera, Mario Macis. 2010. Social image concerns and prosocial behavior: Field evidence from a nonlinear incentive scheme. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* **76**:2, 225-237. [[Crossref](#)]
1151. T.K. Ahn, Elinor Ostrom, James Walker. 2010. A common-pool resource experiment with postgraduate subjects from 41 countries. *Ecological Economics* **69**:12, 2624-2633. [[Crossref](#)]
1152. Soosung Hwang, Steve E. Satchell. 2010. How loss averse are investors in financial markets?. *Journal of Banking & Finance* **34**:10, 2425-2438. [[Crossref](#)]
1153. DAVID CESARINI, MAGNUS JOHANNESSON, PAUL LICHTENSTEIN, ÖRJAN SANDEWALL, BJÖRN WALLACE. 2010. Genetic Variation in Financial Decision-Making. *The Journal of Finance* **65**:5, 1725-1754. [[Crossref](#)]
1154. Marco Castillo, Gregory Leo. 2010. Moral Hazard and Reciprocity. *Southern Economic Journal* **77**:2, 271-281. [[Crossref](#)]
1155. Werner Güth, Hartmut Kliemt. 2010. What ethics can learn from experimental economics — If anything. *European Journal of Political Economy* **26**:3, 302-310. [[Crossref](#)]
1156. Matt McGue, Merete Osler, Kaare Christensen. 2010. Causal Inference and Observational Research. *Perspectives on Psychological Science* **5**:5, 546-556. [[Crossref](#)]
1157. James Alm, Todd Cherry, Michael Jones, Michael McKee. 2010. Taxpayer information assistance services and tax compliance behavior. *Journal of Economic Psychology* **31**:4, 577-586. [[Crossref](#)]
1158. Xavier Giné,, Pamela Jakiela,, Dean Karlan,, Jonathan Morduch. 2010. Microfinance Games. *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics* **2**:3, 60-95. [[Abstract](#)] [[View PDF article](#)] [[PDF with links](#)]
1159. Pablo Brañas-Garza, Teresa García-Muñoz, Shoshana Neuman. 2010. The big carrot: High-stakes incentives revisited. *Journal of Behavioral Decision Making* **23**:3, 288-313. [[Crossref](#)]
1160. Stephen Easton, Katherine Uylangco. 2010. Forecasting outcomes in tennis matches using within-match betting markets. *International Journal of Forecasting* **26**:3, 564-575. [[Crossref](#)]
1161. Agnès Festré. 2010. INCENTIVES AND SOCIAL NORMS: A MOTIVATION-BASED ECONOMIC ANALYSIS OF SOCIAL NORMS. *Journal of Economic Surveys* **24**:3, 511-538. [[Crossref](#)]
1162. Thomas Dohmen,, Armin Falk,, David Huffman,, Uwe Sunde. 2010. Are Risk Aversion and Impatience Related to Cognitive Ability?. *American Economic Review* **100**:3, 1238-1260. [[Abstract](#)] [[View PDF article](#)] [[PDF with links](#)]

1163. Craig E. Landry,, Andreas Lange,, John A. List,, Michael K. Price,, Nicholas G. Rupp. 2010. Is a Donor in Hand Better than Two in the Bush? Evidence from a Natural Field Experiment. *American Economic Review* **100**:3, 958-983. [[Abstract](#)] [[View PDF article](#)] [[PDF with links](#)]
1164. Cameron Hepburn, Stephen Duncan, Antonis Papachristodoulou. 2010. Behavioural Economics, Hyperbolic Discounting and Environmental Policy. *Environmental and Resource Economics* **46**:2, 189-206. [[Crossref](#)]
1165. Fredrik Carlsson. 2010. Design of Stated Preference Surveys: Is There More to Learn from Behavioral Economics?. *Environmental and Resource Economics* **46**:2, 167-177. [[Crossref](#)]
1166. Johann Graf Lambsdorff, Björn Frank. 2010. Bribing versus gift-giving – An experiment. *Journal of Economic Psychology* **31**:3, 347-357. [[Crossref](#)]
1167. Joseph Henrich, Steven J. Heine, Ara Norenzayan. 2010. Beyond WEIRD: Towards a broad-based behavioral science. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences* **33**:2-3, 111-135. [[Crossref](#)]
1168. Brian T Kench, Neil B Niman. 2010. Of Altruists and Thieves. *Eastern Economic Journal* **36**:3, 317-343. [[Crossref](#)]
1169. R. Kummerli, M. N. Burton-Chellew, A. Ross-Gillespie, S. A. West. 2010. Resistance to extreme strategies, rather than prosocial preferences, can explain human cooperation in public goods games. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **107**:22, 10125-10130. [[Crossref](#)]
1170. Moana Vercoe, Paul J. Zak. 2010. Inductive modeling using causal studies in neuroeconomics: brains on drugs. *Journal of Economic Methodology* **17**:2, 133-146. [[Crossref](#)]
1171. Jack Vromen. 2010. Where economics and neuroscience might meet. *Journal of Economic Methodology* **17**:2, 171-183. [[Crossref](#)]
1172. Manuel Souto-Otero. 2010. Education, meritocracy and redistribution. *Journal of Education Policy* **25**:3, 397-413. [[Crossref](#)]
1173. Pat Auger, Timothy M. Devinney, Jordan J. Louviere, Paul F. Burke. 2010. The importance of social product attributes in consumer purchasing decisions: A multi-country comparative study. *International Business Review* **19**:2, 140-159. [[Crossref](#)]
1174. James Konow. 2010. Mixed feelings: Theories of and evidence on giving. *Journal of Public Economics* **94**:3-4, 279-297. [[Crossref](#)]
1175. Kirsten Bregn. 2010. The Logic of the New Pay Systems Revisited–in the Light of Experimental and Behavioral Economics. *International Journal of Public Administration* **33**:4, 161-168. [[Crossref](#)]
1176. Daniel John Zizzo. 2010. Experimenter demand effects in economic experiments. *Experimental Economics* **13**:1, 75-98. [[Crossref](#)]
1177. Stacey L. Rucas, Michael Gurven, Hillard Kaplan, Jeffrey Winking. 2010. The Social Strategy Game. *Human Nature* **21**:1, 1-18. [[Crossref](#)]
1178. Andreas Lange, Anmol Ratan. 2010. Multi-dimensional reference-dependent preferences in sealed-bid auctions – How (most) laboratory experiments differ from the field. *Games and Economic Behavior* **68**:2, 634-645. [[Crossref](#)]
1179. Marc Hooghe, Dietlind Stolle, Valérie-Anne Mahéo, Sara Vissers. 2010. Why Can't a Student Be More Like an Average Person?: Sampling and Attrition Effects in Social Science Field and Laboratory Experiments. *The ANNALS of the American Academy of Political and Social Science* **628**:1, 85-96. [[Crossref](#)]
1180. Fernando Martel Garcia, Leonard Wantchekon. 2010. Theory, External Validity, and Experimental Inference: Some Conjectures. *The ANNALS of the American Academy of Political and Social Science* **628**:1, 132-147. [[Crossref](#)]

1181. Jacob K. Goeree,, Margaret A. McConnell,, Tiffany Mitchell,, Tracey Tromp,, Leeat Yariv. 2010. The 1/d Law of Giving. *American Economic Journal: Microeconomics* 2:1, 183-203. [[Abstract](#)] [[View PDF article](#)] [[PDF with links](#)]
1182. Thomas S. Huddle. 2010. The Pitfalls of Deducing Ethics From Behavioral Economics: Why the Association of American Medical Colleges Is Wrong About Pharmaceutical Detailing. *The American Journal of Bioethics* 10:1, 1-8. [[Crossref](#)]
1183. Céline Michaud, Daniel Llerena. 2010. Green consumer behaviour: an experimental analysis of willingness to pay for remanufactured products. *Business Strategy and the Environment* 54, n/a-n/a. [[Crossref](#)]
1184. Fredrik Carlsson, Dinky Daruvala, Henrik Jaldell. 2010. Do you do what you say or do you do what you say others do?. *Journal of Choice Modelling* 3:2, 113-133. [[Crossref](#)]
1185. Carina Cavalcanti, Felix Schläpfer, Bernhard Schmid. 2010. Public participation and willingness to cooperate in common-pool resource management: A field experiment with fishing communities in Brazil. *Ecological Economics* 69:3, 613-622. [[Crossref](#)]
1186. Marco Castillo, Ragan Petrie. 2010. Discrimination in the lab: Does information trump appearance?. *Games and Economic Behavior* 68:1, 50-59. [[Crossref](#)]
1187. Julian Rode. 2010. Truth and trust in communication: Experiments on the effect of a competitive context. *Games and Economic Behavior* 68:1, 325-338. [[Crossref](#)]
1188. Daniel Houser, Erte Xiao. 2010. Understanding context effects. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* 73:1, 58-61. [[Crossref](#)]
1189. Rachel Croson, Simon Gächter. 2010. The science of experimental economics. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* 73:1, 122-131. [[Crossref](#)]
1190. Maroš Servátka. 2010. Does generosity generate generosity? An experimental study of reputation effects in a dictator game. *The Journal of Socio-Economics* 39:1, 11-17. [[Crossref](#)]
1191. John A. List, David Reiley. field experiments 151-156. [[Crossref](#)]
1192. John A. List, David Reiley. Field Experiments 53-58. [[Crossref](#)]
1193. Johan Almenberg, Anna Dreber. 2010. When Does the Price Affect the Taste? Results from a Wine Experiment. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1194. Trond Døskeland, Finn Kinserdal. 2010. How do Analysts Process Pension Information?. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1195. Amir Barnea, Henrik Cronqvist, Stephan Siegel. 2010. Nature or Nurture: What Determines Investor Behavior?. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1196. Xiaobo Lu, Kenneth F. Scheve, Matthew J. Slaughter. 2010. Envy, Altruism and the International Distribution of Trade Protection. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1197. Nicole M. Baran, Paola Sapienza, Luigi Zingales. 2010. Can We Infer Social Preferences from the Lab? Evidence from the Trust Game. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1198. Luis Roberto Martinez A., Christian R. Jaramillo, Nicolas De Roux, Juan-Camilo Cárdenas. 2010. It's Not My Money: An Experiment on Risk Aversion and the House-Money Effect. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1199. Christoph Engel. 2010. Dictator Games: A Meta Study. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1200. Luigi Guiso, Paola Sapienza, Luigi Zingales. 2010. Civic Capital as the Missing Link. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1201. Roger David Berger, Heiko Rauhut, Sandra Prade, Dirk Helbing. 2010. Bargaining Over Time in Ultimatum Game Experiments. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]

1202. Benjamin Feigenberg, Erica Field, Rohini Pande. 2010. Building Social Capital Through Microfinance. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1203. Jeff Galak, Deborah A. Small, Andrew T. Stephen. 2010. Micro-Finance Decision Making: A Field Study of Prosocial Lending. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1204. John F. Pfaff. 2010. A Plea for More Aggregation: The Looming Threat to Empirical Legal Scholarship. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1205. Amitrajeet A. Batabyal, Peter Nijkamp. 2010. Introduction to Research Tools in Natural Resource and Environmental Economics. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1206. Blair Llewellyn Cleave, Nikos Nikiforakis, Robert Slonim. 2010. Is There Selection Bias in Laboratory Experiments?. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1207. Robin M. Hogarth, Marie-Claire Villeval. 2010. Intermittent Reinforcement and the Persistence of Behavior: Experimental Evidence. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1208. Thomas Buser. 2010. Handedness Predicts Social Preferences: Evidence Connecting the Lab to the Field. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1209. Omar Al-Ubaydli, Peter J. Boettke. 2010. Markets as Economizers of Information: Field Experimental Examination of the 'Hayek Hypothesis'. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1210. Richard Friberg, Mark Sanctuary. 2010. Does Stated Purchasing Behavior Predict Actual Behavior?. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1211. Jonathan E. Alevy, Craig E. Landry, John A. List. 2010. Field Experiments on Anchoring of Economic Valuations. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1212. Cecile Jackson. 2009. Researching the Researched: Gender, Reflexivity and Actor-Oriented in an Experimental Game. *The European Journal of Development Research* **21**:5, 772-791. [[Crossref](#)]
1213. Stephen Toler, Brian C. Briggeman, Jayson L. Lusk, Damian C. Adams. 2009. Fairness, Farmers Markets, and Local Production. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics* **91**:5, 1272-1278. [[Crossref](#)]
1214. David R. Just, Steven Y. Wu. 2009. Experimental Economics and the Economics of Contracts. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics* **91**:5, 1382-1388. [[Crossref](#)]
1215. Anthony M. Evans, Joachim I. Krueger. 2009. The Psychology (and Economics) of Trust. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass* **3**:6, 1003-1017. [[Crossref](#)]
1216. Rockoff Jonah. 2009. Field Experiments in Class Size from the Early Twentieth Century. *Journal of Economic Perspectives* **23**:4, 211-230. [[Abstract](#)] [[View PDF article](#)] [[PDF with links](#)]
1217. Riccardo Boero, Giangiacomo Bravo, Marco Castellani, Francesco Laganà, Flaminio Squazzoni. 2009. Pillars of Trust: An Experimental Study on Reputation and Its Effects. *Sociological Research Online* **14**:5, 49-67. [[Crossref](#)]
1218. Mahmud Yesuf, Randall A. Bluffstone. 2009. Poverty, Risk Aversion, and Path Dependence in Low-Income Countries: Experimental Evidence from Ethiopia. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics* **91**:4, 1022-1037. [[Crossref](#)]
1219. Marina Fiedler, Ernan Haruvy. 2009. The lab versus the virtual lab and virtual field—An experimental investigation of trust games with communication. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* **72**:2, 716-724. [[Crossref](#)]
1220. A. Falk, J. J. Heckman. 2009. Lab Experiments Are a Major Source of Knowledge in the Social Sciences. *Science* **326**:5952, 535-538. [[Crossref](#)]
1221. Juan Camilo Cárdenas. 2009. Experiments in Environment and Development. *Annual Review of Resource Economics* **1**:1, 157-182. [[Crossref](#)]

1222. Christopher Timmins, Wolfram Schlenker. 2009. Reduced-Form Versus Structural Modeling in Environmental and Resource Economics. *Annual Review of Resource Economics* 1:1, 351-380. [[Crossref](#)]
1223. Terence C. Burnham, David Cesarini, Magnus Johannesson, Paul Lichtenstein, Björn Wallace. 2009. Higher cognitive ability is associated with lower entries in a p-beauty contest. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* 72:1, 171-175. [[Crossref](#)]
1224. Abigail Barr, Magnus Lindelow, Pieter Serneels. 2009. Corruption in public service delivery: An experimental analysis. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* 72:1, 225-239. [[Crossref](#)]
1225. Jen Shang, Rachel Croson. 2009. A Field Experiment in Charitable Contribution: The Impact of Social Information on the Voluntary Provision of Public Goods. *The Economic Journal* 119:540, 1422-1439. [[Crossref](#)]
1226. John Ermisch, Diego Gambetta, Heather Laurie, Thomas Siedler, S. C. Noah Uhrig. 2009. Measuring people's trust. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series A (Statistics in Society)* 172:4, 749-769. [[Crossref](#)]
1227. John A. List. 2009. Social Preferences: Some Thoughts from the Field. *Annual Review of Economics* 1:1, 563-579. [[Crossref](#)]
1228. Ernst Fehr, Lorenz Goette, Christian Zehnder. 2009. A Behavioral Account of the Labor Market: The Role of Fairness Concerns. *Annual Review of Economics* 1:1, 355-384. [[Crossref](#)]
1229. Ori Heffetz, Moses Shayo. 2009. How Large Are Non-Budget-Constraint Effects of Prices on Demand?. *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics* 1:4, 170-199. [[Abstract](#)] [[View PDF article](#)] [[PDF with links](#)]
1230. Jayson L. Lusk, F. Bailey Norwood. 2009. Bridging the gap between laboratory experiments and naturally occurring markets: An inferred valuation method. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management* 58:2, 236-250. [[Crossref](#)]
1231. Daniel M.T. Fessler. 2009. Return of the lost letter. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* 71:2, 575-578. [[Crossref](#)]
1232. Heiko Rauhut. 2009. Higher Punishment, Less Control?. *Rationality and Society* 21:3, 359-392. [[Crossref](#)]
1233. Jeffrey C. Ely, Tanjim Hossain. 2009. Sniping and Squatting in Auction Markets. *American Economic Journal: Microeconomics* 1:2, 68-94. [[Abstract](#)] [[View PDF article](#)] [[PDF with links](#)]
1234. Julian Jamison, Dean S. Karlan. 2009. When curiosity kills the profits: An experimental examination. *Games and Economic Behavior* 66:2, 830-840. [[Crossref](#)]
1235. James A. Sundali, Federico Guerrero. 2009. Managing a 401(k) Account: An Experiment on Asset Allocation. *Journal of Behavioral Finance* 10:2, 108-124. [[Crossref](#)]
1236. David Masclet, Nathalie Colombier, Laurent Denant-Boemont, Youenn Lohéac. 2009. Group and individual risk preferences: A lottery-choice experiment with self-employed and salaried workers. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* 70:3, 470-484. [[Crossref](#)]
1237. Maria Alejandra Velez, John K. Stranlund, James J. Murphy. 2009. What motivates common pool resource users? Experimental evidence from the field. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* 70:3, 485-497. [[Crossref](#)]
1238. Ronald G. Cummings, Jorge Martinez-Vazquez, Michael McKee, Benno Torgler. 2009. Tax morale affects tax compliance: Evidence from surveys and an artefactual field experiment. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* 70:3, 447-457. [[Crossref](#)]
1239. Stephen Burks, Jeffrey Carpenter, Lorenz Goette. 2009. Performance pay and worker cooperation: Evidence from an artefactual field experiment. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* 70:3, 458-469. [[Crossref](#)]

1240. John A. List. 2009. An introduction to field experiments in economics. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* **70**:3, 439-442. [[Crossref](#)]
1241. David Cesarini, Christopher T. Dawes, Magnus Johannesson, Paul Lichtenstein, Björn Wallace. 2009. Experimental Game Theory and Behavior Genetics. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* **1167**:1, 66-75. [[Crossref](#)]
1242. Stefano DellaVigna. 2009. Psychology and Economics: Evidence from the Field. *Journal of Economic Literature* **47**:2, 315-372. [[Abstract](#)] [[View PDF article](#)] [[PDF with links](#)]
1243. Rachel Croson,, Uri Gneezy. 2009. Gender Differences in Preferences. *Journal of Economic Literature* **47**:2, 448-474. [[Abstract](#)] [[View PDF article](#)] [[PDF with links](#)]
1244. Jae Bong Chang, Jayson L. Lusk, F. Bailey Norwood. 2009. How Closely Do Hypothetical Surveys and Laboratory Experiments Predict Field Behavior?. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics* **91**:2, 518-534. [[Crossref](#)]
1245. S.-W. Wu, M. R. Delgado, L. T. Maloney. 2009. Economic decision-making compared with an equivalent motor task. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **106**:15, 6088-6093. [[Crossref](#)]
1246. B. Kelsey Jack. 2009. Upstream-downstream transactions and watershed externalities: Experimental evidence from Kenya. *Ecological Economics* **68**:6, 1813-1824. [[Crossref](#)]
1247. Brian E. Roe, Timothy C. Haab, David Q. Beversdorf, Howard H. Gu, Michael R. Tilley. 2009. Risk-attitude selection bias in subject pools for experiments involving neuroimaging and blood samples. *Journal of Economic Psychology* **30**:2, 181-189. [[Crossref](#)]
1248. Christina M. Fong,, Erzo F. P. Luttmer. 2009. What Determines Giving to Hurricane Katrina Victims? Experimental Evidence on Racial Group Loyalty. *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics* **1**:2, 64-87. [[Abstract](#)] [[View PDF article](#)] [[PDF with links](#)]
1249. Dmitry A. Shapiro. 2009. The role of utility interdependence in public good experiments. *International Journal of Game Theory* **38**:1, 81-106. [[Crossref](#)]
1250. Abigail Barr, Pieter Serneels. 2009. Reciprocity in the workplace. *Experimental Economics* **12**:1, 99-112. [[Crossref](#)]
1251. Anna Dreber, Coren L. Apicella, Dan T.A. Eisenberg, Justin R. Garcia, Richard S. Zamore, J. Koji Lum, Benjamin Campbell. 2009. The 7R polymorphism in the dopamine receptor D4 gene (DRD4) is associated with financial risk taking in men. *Evolution and Human Behavior* **30**:2, 85-92. [[Crossref](#)]
1252. Pekka Ahtiala. 2009. When is money neutral under flexible exchange rates?. *International Review of Economics & Finance* **18**:2, 318-326. [[Crossref](#)]
1253. Katherine Silz Carson, Susan M. Chilton, W. George Hutchinson. 2009. Necessary conditions for demand revelation in double referenda. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management* **57**:2, 219-225. [[Crossref](#)]
1254. 2009. Hysteresis and economics. *IEEE Control Systems* **29**:1, 30-43. [[Crossref](#)]
1255. Jim Engle-Warnick, Javier Escobal, Sonia Laszlo. 2009. How do additional alternatives affect individual choice under uncertainty?. *Canadian Journal of Economics/Revue canadienne d'économique* **42**:1, 113-140. [[Crossref](#)]
1256. Kate Antonovics, Peter Arcidiacono, Randall Walsh. 2009. The Effects of Gender Interactions in the Lab and in the Field. *Review of Economics and Statistics* **91**:1, 152-162. [[Crossref](#)]
1257. Antoinette Weibel. Kooperation und Engagement in der Arbeit 31-66. [[Crossref](#)]
1258. Steven D. Levitt, John A. List. 2009. Field experiments in economics: The past, the present, and the future. *European Economic Review* **53**:1, 1-18. [[Crossref](#)]

1259. Stephen M. Fiore, Glenn W. Harrison, Charles E. Hughes, E. Elisabet Rutström. 2009. Virtual experiments and environmental policy. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management* 57:1, 65-86. [[Crossref](#)]
1260. Christopher W. Koch, Jens Wüstemann. 2009. A Review of Bias Research in Auditing: Opportunities for Integrating Experimental Psychology and Experimental Economics. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1261. Ori Heffetz, Moses Shayo. 2009. How Large are Non-Budget-Constraint Effects of Prices on Demand?. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1262. Pat Auger, Timothy M. Devinney, Jordan J. J. Louviere, Paul F. Burke. 2009. The Importance of Social Product Attributes in Consumer Purchasing Decisions: A Multi-Country Comparative Study. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1263. Christopher F. Parmeter, Jaren C. Pope. 2009. Quasi-Experiments and Hedonic Property Value Methods. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1264. Benjamin J. Gillen. 2009. Identification and Estimation of Level-K Auctions. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1265. Stefania Bortolotti, Giovanna M. Devetag, Andreas Ortmann. 2009. Exploring the Effects of Real Effort in a Weak-Link Experiment. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1266. Michael Naef, Jürgen Schupp. 2009. Measuring Trust: Experiments and Surveys in Contrast and Combination. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1267. Paul J. Zak, Jorge A. Barraza. 2009. Empathy and Collective Action. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1268. David Dillenberger, Philipp Sadowski. 2009. Ashamed to Be Selfish, Second Version. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1269. Christoph Engel, Heike Hennig-Schmidt, Bernd Irlenbusch, Sebastian Kube. 2009. On Probation - An Experimental Analysis. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1270. Alexander S. Kritikos, Jonathan H. W. Tan. 2009. Indenture as a Self-Enforced Contract Device: An Experimental Test. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1271. Holger Stichnoth, Karine Van der Straeten. 2009. Ethnic Diversity and Attitudes Towards Redistribution: A Review of the Literature. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1272. John F. Pfaff. 2009. A Plea for More Aggregation: The Looming Threat to Empirical Legal Scholarship. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1273. Philip E. Graves. 2009. A Note on the Design of Experiments Involving Public Goods. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1274. Pablo Brañas-Garza, Juan-Camilo Cárdenas, Maximo Rossi. 2009. Gender, Education and Reciprocal Generosity: Evidence from 1,500 Experiment Subjects. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1275. Maria Claudia Lopez, James J. Murphy, John M. Spraggon, John Stranlund. 2009. Comparing the Effectiveness of Regulation and Pro-Social Emotions to Enhance Cooperation: Experimental Evidence from Fishing Communities in Colombia. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1276. Niclas Berggren, Christian Bjørnskov. 2009. Does Religiosity Promote or Discourage Social Trust? Evidence from Cross-Country and Cross-State Comparisons. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1277. Alberto Chong, Hugo Nopo, Vanessa Ríos. 2009. Do Welfare Programs Damage Interpersonal Trust? Experimental Evidence from Representative Samples for Four Latin American Cities. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]

1278. Angela de Oliveira, Rachel T. A. Croson, Catherine C. Eckel. 2009. Are Preferences Stable Across Domains? An Experimental Investigation of Social Preferences in the Field. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1279. Bernard J. (Jim) Jansen. 2009. Understanding User-Web Interactions via Web Analytics. *Synthesis Lectures on Information Concepts, Retrieval, and Services* 1:1, 1-102. [[Crossref](#)]
1280. Marc Ohana. 2009. La réciprocité sur le marché du travail : les limites du laboratoire. *L'Actualité économique* 85:2, 239. [[Crossref](#)]
1281. Julian Jamison, Dean Karlan, Laura Schechter. 2008. To deceive or not to deceive: The effect of deception on behavior in future laboratory experiments. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* 68:3-4, 477-488. [[Crossref](#)]
1282. Stephan Schosser, Klemens Böhm, Bodo Vogt. Competition vs. Fairness Analyzing Structured Networks by Means of User Experiments 897-905. [[Crossref](#)]
1283. Richard E. Just. 2008. Distinguishing Preferences from Perceptions for Meaningful Policy Analysis. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics* 90:5, 1165-1175. [[Crossref](#)]
1284. Jacob Ladenburg, Søren Bøye Olsen. 2008. Gender-specific starting point bias in choice experiments: Evidence from an empirical study. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management* 56:3, 275-285. [[Crossref](#)]
1285. Andreas Ortmann. 2008. PROSPECTING NEUROECONOMICS. *Economics and Philosophy* 24:3, 431-448. [[Crossref](#)]
1286. Walter Borges, Harold D. Clarke. 2008. Cues in Context: Analyzing the Heuristics of Referendum Voting with an Internet Survey Experiment. *Journal of Elections, Public Opinion and Parties* 18:4, 433-448. [[Crossref](#)]
1287. Marco A. Janssen, Elinor Ostrom. 2008. TURFS in the lab: Institutional Innovation in Real-Time Dynamic Spatial Commons. *Rationality and Society* 20:4, 371-397. [[Crossref](#)]
1288. G. Moschini. 2008. Biotechnology and the development of food markets: retrospect and prospects. *European Review of Agricultural Economics* 35:3, 331-355. [[Crossref](#)]
1289. Daniel Rondeau, John A. List. 2008. Matching and challenge gifts to charity: evidence from laboratory and natural field experiments. *Experimental Economics* 11:3, 253-267. [[Crossref](#)]
1290. Rachel Croson, Jen (Yue) Shang. 2008. The impact of downward social information on contribution decisions. *Experimental Economics* 11:3, 221-233. [[Crossref](#)]
1291. Matthias Benz, Stephan Meier. 2008. Do people behave in experiments as in the field?—evidence from donations. *Experimental Economics* 11:3, 268-281. [[Crossref](#)]
1292. Jeffrey Carpenter, Cristina Connolly, Caitlin Knowles Myers. 2008. Altruistic behavior in a representative dictator experiment. *Experimental Economics* 11:3, 282-298. [[Crossref](#)]
1293. John A. List. 2008. Introduction to field experiments in economics with applications to the economics of charity. *Experimental Economics* 11:3, 203-212. [[Crossref](#)]
1294. Jetske Bouma, Erwin Bulte, Daan van Soest. 2008. Trust and cooperation: Social capital and community resource management. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management* 56:2, 155-166. [[Crossref](#)]
1295. Kenneth L. Leonard, Melkiory C. Masatu. 2008. Moving from the lab to the field: Exploring scrutiny and duration effects in lab experiments. *Economics Letters* 100:2, 284-287. [[Crossref](#)]
1296. Daijro Okada, Paul M. Bingham. 2008. Human uniqueness-self-interest and social cooperation. *Journal of Theoretical Biology* 253:2, 261-270. [[Crossref](#)]
1297. Diego Nocetti. 2008. Industrial fatigue Redux. *Economics Letters* 99:2, 286-289. [[Crossref](#)]

1298. Roland G. Fryer,, Steven D. Levitt,, John A. List. 2008. Exploring the Impact of Financial Incentives on Stereotype Threat: Evidence from a Pilot Study. *American Economic Review* **98**:2, 370-375. [[Citation](#)] [[View PDF article](#)] [[PDF with links](#)]
1299. Kenneth L. Leonard. 2008. Is patient satisfaction sensitive to changes in the quality of care? An exploitation of the Hawthorne effect. *Journal of Health Economics* **27**:2, 444-459. [[Crossref](#)]
1300. Steven D. Levitt, John A. List. 2008. Homo economicus Evolves. *Science* **319**:5865, 909-910. [[Crossref](#)]
1301. Hansrudi Lenz. 2008. Rationalität, Emotionalität und Moralität–Zur Begründung moralischer Normen. *Schmalenbachs Zeitschrift für betriebswirtschaftliche Forschung* **60**:S58, 93-115. [[Crossref](#)]
1302. John A. List, David Reiley. Field Experiments 1-5. [[Crossref](#)]
1303. Werner Raub, Vincent Buskens. 2008. Theory and Empirical Research in Analytical Sociology: The Case of Cooperation in Problematic Social Situations. *Analyse & Kritik* **30**:2. . [[Crossref](#)]
1304. Hans-Martin von Gaudecker, Arthur H. O. van Soest, Erik Wengström. 2008. Selection and Mode Effects in Risk Preference Elicitation Experiments. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1305. Ernesto Reuben, Sigrid Suetens. 2008. Conditional Cooperation: Disentangling Strategic from Non-Strategic Motivations. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1306. David Zetland. 2008. Conflict and Cooperation within an Organization: A Case Study of the Metropolitan Water District of Southern California. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1307. Maroš Servátka, Steven James Tucker, Radovan Vadovic. 2008. Strategic Use of Trust. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1308. Kenneth L. Leonard, Melkiory C. Masatu. 2008. Using the Hawthorne Effect to Examine the Gap between a Doctor's Best Possible Practice and Actual Performance. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1309. Marco Castillo, Ragan Petrie, Maximo A. Torero. 2008. Beautiful or White? Discrimination in Group Formation. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1310. Lorenz F. Goette, Alois Stutzer. 2008. Blood Donations and Incentives: Evidence from a Field Experiment. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1311. Daniel John Zizzo. 2008. Experimenter Demand Effects in Economic Experiments. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1312. Ernst Fehr, Andreas Leibbrandt. 2008. Cooperativeness and Impatience in the Tragedy of the Commons. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1313. Gerald J. Pruckner, Rupert Sausgruber. 2008. Honesty on the Streets - A Natural Field Experiment on Newspaper Purchasing. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1314. Erin L. Krupka, Roberto A. Weber. 2008. Identifying Social Norms using Coordination Games: Why Does Dictator Game Sharing Vary?. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1315. Brian T. Kench, Neil Niman. 2008. Of Altruist & Thieves. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1316. Olivier Armantier, Amadou Boly. 2008. Can Corruption be Studied in the Lab? Comparing a Field and a Lab Experiment. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1317. Hansrudi Lenz. 2008. The Rational Auditor and Moral Norms: The Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants Lip Service or Self-Binding Agreement?. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1318. Anthony M. Rufolo, Thomas J. Kimpel. 2008. Responses to Oregon's Experiment in Road Pricing. *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board* **2079**:1, 1-7. [[Crossref](#)]
1319. Dan Ariely, Michael I. Norton. 2007. Psychology and Experimental Economics. *Current Directions in Psychological Science* **16**:6, 336-339. [[Crossref](#)]

1320. Dean Karlan, John A. List. 2007. Does Price Matter in Charitable Giving? Evidence from a Large-Scale Natural Field Experiment. *American Economic Review* **97**:5, 1774-1793. [[Abstract](#)] [[View PDF article](#)] [[PDF with links](#)]
1321. John A. List. 2007. On the Interpretation of Giving in Dictator Games. *Journal of Political Economy* **115**:3, 482-493. [[Crossref](#)]
1322. Bernhard Neumärker. 2007. Neuroeconomics and the Economic Logic of Behavior. *Analyse & Kritik* **29**:1. . [[Crossref](#)]
1323. Yael Meroz, Andrea Morone, Piergiuseppe Morone. 2007. Eliciting Environmental Preferences of Ghanaians: An Experimental Approach. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1324. Simon Dietz, Cameron J. Hepburn, Nicholas Stern. 2007. Economics, Ethics and Climate Change. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1325. Andreas Ortmann, Sasha Prokoshcheva, Ondrej Rydval, Ralph Hertwig. 2007. Valuing a Risky Prospect Less than its Worst Outcome: Uncertainty Effect or Task Ambiguity?. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1326. Soosung Hwang, Stephen E. Satchell. 2003. The Magnitude of Loss Aversion Parameters in Financial Markets. *SSRN Electronic Journal* . [[Crossref](#)]
1327. Steffen Andersen, Glenn W. Harrison, Morten I. Lau, E. Elisabet Rutström. Risk aversion in game shows 359-404. [[Crossref](#)]
1328. Souleiman Naciri, Min-Jung Yoo, Rémy Glardon. Using Serious Games for Collecting and Modeling Human Procurement Decisions in a Supply Chain Context 744-765. [[Crossref](#)]